LAW OFFICE OF

BENJAMIN SILVERMAN

224 WEST 30TH ST., SUITE 302 NEW YORK, NY 10001 TEL (212) 203-8074 FAX (646) 843-3938 Benjamin@bsilvermanlaw.com

January 3, 2022

By ECF

Honorable Paul G. Gardephe United States District Judge Southern District of New York 40 Foley Square New York, NY 10007

Re: United States v. Michael Avenatti, 19 Cr. 373 (PGG)

Your Honor:

Pursuant to the Court's Order of December 30, 2021 (Dkt. 368), which was docketed earlier today, attached please find:

- Exhibit 1: Transcript from August 12, 2021 in *United States v. Avenatti*, 19 Cr. 61 (JVS) (C.D.C.A.).
- Exhibit 2: Transcript from August 13, 2021 in *United States v. Avenatti*, 19 Cr. 61 (JVS) (C.D.C.A.).
- Exhibit 3: Transcript from August 24, 2021 in *United States v. Avenatti*, 19 Cr. 61 (JVS) (C.D.C.A.).
- Exhibit 4: Transcript from October 15, 2021 in *United States v. Avenatti*, 19 Cr. 61 (JVS) (C.D.C.A.).

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Benjamin Silverman
Benjamin Silverman
Attorney for Michael Avenatti

cc: Counsel of Record (by ECF)

Exhibit 1

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SOUTHERN DIVISION				
3	HONORABLE JAMES V. SELNA, U.S.	DISTRICT JUDGE			
4					
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	ERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT			
6	Plaintiff,)	Case No.			
7	,	ASE NO. BACR-19-00061-JVS			
8	MICHAEL JOHN AVENATTI,) E	PUBLIC VERSION			
9	,	RIAL DAY 19 OLUME 2			
10	,	Olorii 2			
11					
12					
13					
14	REPORTER'S PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS				
15	THURSDAY, AUGUST 12, 2021 1:25 P.M.				
16					
17	SANTA ANA, CALIFOR	RNIA			
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23	DEBBIE HINO-SPAAN, CSR	7953, CRR			
24	FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 411 WEST 4TH STREET, ROOM 1-053				
25					

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:				
2					
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:				
4	NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney				
5	BY: BRETT SAGEL Assistant United States Attorney				
6	411 West 4th Street Suite 8000				
7	Santa Ana, California 92701 714-338-3598				
	brett.sagel@usdoj.gov				
9	NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney BY: ALEXANDER WYMAN				
11	Assistant United States Attorney 312 North Spring Street				
12	Suite 1100 Los Angeles, California 90012 213-894-2435				
13	alex.wyman@usdoj.gov				
14	FOR THE DEFENDANT IN PRO SE:				
15	MICHAEL JOHN AVENATTI, ESQ.				
16	STANDBY COUNSEL FOR MR. AVENATTI:				
17					
18	H. DEAN STEWARD LAW OFFICES BY: H. DEAN STEWARD, ESQ. 17 Corporate Plaza				
19	Suite 254 Newport Beach, California 92660				
20	949-481-4900				
21	deansteward7777@gmail.com				
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	INDEX						
2	WITNESSE:	3		PAGE			
3	Cross	PHAN, CALLED BY THE GOVERNMENT B-Examination by Mr. Avenatti (Sect Examination by Mr. Sagel		10 18			
5	Recro	22					
6		CLARK, CALLED BY THE GOVERNMENT Ct Examination by Mr. Wyman	ľ	24			
7	Cross	31					
		rect Examination by Mr. Wyman oss-Examination by Mr. Avenatti	Ĺ	37 37			
8		AMENTA, CALLED BY THE GOVERNMEN	NT	2.0			
9		ct Examination by Mr. Wyman s-Examination by Mr. Avenatti		39 42			
10	JOHN DRUI	M, CALLED BY THE GOVERNMENT					
11		ct Examination by Mr. Wyman		49			
12							
13							
14							
15							
16		EXHIBITS		MT MILD D A LINI			
17			IN	WITHDRAWN OR			
18	EXHIBIT		EVIDENCE	REJECTED			
19	457	Summary Exhibit of Wire Transfers in Indictment	28				
20	420-450	Summary Financial Tracing Analysis documents	56				
21	456	Summary Financial Tracing	56				
22		Analysis					
23	384	Statements - EA 2851 - 1.30.2015-3.30.2015	58				
24							
25							

SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, AUGUST 12, 2021 1 2 1:25 P.M. 3 4 5 (Previous proceedings were sealed by the Court and under separate cover.) 6 7 (Out of the presence of the jury.) 8 THE COURT: Juror Number 1 handed me a note just 9 before we took the recess indicating some pretty extreme dental 01:25PM 10 problems and that she has an appointment at 3:45 today. I want 11 to find out where she lives and where the appointment is, but 12 we're going to accommodate her. I realize this keeps 13 prolonging things, but I don't want to lose the juror and I think she has a legitimate need. 14 01:26PM 15 Mr. Sagel. 16 MR. SAGEL: The only thing I would add to that in 17 the inquiry, if Your Honor is willing, is from the note it 18 appears she's going for the appointment hopefully to get x-rays 19 and antibiotics. I guess the question is, is if she believes 01:26PM 20 she'd be able by tomorrow to --21 THE COURT: Let's bring her in individually and ask 22 her that. 23 MR. SAGEL: And I'd say, as Your Honor knows, she 24 says that the -- and she is having trouble focusing because of the pain, and we just need to know that by tomorrow she could 01:26PM 25

```
1
          do that, if that's the issue.
       2
                     THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Avenatti.
                     MR. AVENATTI: I agree 100 percent.
       3
                     THE COURT: Anything else?
       4
                     MR. AVENATTI: Yes. One other issue, Your Honor,
01:27PM
      5
       6
          because it's going to come up on the continued
       7
          cross-examination of Ms. Phan.
                     I had the court reporter -- she was kind enough to
       8
          print a question and answer that was a question and answer that
01:27PM 10
          occurred during my cross-examination of Ms. Phan earlier today,
     11
          and I provided a copy to the Government and a copy to the
     12
          Court. The reason why I provided this is because I anticipate
     13
          that there will be some questions asked very early in the
          continued cross-examination of Ms. Phan where this will become
     14
01:27PM 15
          important, this question and answer.
                     THE COURT: Fine. I mean, you've asked everybody
     16
          else about their business with the Government. Fine.
     17
     18
                     MR. AVENATTI: I anticipate a hearsay objection,
     19
          Your Honor. And this goes -- it goes to state of mind and
01:27PM 20
          effect on the listener, and that's why I provided the question
      21
          and answer. So I wanted to just preview it, that's all.
     22
                     THE COURT: Okay.
                                        Thank you.
     23
                     MR. SAGEL: Your Honor, is there anything that we
     24
          need to know? We, obviously, have Mr. Varani on his way.
01:28PM 25
                     THE COURT: He's coming.
```

```
1
                     MR. SAGEL: Okay. I just want to make sure that
       2
          he's --
       3
                     THE COURT: Mr. Avenatti made a very short but
          adequate offer of proof.
       4
                     MR. SAGEL: That's fine. Thank you, Your Honor.
01:28PM
      5
                                                                        Ι
       6
          just wanted to make sure he's staying en route.
       7
                     THE COURT: Can we get Ms. B.Go, please, Juror
          Number 1.
       8
                     THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, she's right out here.
01:28PM 10
                      (Juror Number 1 entered the courtroom.)
      11
                     THE COURT: Thank you.
      12
                     Good afternoon, Ms. B.Go. Where is your
      13
          appointment?
      14
                     JUROR NUMBER 1: In Costa Mesa by my residence.
01:29PM 15
                     THE COURT: Okay. What are they going to do, just
      16
          take x-rays today?
      17
                     JUROR NUMBER 1: It seems like it, but there can be
      18
          a possibility that they might have to extract the tooth, if
          possible, depending on the x-rays. So they can't tell me until
      19
01:29PM 20
          they receive the x-rays.
      21
                     THE COURT: Okay. Well, why don't you report the
      22
          results to Ms. Bredahl.
      23
                     JUROR NUMBER 1: Yeah. Absolutely.
      24
                     THE COURT: Okay. So we're going to stop at
01:29PM 25
          3:00 o'clock today. That will get you there in time.
```

```
JUROR NUMBER 1: Thank you so much. I appreciate
       1
       2
          it.
       3
                     THE COURT: Are you able to concentrate this
          afternoon?
                     JUROR NUMBER 1: Yeah. I took some pills right now
01:29PM
      5
       6
          at lunch today. I should be fine until then.
       7
                     THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
                     JUROR NUMBER 1: Thank you.
       8
                      (Juror Number 1 left the courtroom.)
01:29PM 10
                     MR. SAGEL: And in light of that, Your Honor, I
     11
          quess I want to inquire, if we're going until 3:00 today, which
     12
          is an hour and a half, based on the cross estimate that
     13
          defendant gave of our expert, I guess the question is, should
     14
          Mr. Varani still come tomorrow -- to be here tonight for
01:30PM 15
          tomorrow when I think the estimate -- and every estimate has
     16
          undershot the actual time -- was four hours for cross for our
     17
          expert tomorrow, which will almost certainly take place
     18
          tomorrow based on what we've been told of what he has left on
     19
          this cross. Should we now have Mr. Varani just come on
01:30PM 20
          Tuesday?
     21
                     THE COURT: There's a possibility that we wouldn't
     22
          finish with Mr. Drum tomorrow.
     23
                     MR. SAGEL: Based on the estimates we've been
     24
          provided, I would agree, now that we only have an hour and a
01:30PM 25
          half left of today. We were told before lunch Mr. Avenatti
```

```
1
          believes he has about an hour left of this cross, which
       2
          would -- we still have two additional witnesses today or
          possibility tomorrow, and then I think about a one-hour direct
       3
          of Mr. Drum and --
       4
                     THE COURT: Where does he live?
01:30PM
      5
                     MR. SAGEL: Who, Mr. Drum? I believe Denver,
       6
       7
          Colorado. He's in from out of town. Mr. Varani would be the
          person that would have to go after that. So Mr. Drum is here.
       8
          It's a matter of whether or not --
01:31PM 10
                     THE COURT: Looks like he's going to be here for the
      11
          weekend.
      12
                     MR. SAGEL: Who?
      13
                     THE COURT: Mr. Drum.
                     MR. SAGEL: Well, if that's the case, then is there
      14
01:31PM 15
          any reason for Mr. Varani to be here by tonight if possibly
          Mr. Drum isn't even going to finish tomorrow?
      16
      17
                     MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, my position is as
      18
          follows:
                    I have no problem with Mr. Varani coming on Tuesday
      19
          so he doesn't have to come out here and then potentially stay
01:31PM 20
          the weekend or fly back and forth. I understand how
      21
          inconvenient that can be.
      22
                     What I don't want to have is a situation where, for
      23
          whatever reason, we wrap up a little earlier than expected
      24
          tomorrow and you turn to me and say, "Where is your witness?
01:31PM 25
          You've rested," or something like that. So -- because I
```

```
1
          anticipate calling --
       2
                      THE COURT: I don't think we're going to have that
       3
          problem.
       4
                      MR. AVENATTI: Okay.
                      THE COURT: If we have a slight problem, we'll break
01:31PM
      5
       6
          for the weekend.
       7
                      MR. AVENATTI: Fair enough. In light of that,
          Your Honor, then I have no problem with Mr. Varani coming on
       8
          Tuesday. I anticipate he's either going to be my first or
01:32PM 10
          second witness.
      11
                      THE COURT: Okay.
      12
                      MR. SAGEL:
                                  We'll have him here Monday night.
      13
                      THE COURT:
                                  Sorry?
                      MR. SAGEL: We'll make sure he's here by Monday
      14
01:32PM 15
          night.
      16
                      THE COURT:
                                  Okay.
      17
                      (In the presence of the jury.)
      18
                      THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.
      19
                      (The jurors collectively responded "Good
01:34PM 20
                      afternoon.")
                      THE COURT: One of our jurors has some need for
      21
      22
          immediate urgent dental care. For that reason, we're going to
      23
          stop at 3:00 o'clock so the juror can get that attention.
      2.4
                      Okay. Mr. Avenatti.
01:34PM 25
          ///
```

1 MICHELLE PHAN, WITNESS, RESUMED THE STAND 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) 3 BY MR. AVENATTI: Ms. Phan, before the lunch break we were discussing these 4 text messages relating to a telegram immediately after that 01:35PM 5 lunch that we had in New York. Do you recall that generally? 6 7 Yes. Α And after I asked, "Is this Telegram? Will do. Thank 8 you," you sent me a text message that said, "You don't need to set up a username. I did so you can find me." Right? 01:35PM 10 11 Yes. 12 And then you sent me another text message that stated, "That way you can stay anon" -- a-n-o-n -- "as soon as 13 possible"; correct? 14 01:36PM 15 Yes. And when you wrote "anon," you meant -- that is shorthand 16 for "anonymous"; correct? 17 18 Α Yes. 19 MR. AVENATTI: Ms. Hernandez, can we pull this up 01:36PM 20 electronically. Can we get maybe the first half of the page at the top. 21 You then instructed me: "Just your number is all you 22 23 need. Add fake names or do letters like me." 24 Did I read that correctly? 01:37PM 25 Α Yes.

```
1
                And that was all around -- if we go back to the preceding
       2
          page, that entire discussion that we're having there took place
          beginning at about 1:06 p.m.; correct?
       3
       4
          Α
              Correct.
01:38PM
      5
                And then if we go back to the page we were just at,
          please, at about 2:30 p.m. New York time, 11 -- actually,
       6
       7
          2:29 p.m. New York time, 11:29 a.m. Pacific Time. So this is
          about an hour and a half later; right?
       8
                Yes.
          Α
01:38PM 10
                You sent me another text message that said, "Actually,
      11
          it's not Telegram"; right?
      12
          Α
                Yes.
      13
                You said, "It's Signal, the app, private messaging app.
          More private than Telegram."
      14
                      That's what you wrote to me; right?
01:39РМ 15
      16
                Yes.
          Α
      17
                And you then sent me two screenshots of your account
      18
          on --
      19
                     MR. SAGEL: I ask that he not publish the second
          shot that still has her numbers and identifiers on it.
01:39РМ 20
      21
                     MR. AVENATTI: I believe it's been redacted. It's
      22
          redacted.
      23
                      MR. SAGEL: We haven't been provided with those.
      24
                     MR. AVENATTI: Well, you can see it on the screen,
01:39PM 25
          it's redacted.
```

```
1
                You see that's redacted, right, Ms. Phan?
       2
                Yes.
       3
                Okay. And you then sent me two screenshots of your
       4
          account on Signal; right?
01:39PM
      5
                Yes.
          Α
       6
                And then below that you said, "Telegram if you sign up,
       7
          anyone with your number will see you on there"; right?
       8
          Α
                Yes.
                And I said, "I'm on Signal. Use it all the time. Are
01:40PM 10
          you?"
                      And you said: "Yes. Add me"; correct?
      11
      12
          Α
                Yes.
                And then I sent you a link for Signal, and you said, "I
      13
      14
          already have it. Add me."
01:40PM 15
                      And you gave me your account; right?
      16
                Yes.
      17
                And then let's go to the end of the chat.
      18
                      And I responded, "Can you try and add me at" -- and
      19
          then my phone number -- "I can't find you."
01:40PM 20
                      And you said, "Messaged you"; right?
      21
                Yes.
          Α
                And that's the true message exchange relating to Telegram
      22
      23
          via text; correct?
      24
          Α
                Yes.
01:41PM 25
               Now, did the Government ever ask you for any of your
```

```
messages from Telegram or Signal relating to me or this matter?
       1
       2
                No.
       3
                Are you aware that Mr. Long Tran has testified in this
          case?
                      MR. SAGEL: Asked and answered.
01:42PM
      5
                      THE COURT: I think I sustained the objection.
       6
       7
                      MR. AVENATTI: If you did, then I asked it in error
       8
          and I apologize to the Court.
                Ms. Phan, have you communicated with Mr. Tran about this
01:42PM 10
          case?
      11
          Α
                No.
      12
               You've had no -- well, strike that.
                      I noticed Mr. Tran out in the hallway during the
      13
          lunch break. Is he here, yes or no?
      14
01:42PM 15
                His family lives here, yes.
      16
                They live here in the courthouse?
                They live pretty close by, yes.
      17
      18
                Okay. That's not my question.
      19
                      I noticed Mr. Tran in the hallway during lunch.
                                                                        Is
01:42PM 20
          he physically here and has he been physically here in the
      21
          courthouse while you've been testifying? Yes or no?
                He's here today, not yesterday, just to make sure I'm okay
      22
      23
          mentally.
      24
                      MR. AVENATTI: Move to strike the last part as
          nonresponsive, Your Honor.
01:43PM 25
```

```
THE COURT: Will be stricken.
       1
       2
                BY MR. AVENATTI: And it's your testimony, Ms. Phan, that
       3
          at no point in time in the last two weeks have you had any
          communications with Mr. Tran about this case or his testimony
       4
01:43PM
      5
          or your testimony. Is that your testimony under oath, Ms. --
       6
                Yes. We actually have not spoken about this case within
       7
          the past two weeks.
                Ms. Phan, isn't it true that when you met with the
       8
          Newport Beach investigator in November of 2018, they repeatedly
01:44PM 10
          told you that there was not enough evidence to charge me with a
     11
          crime. Isn't that true?
     12
                     MR. SAGEL: Objection. Hearsay, Your Honor.
                     THE COURT: Sustained.
     13
                     THE WITNESS: It's my best knowledge --
     14
01:44PM 15
                     THE COURT: Just a minute. When I sustain an
     16
          objection, you don't get to answer. Okay?
     17
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Sorry.
     18
                     MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, effect on the listener's
     19
          state of mind.
01:44PM 20
                     THE COURT: Denied. Proceed.
      21
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Isn't it true that the investigator
     22
          from the Newport Beach Police Department told you that they
     23
          could not meet the burden of proof to show beyond a reasonable
      24
          doubt that any crime had ever been committed? Didn't they tell
01:45PM 25
          you and your attorneys that in November of 2018?
```

```
1
                     MR. SAGEL: Objection. Hearsay. Calls for a legal
       2
          conclusion. And attempting to ask an improper question a
       3
          second time is not appropriate.
       4
                      THE COURT: Objection sustained. Move on to another
01:45PM
      5
          line of questioning, please.
       6
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Ms. Phan, isn't it true that you
       7
          weren't satisfied with what happened at the Newport Beach
          Police Department, which is why you contacted Mr. Sagel and his
       8
          colleagues? Isn't that true? Yes or no?
01:46PM 10
                I honestly don't recall.
                Following your meeting with the Newport Beach Police
      11
      12
          Department, you filed a civil action against me; isn't that
      13
          true?
      14
                Yes.
01:47PM 15
                And the reason why you filed that civil action was
          because the Newport Beach Police Department told you that this
      16
          belonged in civil court and not in a criminal court; isn't that
      17
      18
          true?
      19
                     MR. SAGEL: Objection, Your Honor. Same objections,
01:47PM 20
          and ask that his --
      21
                      THE COURT: Sustained.
      22
                     MR. SAGEL: -- questioning be limited.
      23
                BY MR. AVENATTI: One of the things that led you to file
      24
          your civil action was what you were told when you met with the
01:47PM 25
          Newport Beach --
```

```
THE COURT: Sir, sustained. Move on to another line
       1
       2
          of questioning.
       3
                     MR. AVENATTI: One moment, Your Honor.
                      (Pause in proceedings.)
       4
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Ms. Phan, do you remember that the
01:49PM
      5
       6
          first time you met with individuals from the federal government
       7
          relating to your claims was on April 4th, 2019?
                I don't remember the exact date, but I do remember meeting
       8
          them, yes.
01:49PM 10
                And do you remember that you met with Mr. Kim,
      11
          Mr. Carlos, Mr. Andre, Mr. Sagel, and you had three attorneys
      12
          present on your behalf? Do you remember that?
                I don't remember all their names, but I do remember
      13
          meeting with my lawyers, yes.
      14
01:50PM 15
                And with those agents; correct?
      16
               Yes.
      17
                And do you recall when you met with the agents and the
      18
          AUSAs, that you were asked about what had happened with the
      19
          Newport Beach Police Department?
01:50PM 20
                     MR. SAGEL: Objection. Calls for hearsay,
          Your Honor, and for the same reasons.
      21
      22
                     THE COURT: Overruled.
      23
                     THE WITNESS: I honestly -- I don't remember. I
      24
          just know I had to meet them.
01:51PM 25
          Q BY MR. AVENATTI: Did you ever tell Mr. Sagel and his
```

```
1
          colleagues what you had been told by the Newport Beach Police
       2
          Department?
                I don't remember the exact conversations I had with them,
       3
          with the agents. They just asked if I could just tell my side
01:51PM
      5
          of the story. And that's all I can honestly remember.
                     MR. AVENATTI: Move to strike everything after "They
       6
       7
          just asked" -- or including "They just asked" as nonresponsive.
                     THE COURT: It will be stricken.
       8
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Well, Ms. Phan, when you told the
01:52PM 10
          agents your side of the story and what had happened, didn't you
     11
          think it was important to tell them what you had learned during
     12
          your Newport Beach interview? Yes or no?
     13
                I told them everything to my best knowledge while the
          memory was fresh. But it's been two years and it's kind of
     14
01:52PM 15
          blurry for me right now. That's my honest answer.
     16
                     MR. AVENATTI: Move to strike, Your Honor.
     17
                     THE COURT: Denied.
     18
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Ms. Phan, did you believe it was
          important when you met with the investigators and the AUSAs
     19
01:53PM 20
          from the federal government to tell them what you had learned
      21
          during the Newport Beach interview? Yes or no?
     22
                I don't remember everything I said. I just -- whatever
     23
          they asked me is probably on record. So that's all I can
      2.4
          remember.
01:53PM 25
                     MR. AVENATTI: Move to strike, Your Honor.
```

```
THE COURT: It will be stricken.
       1
       2
                      MR. AVENATTI: Can I have it read back, please?
       3
                      THE COURT: Yes.
                      (The record was read as follows:
       4
01:52PM
      5
                      "Ms. Phan, did you believe it was important
                when you met with the investigators and the AUSAs
       6
       7
                from the federal government to tell them what you
                had learned during the Newport Beach interview?
       8
                Yes or no.")
01:53PM 10
                      THE WITNESS: I would believe it is important, yes.
      11
                BY MR. AVENATTI: But you just can't remember whether you
      12
          did it or not; right?
                Well, it was two years ago when this all happened.
      13
          can't recall exactly the details of my conversations with them.
      14
01:54PM 15
                And as you sit there today, you don't have any
      16
          recollection of Mr. Sagel or Mr. Wyman or Mr. Carlos, or anyone
      17
          else, asking you what you learned from the Newport Beach Police
      18
          Department, do you?
      19
                No.
01:55PM 20
                      MR. AVENATTI: Nothing further.
      21
                      THE COURT: Mr. Sagel.
      22
                      MR. SAGEL:
                                  Thank you, Your Honor.
      23
                                REDIRECT EXAMINATION
      2.4
          BY MR. SAGEL:
01:55PM 25
                Good afternoon, Ms. Phan.
```

```
1
                Good afternoon.
          Α
       2
                When defendant showed you the Exhibit 294, the three
       3
          pages of text messages in the book, he asked you where they
       4
          came from, and I think your answer was from your phone; is that
          correct?
01:56PM
      5
       6
                Yes.
       7
                When defendant showed you Exhibit 1081, I believe five
          pages of text messages, do you know where those came from?
       8
                     I believe they came from him, not from me.
                No.
01:57PM 10
                      MR. AVENATTI: Objection, Your Honor. Move to
      11
          strike everything after "No" as speculation.
      12
                      THE COURT: It will be stricken.
      13
                BY MR. SAGEL: They weren't provided by you?
      14
                No, sir.
01:57PM 15
                     MR. AVENATTI: I'll stipulate to that.
      16
                      MR. SAGEL: I'm sorry. Mr. Avenatti, what did you
          want to say?
      17
      18
                      MR. AVENATTI: I said I'll stipulate that she did
      19
          not provide them, sir.
01:57PM 20
                      MR. SAGEL: Do you want to stipulate where they came
      21
          from?
      22
                     MR. AVENATTI: Do you want to ask your next
      23
          question?
      24
                      MR. SAGEL: If you want to interrupt --
01:57PM 25
                     THE COURT: Just a minute. Just a minute.
```

```
1
                      MR. AVENATTI: Oh, you want to talk about
       2
          interrupting?
       3
                      THE COURT: Mr. Avenatti, address me, don't address
       4
          counsel.
                      Proceed.
01:57PM
      5
       6
                      MR. SAGEL: Thank you, Your Honor.
       7
                When Mr. Avenatti showed you Exhibit 1081, he said,
          "These are the rest of the text messages from 2018." Do you
       8
          remember him saying that to you?
01:57PM 10
                      MR. AVENATTI: Misstates the question.
                      THE WITNESS: Yes.
      11
      12
                      THE COURT: Just a minute.
                      Overruled.
      13
                BY MR. SAGEL: The additional text messages in
      14
          Exhibit 10- -- let me see -- 1081, what dates are those from?
01:58PM 15
      16
          Starting on page 3 through 5.
      17
                April 24th, 2018.
      18
               Defendant went over a lot of these text messages with
      19
          you. How many text messages did he send you where he told you
          where the $4 million went?
01:58PM 20
      21
                None.
      22
                Zero?
      23
                Yes. Zero.
      24
                And luckily, it's already written up there.
01:59PM 25
                      MR. AVENATTI: Move to strike, Your Honor, the
```

```
1
          colloguy.
       2
                      THE COURT: Be stricken.
       3
                BY MR. SAGEL: How many text messages did defendant go
          over with you about why you didn't receive your $4 million?
       4
01:59PM
      5
                Zero.
          Α
       6
                Do you need a second, Ms. Phan?
       7
                No, I'm okay.
                Defendant asked you if you ever provided your Telegram or
       8
          Signal messages to the Government or were asked if you provided
01:59PM 10
          those to the Government. Do you remember that?
      11
                Yes.
      12
                How many Signal or Telegram messages that defendant sent
          you told you why you did not receive your $4 million?
      13
      14
                      MR. AVENATTI: Speculation. Conjecture.
02:00PM 15
          Foundation, Your Honor.
      16
                      THE COURT: Overruled.
      17
                      THE WITNESS: Zero.
      18
                BY MR. SAGEL: Defendant asked you questions, said when
          you were looking to exit the company, whether you accomplished
      19
02:00PM 20
          your goals of getting your money out and getting EM Cosmetics
      21
          back. Do you remember that question?
      22
          Α
                Yes.
      23
                Then he said, "Did you get those two things?"
      24
                      Do you remember that question?
02:00PM 25
                Yes.
          Α
```

```
1
                Did you get all of your money?
       2
                No.
       3
                     MR. SAGEL: No further questions, Your Honor.
       4
                     THE COURT: Mr. Avenatti.
                                 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
02:01PM
      5
       6
          BY MR. AVENATTI:
       7
               Ms. Phan, would you like to take a break? It's really
          not a problem. We can take a break if you'd like.
       8
                It's okay.
02:01PM 10
                     MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, I'd ask for a break.
     11
                     THE WITNESS: No. We can go.
     12
                     THE COURT: Proceed.
                     MR. AVENATTI: I'm sorry, Your Honor, proceed?
     13
                     THE COURT: Proceed.
     14
02:01PM 15
               BY MR. AVENATTI: Ms. Phan, have you ever heard the
          phrase "trust but verify"?
     17
                     MR. SAGEL: Objection, Your Honor. Argumentative
     18
          and outside the scope.
     19
                     THE COURT: Sustained.
02:01PM 20
          Q BY MR. AVENATTI: Ms. Phan, how many Telegram or Signal
          messages did you provide to the Government?
      21
     22
                     MR. SAGEL: Asked and answered, Your Honor.
     23
                     THE COURT: Just a minute.
     24
               BY MR. AVENATTI: Zero?
02:02PM 25
                I deleted those apps. Zero. I didn't have anything to
          Α
```

```
1
          give them.
       2
                      MR. AVENATTI: Move to strike, Your Honor.
       3
                      THE COURT: Will be stricken.
                      MR. AVENATTI: Can I have it read back, please?
       4
                      THE COURT: Yes.
02:02PM
      5
       6
                      (The record was read as follows:
       7
                      " Ms. Phan, how many Telegram or Signal messages
       8
                did you provide to the Government?")
       9
                      THE WITNESS: Zero.
02:02PM 10
                BY MR. AVENATTI: How many text messages -- other than
      11
          the three pages that make up 294, how many text messages beyond
      12
          those did you provide to the Government? Would that also be
          zero?
      13
                I provided everything I had in my phone.
      14
02:02PM 15
                      MR. AVENATTI: Move to strike, Your Honor.
      16
                      THE COURT: Be stricken.
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Ms. Phan, please just answer my
      17
      18
          question.
      19
                      Can I have it read back, Your Honor?
02:03PM 20
                      (The record was read as follows:
      21
                      "How many text messages -- other than the
      22
                three pages that make up 294, how many text
      23
                messages beyond those did you provide to the
      24
                Government? Would that also be zero.")
02:03PM 25
                     THE WITNESS: Yes.
```

```
1
                BY MR. AVENATTI: And how many times did you tell
       2
          AUSA Sagel and his colleagues and the investigators about what
       3
          the Newport Beach police had told you --
       4
                      THE COURT: Sir.
                BY MR. AVENATTI: -- is that also zero?
02:04PM
      5
                      THE COURT: Sir.
       6
       7
                      MR. AVENATTI: Nothing further. Ask that she be
          available to recall. Thank you.
       8
       9
                      THE COURT: You're released but you're subject to
02:04PM 10
          recall.
      11
                      Mr. Wyman.
      12
                      MR. WYMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
          United States calls Geff Clark.
      13
                    GEFFREY CLARK, GOVERNMENT WITNESS, WAS SWORN
      14
02:05PM 15
                      THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: If you'll please state and
      16
          spell your first and last name.
      17
                      THE WITNESS: My name is Geffrey Clark, G, as in
      18
          "George," e-f-f-r-e-y, C-l-a-r-k.
      19
                      THE COURT: Mr. Wyman.
02:06PM 20
                      MR. WYMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
      21
                                  DIRECT EXAMINATION
      22
          BY MR. WYMAN:
      23
                Good afternoon.
      2.4
                Good afternoon.
02:06PM 25
                Where do you work?
          0
```

1 I'm employed as a special agent with the Internal Revenue Service criminal investigation. 2 How long have you been a federal agent for? 3 A federal agent for -- it will be 22 years next month. 4 02:06PM 5 Generally speaking, what are your duties and responsibilities as a special agent for the IRS? 6 I investigate financial crimes specific to tax, money 7 laundering, bank fraud, wire fraud, political corruption, some 8 joint terrorism stuff, and other related crimes. 02:06PM 10 Are you generally familiar with the Government's case 11 against the defendant, Michael Avenatti? 12 In a cursory, general sense, yes. 13 Were you one of the primary investigative agents on this 14 case? 02:07PM 15 No, I was not. Α 16 What was the extent of your involvement in this case? No involvement. 17 18 Well, prior to testifying, did you prepare any charts in 19 this case? 02:07PM 20 Α No, I did not. 21 Fair enough. Have you reviewed the Indictment in this 22 case? 23 Yes, I have. 24 And does the Indictment charge ten separate counts of 02:07PM 25 wire fraud?

```
1
                Yes, it --
          Α
                      MR. AVENATTI: Objection, Your Honor. Foundation.
       2
       3
          403.
                      THE COURT: Overruled.
       4
                      THE WITNESS: Yes, it does.
02:07PM
      5
       6
                BY MR. WYMAN: Does each of those wire fraud counts
       7
          specify a particular wire transfer?
                Yes, it does.
       8
                Have you reviewed bank records obtained by the Government
02:07PM 10
          as part of this investigation?
      11
                Yes, I have.
      12
                For example, have you reviewed records provided by
          California Bank & Trust and City National Bank?
      13
      14
                      MR. AVENATTI: Objection. Leading.
02:07PM 15
                      THE COURT: Sustained.
      16
                      THE WITNESS: Yes.
                      THE COURT: Answer is stricken.
      17
      18
                BY MR. WYMAN: What financial institutions have you
      19
          reviewed bank records from?
                I reviewed bank records from California Bank & Trust and
02:08PM 20
          City National Bank.
      21
      22
                Do those bank records span thousands of pages in length?
      23
                Oh, yes.
                And regarding wire transfers, did you review any Excel
      24
02:08PM 25
          spreadsheets detailing incoming and outgoing wires?
```

```
1
                Yes, I did.
          Α
       2
                Did those spreadsheets contain hundreds of rows?
       3
                      MR. AVENATTI: Objection. Leading.
       4
                      THE COURT: Sustained.
                BY MR. WYMAN: How lengthy were those spreadsheets?
02:08PM
      5
       6
                I believe 800 rows plus.
       7
                From your review of these voluminous records from City
          National Bank and California Bank & Trust, were you able to
       8
          locate records for the ten wire transfers that you saw detailed
02:09PM 10
          in the Indictment?
      11
                Yes.
      12
                Using those records, did you verify the accuracy of a
          chart provided to you that summarized the information regarding
      13
      14
          those ten wires?
02:09PM 15
                Yes, I did.
      16
                Did another member of the prosecution team first create a
          draft of that chart?
      17
      18
                Yes, they did.
      19
                And did you then verify the accuracy of all the
02:09PM 20
          information on that chart by reviewing the underlying bank
      21
          records?
      22
                      MR. AVENATTI: Objection. Leading.
      23
                      THE COURT: Overruled.
      24
                      THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
02:09PM 25
                BY MR. WYMAN: Based on your analysis of the bank
          Q
```

```
1
          records, do you adopt that chart as a true and correct summary
       2
          of the wires and the bank records that you reviewed?
       3
                      MR. AVENATTI: Objection. Leading.
                      THE COURT: Overruled.
       4
02:09PM
                      THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
      5
                BY MR. WYMAN: Can you please take a look at Exhibit 457.
       6
       7
          It should be in Volume VII of the binders behind you.
                      Do you recognize this chart?
       8
          Α
                Yes, I do.
02:10PM 10
                Is this the chart that you reviewed and verified
      11
          summarizing the wire transfers identified in the Indictment?
      12
                Yes, it is.
                And does Exhibit 457 fairly and accurately summarize the
      13
          bank records you reviewed for those ten wire transfers?
      14
02:11PM 15
                Yes, it does.
      16
                      MR. WYMAN: Your Honor, the Government offers
      17
          Exhibit 457 pursuant to Rule 1006.
      18
                      MR. AVENATTI: Objection, Your Honor. Pursuant to
      19
          1006, lack of foundation, as well as the previous objections
02:11PM 20
          stated.
      21
                      THE COURT: Overruled.
      22
                      (Exhibit Number 457 received.)
      23
                BY MR. WYMAN: I want to ask you about just a few
      2.4
          features of this chart, Special Agent Clark.
02:11PM 25
                      Focusing on page 1, in the left-hand column, what
```

```
information is reflected there?
       1
       2
                In the left-hand column is the date.
                And in the middle column, what information is provided
       3
          there?
                It would be the particulars of the wire transfer.
02:11PM
      5
                And then on the right-hand column, what information is
       6
       7
          there?
                It's the Fedwire information for the output message
       8
          accountability data or the input message accountability data.
                When you say -- it looks like it says "OMAD" and "IMAD."
02:12PM 10
      11
          Is that what you're referring to?
     12
          Α
                Yes.
                I want to ask you about just a few of these examples.
      13
          For the first row, what is the date of the wire transfer?
      14
02:12PM 15
                On the top, 1/30/15.
          Α
      16
                And how much was the wire for, listed in this row?
                $250,000.
      17
          Α
      18
                Who is the originator?
      19
                It's an account in the name of Avenatti & Associates, a
02:12PM 20
          professional corp.
      21
                And the beneficiary?
      22
                I'm sorry, I didn't hear you.
      23
                I'm sorry. The beneficiary of the wire transfer?
      24
                To an account at HomeStreet Bank account in the name of
02:12PM 25
          Global Baristas US, LLC.
```

```
1
                And then the third row, two below that, what's the date
       2
          of that transfer?
       3
                1/26/17.
          Α
                And how much was that wire for?
       4
02:13PM
      5
                $2,500,000.
       6
                Who was the originator of that transfer?
       7
                An account in the name of the State Bar of California,
          Eagan Avenatti, LLP, attorney-client trust account.
       8
                And the beneficiary?
02:13PM 10
                The beneficiary is a Chase -- J.P.Morgan Chase account in
      11
          the name of The X-Law Group, PC.
      12
                Can you please turn to page 2. The second-to-the-last
          row, what is the date of that wire transfer?
      13
      14
                6/18/2018.
02:13PM 15
                How much is that wire for?
      16
                $16,000.
      17
                And the beneficiary of that wire?
      18
                The beneficiary of that wire is Alexis Gardner.
      19
                And last example, the last row, what is the date of that
02:14PM 20
          wire transfer?
      21
                7/13/2018.
      22
                And the beneficiary?
      23
                Geoffrey Johnson.
      24
                Thank you, Special Agent Clark.
02:14PM 25
                      MR. WYMAN: No further questions.
```

```
1
                      THE COURT: Mr. Avenatti.
                                  CROSS-EXAMINATION
       2
       3
          BY MR. AVENATTI:
                Mr. Clark, good afternoon.
       4
                Good afternoon.
02:14PM
      5
       6
                We have never met; is that true?
       7
                That's correct.
                What is the extent of your involvement with this case?
       8
                I had no participation in the investigation of this case.
02:15PM 10
                Okay. Have you had any role in the case whatsoever other
      11
          than preparing to come here to testify?
      12
          Α
                No.
                When did you first learn that you were going to be called
      13
      14
          on to testify?
02:15PM 15
                      MR. WYMAN: Objection. Relevance.
      16
                      THE COURT: Overruled.
      17
                      THE WITNESS: Yesterday late morning.
      18
                BY MR. AVENATTI: You did not prepare Exhibit 457; am I
      19
          correct?
02:15PM 20
                That is correct.
      21
                Okay. Who did?
      22
                Members of the investigative team.
      23
                Okay. But who? Can you give the names to the jury of
          the people that actually prepared this Exhibit 457 that they've
      24
          been shown?
02:15PM 25
```

```
1
                I know that Agent Ryan Roberson had some participation in
       2
               I know that AUSA Alex Wyman had some participation. But I
       3
          don't know if that's exclusive to who assisted in the
       4
          preparation.
                So you know, at a minimum, while you did not prepare
02:16PM
      5
          Exhibit 457, Mr. Roberson assisted in preparing it and
       6
       7
          Mr. Wyman. Do I have that correct?
                     MR. WYMAN: Asked and answered.
       8
                      THE COURT: Overruled.
02:16PM 10
                      THE WITNESS: Correct.
      11
                BY MR. AVENATTI: And you know that they assisted in
      12
          preparing it because you conversed with them about it before
      13
          you took the stand; is that right?
      14
                Correct.
02:16PM 15
               Did you see Agent Roberson before you took the stand
          today?
      16
      17
                     MR. WYMAN: Objection. Relevance.
      18
                      THE COURT: Overruled.
      19
                      THE WITNESS: Yes. I've seen him many days before
02:16PM 20
          today. Absolutely.
      21
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Yes. And including today; right?
      22
                Yes.
      23
                Did you see him out in the hallway?
      2.4
          Α
                Yes.
02:16PM 25
                Do you have any idea why he wasn't called to testify
```

```
1
          about the chart that he helped prepare?
                     MR. WYMAN: Objection. Relevance.
       2
                     THE COURT: Overruled.
       3
       4
                     THE WITNESS: No. I was called to testify because
          Agent Bellis could not testify.
02:17PM
      5
       6
                     MR. AVENATTI: Move to strike everything after "No"
       7
          as nonresponsive.
                     THE COURT: Will be stricken.
       8
                BY MR. AVENATTI: At any point in time after you got this
02:17PM 10
          call yesterday about the fact that the Government wanted you to
      11
          come and testify about a chart that you had not prepared, did
          you say to anybody, "Hey, guys, I don't understand why I'm
      12
      13
          being called to testify. I didn't have anything to do with
          preparing the chart. Why don't you just call Ryan or Alex."
      14
02:17PM 15
          Did you say anything like that?
      16
                     MR. WYMAN: Argumentative.
      17
                     THE COURT: Sustained.
      18
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Sir, prior to testifying here today,
          did you ever suggest that maybe somebody else who actually
      19
          prepared the chart should be called to testify?
02:17PM 20
      21
                     MR. WYMAN: 403.
      22
                     THE COURT: Overruled.
      23
                     THE WITNESS: No.
      24
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Now, not only did you not prepare the
02:17PM 25
          chart, but you did not supervise the creation of the chart; am
```

```
1
          I right?
       2
                Correct.
       3
                By the time you were told that you were going to come in
          to testify about this chart, the chart was already done; is
       4
          that right?
02:18PM
      5
       6
                The chart was complete, and I verified the data for
       7
          accuracy on the report.
       8
                      MR. AVENATTI: Move to strike everything after
          "complete" as nonresponsive.
02:18PM 10
                      THE COURT: It will be stricken.
      11
                BY MR. AVENATTI: So after you were called to testify,
      12
          you had no role in editing the chart; am I correct about that?
                The chart was not edited when I was called to testify.
      13
      14
                      MR. AVENATTI: Can we have 457, please.
02:19PM 15
                Do you have 457?
      16
                I pulled it out of the binder.
                You were asked about a number of these rows on the chart.
      17
      18
          Do you see that? Do you remember that you were just asked
      19
          that?
02:20PM 20
          Α
                Yes.
      21
                Okay. And the first one you were asked about was this
      22
          $250,000 wire transfer; right?
      23
                Yes. Row 1.
      24
                Row 1. The amount of work that you put in to creating
02:20PM 25
          row 1 on the chart, the amount of work that you put in creating
```

```
1
          it, was zero; right?
       2
                I didn't create the chart.
       3
                So the amount of work was zero; right?
          Α
                Correct.
                Okay. And the same answer, "zero," would be true for
02:20PM
      5
       6
          every row on the chart; correct?
       7
                Correct.
          Α
       8
                Now, this $250,000 payment in row 1, you see that?
          Α
                Yes.
02:20PM 10
                Okay. You don't know where that money came from before
          it was wired, do you?
      11
      12
                No, I do not.
                And do you know if that money was from attorney's fees or
      13
          costs for any of the clients? Do you know?
      14
02:21PM 15
          Α
                Can you rephrase?
      16
                      MR. AVENATTI: Can I have it read back?
      17
                      THE COURT: Yes.
      18
                      (The record was read as follows:
      19
                      "And do you know if that money was from
02:21PM 20
                attorney's fees or costs for any of the clients? Do
      21
                you know.")
      22
                      THE WITNESS: Are you referring to the source of the
      23
          funds for the $250,000 wire transfer?
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Correct.
      2.4
02:21PM 25
                No, I do not.
```

```
1
                Okay. So you don't know if this wire transfer is
       2
          evidence of any crime, do you?
                This chart represents the --
       3
                Sir, just answer my question.
02:22PM
      5
          Α
                No.
       6
                Okay. Well, how about the second one, the second row, do
       7
          you know whether this wire transfer is evidence of any crime?
       8
          Α
                No.
                Do you know if this money came from any cost or fees that
02:22PM 10
          were legitimately owed?
      11
          Α
                No.
      12
                How about the third row, is that evidence of any crime,
          do you know?
      13
      14
                No, I do not.
02:22PM 15
                Did it come from any cost or fees that were legitimately
      16
          owed?
                I do not know the source.
      17
      18
                Same answer on row 4 and same answer on row 5; correct?
      19
                Correct.
02:22PM 20
                And same answer on every other row in this chart; isn't
          that true?
      21
      22
                Yes.
      23
                So the total amount of evidence that you're aware of
      24
          relating to whether any of these payments constitute a crime,
02:23PM 25
          the total amount of that evidence would be zero; is that
```

```
1
          correct?
                I have no knowledge of the source of the funds for any of
       2
       3
          those transactions.
       4
               So it would be zero; right?
02:23PM
      5
          Α
                Yes.
       6
                      MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, at this time I'd move to
          strike 457 as lacking adequate foundation pursuant to 1006.
       7
                      THE COURT: Denied.
       8
                     MR. AVENATTI: I'll pass the witness, Your Honor.
02:23PM 10
                                REDIRECT EXAMINATION
      11
          BY MR. WYMAN:
      12
                Special Agent Clark, based on your review of the bank
          records, did you verify that every piece of information on this
      13
      14
          chart was accurate?
02:23PM 15
                Yes.
      16
                Thank you.
      17
                     MR. WYMAN: No further questions.
      18
                     THE COURT: Mr. Avenatti?
      19
                                 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
          BY MR. AVENATTI:
02:24PM 20
                But you didn't verify any significance to any of it, did
      21
      22
          you?
      23
                     MR. WYMAN: Asked and answered. Outside the scope.
      24
                     THE COURT: Sustained.
02:24PM 25
            BY MR. AVENATTI: Why didn't you recreate the chart from
          0
```

```
1
          your own work?
       2
                      MR. WYMAN:
                                  Same objections.
                      THE COURT: Sustained.
       3
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Well, you were asked if you verified
       4
          the information, and you said that you had; correct? That's
      5
02:24PM
          what you were just asked; right?
       6
                The information was verified, yes.
       7
                Uh-huh. Why didn't you just create your own chart from
       8
          your own work?
02:24PM 10
                      MR. WYMAN: Same objections.
      11
                      THE COURT: Sustained.
      12
                      MR. AVENATTI: Nothing further.
                      THE COURT: May the witness be excused?
      13
      14
                      MR. AVENATTI: Yes, sir.
02:24PM 15
                     MR. WYMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
      16
                     THE COURT: Sir, you may be excused. Thank you.
      17
                     MR. WYMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
      18
                      The United States calls Robert Amenta.
      19
                   ROBERTO AMENTA, GOVERNMENT WITNESS, WAS SWORN
02:25PM 20
                      THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: If you'll please state and
      21
          spell your first and last name.
      22
                      THE WITNESS: Sure. So it's Roberto Amenta,
      23
          A-m-e-n-t-a.
      24
                     THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you.
02:26PM 25
                     THE COURT: Mr. Wyman.
```

1	MR. WYMAN: Thank you.
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. WYMAN:
4	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Amenta.
02:26PM 5	A Good afternoon.
6	Q Where do you work?
7	A I work at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.
8	Q What is the Federal Reserve Bank of New York?
9	A The Federal Reserve Bank is one of 12 reserve banks that,
02:26РМ 10	along with the board of governors, makes up the Federal Reserve
11	System, the central bank.
12	Q And what is your title there?
13	A I'm a deputy chief investigator.
14	Q How long have you worked for the Federal Reserve Bank of
02:26РМ 15	New York?
16	A Since November of '93.
17	Q And how long have you been in your current role?
18	A The last two years.
19	Q What are your general duties and responsibilities in that
02:26PM 20	role?
21	A Among other things, I'm responsible for subpoena
22	compliance with regards to Fedwire Funds transfers.
23	Q And what is the Fedwire Funds transfer system?
24	A Sure. It's a product that the Federal Reserve puts out to
02:27PM 25	the banking industry. It's a wire transfer.
02:27РМ 25	the banking industry. It's a wire transfer.

1 When a payment is processed through the Fedwire Funds 2 transfer system, does that payment cause an electronic wire communication? 3 It does. Where is that wire communication initiated? 02:27PM 5 6 So it initiates at the originator, so the sender's 7 financial institution, and then it goes to the reserve bank. And in between the originating financial institution and 8 the Federal Reserve Bank, where does it go in between? 02:27PM 10 So there are two processing facilities at the Federal 11 Reserve that receive wire transfers. So after April 27 of '09, two Federal Reserve data centers are involved in all 12 13 Fedwire transactions: Texas and New Jersey. And if a payment is sent through the system, the Fedwire 14 02:28PM 15 Funds transfer system, does it necessarily go through both of 16 those places? 17 Yes. All transactions go through both sites. 18 If a payment was sent in the Fedwire Funds transfer system post 2009, does that mean it necessarily traveled to 19 02:28PM 20 Texas and New Jersey? 21 It did. 22 As part of your duties and responsibilities, are you able 23 to review records regarding payments sent through the Fedwire 24 Funds transfer system? 02:28PM 25 I am.

```
1
                      MR. WYMAN: Can we please pull up what is already in
          evidence as Exhibit 457.
       2
       3
                It should be right in front of you in that binder.
       4
                Okay.
          Α
02:28PM
      5
                Do you have it front of you?
       6
          Α
                I do.
       7
                Have you previously reviewed this chart?
                I have.
       8
                Generally speaking, what information is provided on this
02:28PM 10
          chart?
      11
                So its date, wire transfer information, and Fedwire OMAD
      12
          or IMAD. Those are unique identifiers that the Federal Reserve
          puts on each transaction.
      13
      14
                Have you reviewed Fedwire records to determine whether
02:29PM 15
          these ten wire transfers were processed through the Fedwire
      16
          Funds transfer system?
      17
                I have.
          Α
      18
                And were they?
      19
                Yes.
02:29PM 20
                For all ten process --
      21
                All ten.
                Because these funds -- I'm sorry. Because these wire
      22
      23
          transfers were processed through the Fedwire Funds transfer
      24
          system, does that mean that they necessarily traveled to
02:29PM 25
          New Jersey and Texas?
```

```
1
                      MR. AVENATTI: Objection. Leading.
       2
                      THE COURT: Overruled.
       3
                      THE WITNESS: Yes. Those transactions post April 27
          of '09 will go through those two facilities in Texas and
       4
02:29PM
      5
          New Jersey.
       6
                      MR. WYMAN: One moment, Your Honor.
       7
                      Nothing further. Thank you.
                      THE COURT: Mr. Avenatti.
       8
       9
                                  CROSS-EXAMINATION
02:29PM 10
          BY MR. AVENATTI:
      11
                Mr. Amenta, good afternoon.
      12
               Good afternoon.
                You and I have never communicated; correct?
      13
      14
                We have not.
02:30PM 15
                Now, prior to taking the stand here today, you've had
      16
          about three interviews with the government agents from this
      17
          case; is that right?
      18
                I believe two, I believe.
      19
                Do you recall having a telephone conversation with them
02:30PM 20
          on June 7, 2021?
      21
                Correct.
      22
                And then June 16, 2021?
      23
                Correct.
      24
                And then August 9, 2021?
02:30PM 25
                Correct.
```

```
1
                So three?
          Q
       2
                Correct.
       3
                And then did you also have e-mail communications with the
          Government?
                I did.
02:31PM
      5
       6
                And those e-mail communications related to the subject
       7
          matter of your testimony here today?
       8
          Α
                Yes.
                And what was the approximate time frame of your e-mail
02:31PM 10
          communications with the -- with the government agents or
      11
          prosecutors relating to your -- or the subject matter of your
          testimony here today?
      12
                I believe they were in early July.
      13
      14
                And were those e-mails and text messages or just e-mails?
02:31PM 15
                Just e-mails.
      16
                And who did you -- who did you communicate with via
          e-mail about the subject matter of your testimony here today at
      17
          that time?
      18
      19
                IRS Special Agent Roberson.
02:31PM 20
                Anyone else?
      21
                I don't remember if the AUSA was cc'd.
      22
                I'm sorry?
      23
                I don't remember if the AUSA was cc'd on those
          communications.
      2.4
02:31PM 25
                And when you say "the AUSA," are you referring to
```

```
1
          Mr. Sagel or Mr. Wyman? Because we have two of them here
       2
          today.
       3
                I believe it was Mr. Wyman.
                And as it relates to these e-mail communications you had
          with Mr. Roberson, those were back and forth relating to the
02:32PM
      5
          topic that you're testifying here today?
       6
       7
                No. It was relating to the chart, yes.
       8
                Oh, the chart, 457?
                Correct.
          Α
02:32PM 10
                So he was providing you with a draft of the chart and
          then you were responding?
      11
      12
          Α
                Correct.
      13
                      MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, can I have a sidebar,
      14
          please?
02:32PM 15
                      THE COURT: No.
      16
                      MR. AVENATTI: Okay.
                Do you have a recollection of -- well, strike that.
      17
      18
                      And did you provide feedback on the chart?
      19
                I did.
02:33PM 20
                And did he incorporate -- well, strike that.
      21
                      You just saw the chart, 457; right?
      22
                Correct.
      23
                And did he incorporate some of your revisions and
          feedback on the chart?
      2.4
02:33PM 25
                No.
          Α
```

```
1
                Did anyone ever ask you to compile those written
       2
          communications before you testified here today?
       3
          Α
                No.
                I'd like to understand and have the jury -- have the
       4
          benefit of understanding how it was that you verified that
02:34PM
      5
          those ten wire transfers actually went through the system that
       6
       7
          you described. So can you describe the process by which you
          verified that?
       8
                Sure. I was able to look at the Fedwire Funds system by
02:34PM 10
          the IMAD numbers that are there.
      11
                Who provided the IMAD numbers?
      12
                The IMAD numbers were provided -- some were provided by us
      13
          via subpoena and some were provided by bank records from the
      14
          agent.
02:34PM 15
                Mr. Roberson?
      16
                Correct.
      17
                Was that by e-mail?
      18
                That was by e-mail.
      19
                And was that in July or before July?
                Well, the chart was seen in July. I believe we talked
02:35PM 20
          about transactions in June.
      21
      22
                So you had written communications back and forth in June
      23
          and July?
      24
                No. Just July.
02:35PM 25
                So when you spoke about the transactions in June, it was
```

```
1
          by phone?
       2
                Yes.
                And then once you were given the IMAD number, what did
       3
          you do next to verify that, in fact, they had gone through the
02:35PM
      5
          Fedwire system?
       6
                Well, I looked in the -- had them pulled in the system and
       7
          I reviewed them.
                What did you have pulled and how?
       8
                We pulled the Fedwire Funds transfers for these IMADs.
02:35PM 10
                And how did you go about doing that?
      11
                I asked our wholesale product office for those records.
      12
                Where is that?
      13
                In New Jersey.
                And what exactly did you ask for? I mean, you had an
      14
          IMAD number. Do you ask for a regional record or a local
02:35PM 15
      16
          record? Explain to the jury how --
      17
                No. So an IMAD is very unique. It is unique by
      18
          year/month/day, then a sequence that's an endpoint for a
      19
          financial institution, and then it's that message for that day.
02:36PM 20
          There is no two IMADs or OMADs for a print transaction.
      21
          They're very unique.
                And when you asked for that information, was that in
      22
      23
          writing or by e-mail?
      24
                In writing.
02:36PM 25
          0
                And --
```

```
1
                In e-mail. I'm sorry.
          Α
       2
                So you received a request from the agent, and you then
       3
          communicated in e-mail with others in order to verify the
          information?
02:36PM
      5
                Correct.
       6
                And that related to the subject matter of your testimony
       7
          here today?
       8
          Α
                Correct.
                And then did they respond with the information by e-mail?
02:36PM 10
                Yes.
      11
                Did anyone ever ask you to gather those e-mails before
      12
          you testified here today?
      13
          Α
                No.
                And then when they -- when they sent -- well, strike
      14
02:36PM 15
          that.
      16
                      So did they verify that IMADs in these reports or
          did they send you the reports back for you to verify?
      17
      18
                No, I reviewed the reports and verified.
      19
                After they sent them back to you?
02:37PM 20
          Α
                Correct.
      21
                And then how did you convey that information to either
      22
          the AUSAs or Special Agent Roberson?
      23
                I informed them that these were all Fedwire Funds
          transfers.
      2.4
02:37PM 25
              Now, you are not aware -- strike that.
```

```
You did not have any knowledge of any evidence as to
       1
       2
          whether these ten wire transfers constitute any crime. Am I
       3
          correct about that?
       4
                Correct.
          Α
                You don't know one way or the other; is that right?
02:37PM
      5
       6
                Correct.
       7
                      THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, can you get closer to the
       8
          mic, please.
                      THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Correct. My apologies.
02:38PM 10
                BY MR. AVENATTI: You were simply asked to confirm that
          the ten transfers went through the Fedwire system. Do I have
      11
      12
          that correct?
      13
               That's right.
      14
                      MR. AVENATTI: One moment, Your Honor.
02:38PM 15
                     Nothing further, Your Honor. Thank you.
                     MR. WYMAN: No further questions.
      16
      17
                      THE COURT: May the witness be excused?
      18
                      THE WITNESS: Thank you.
      19
                      THE COURT: No, I'm not asking you. Hang on a
02:38PM 20
          second.
      21
                     May the witness be excused?
      22
                      MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, I'm going to reserve the
      23
          right to recall him, actually.
      24
                      THE COURT: Sir, you're excused for now but you're
02:38PM 25
          subject to recall.
```

```
1
                      THE WITNESS: Okay.
       2
                      THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down.
       3
                      MR. WYMAN: Your Honor, the United States calls John
       4
          Drum.
                      THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: If you can stand behind the
02:39PM
      5
       6
          court reporter and raise your right hand.
       7
                      JOHN DRUM, GOVERNMENT WITNESS, WAS SWORN
                      THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: If you'll please state and
       8
          spell your first and last name.
02:40PM 10
                      THE WITNESS: My name is John Drum, J-o-h-n,
      11
          D-r-u-m.
      12
                      THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you.
      13
                      THE COURT: Mr. Wyman.
      14
                      MR. WYMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
02:40PM 15
                                 DIRECT EXAMINATION
      16
          BY MR. WYMAN:
      17
                Good afternoon, Mr. Drum. Where do you work?
      18
                I work for Analysis Group.
      19
                What is Analysis Group?
02:40PM 20
                Analysis Group is an economic consulting company. They
          perform financial analyses in various settings.
      21
      22
                What is your title at Analysis Group?
      23
                Vice president.
      2.4
                As vice president, what are your general job
02:40PM 25
          responsibilities?
```

```
1
                I oversee financial analyses primarily in some sort of
       2
          dispute setting.
       3
                Are you regularly hired to conduct financial analysis in
          connection with litigation?
       4
02:41PM
      5
          Α
                Yes.
       6
                Let's discuss your background and financial analysis.
       7
          Starting with your educational background, where did you attend
          college?
       8
                I received undergraduate and graduate degrees from the
02:41PM 10
          Ohio State University.
      11
                And what degrees did you receive?
      12
                Bachelor of Science in Accounting and Finance, and a
          Master of Accounting.
      13
      14
                After you received those degrees, did you obtain any
02:41PM 15
          professional licenses?
      16
                Yes.
                Which licenses did you obtain?
      17
      18
                I'm a licensed certified public accountant in Illinois.
                                                                           Ι
      19
          also hold and am designated a Chartered Financial Analyst.
02:41PM 20
                When did you obtain those licenses?
      21
                The CPA in 2012, and the CFA in 2013.
      22
                Do you continue to hold those licenses today?
      23
                Yes.
      24
                Let's briefly discuss your work history now. After
02:41PM 25
          graduating, where did you work?
```

1 I worked for the Financial Accounting Standards Board, 2 which is a rulemaking organization that creates accounting 3 standards. 4 What was your title there? 02:42PM 5 Postgraduate technical assistant. 6 And in that role, what type of work did you perform? 7 I assisted the board and the staff in researching and 8 creating new accounting standards. How long did you work there? Q 02:42PM 10 One year. 11 After that, where did you work? 12 I worked for KPMG. It's a large public accounting firm. 13 What was your title at KPMG? 14 Senior associate. 02:42PM 15 And what kind of work did you do there? 16 I assisted companies with complex transactions and how to 17 account for them, as well as perform business and intangible 18 asset valuation services. 19 How long did you work at KPMG? 02:42PM 20 Approximately three years. 21 After you left KPMG, where did you go? 22 I worked for Analysis Group. 23 And that's where you currently work? 2.4 Α Yes. 02:42PM 25 What year was it when you started at Analysis Group?

```
1
          Α
                2011.
       2
                And in the past ten years, have you held other titles
          besides senior vice president or vice president?
       3
                Yes.
       4
          Α
                And what other titles -- well, let me ask, how, if at
02:43PM
      5
          all, have your job responsibilities changed in the past ten
       6
       7
          years?
                My job responsibilities have evolved from conducting one
       8
          aspect of one analysis to overseeing the entirety of many
02:43PM 10
          analyses.
      11
               Sorry about that.
      12
                      Has your job at Analysis Group always involved
          conducting financial analysis?
      13
      14
                Yes.
02:43PM 15
                Over the past ten years, what types of matters have you
          performed financial analysis on?
      16
                I perform financial analyses in bankruptcy disputes,
      17
      18
          commercial disputes, contract disputes, regulatory matters,
          shareholder litigations.
      19
02:44PM 20
          Q Now, were you and your company, Analysis Group, hired by
          the Government to conduct financial analysis as part of the
      21
      22
          Government's case against the defendant, Michael Avenatti?
      23
                Yes.
                And has the -- has Analysis Group been paid approximately
      24
02:44PM 25
          $640,000 by the Government?
```

1 Yes. We've been approved for approximately 640,000. Α 2 Does that payment include work that Analysis Group has 3 done on other matters as well as this one? 4 Α Yes. As part of your work for the Government, were you asked 02:44PM 5 6 to analyze financial records related to the defendant's 7 handling of funds concerning various clients of his? 8 Yes. Α Was one of these clients Geoffrey Johnson? 02:44PM 10 Yes. 11 Was another Alexis Gardner? 12 Yes. Gregory Barela? 13 14 Yes. And Michelle Phan and Long Tran? 02:44PM 15 16 Yes. What kinds of records did you review in conducting your 17 18 analysis with respect to these clients? 19 I reviewed bank records, QuickBooks data, settlement 02:45PM 20 agreements primarily. 21 When you say "QuickBooks data," what is that? 22 QuickBooks is a software used by firms to record 23 accounting transactions. 24 And you said you reviewed settlement agreements as well? 02:45PM 25 Yes.

```
1
                Did you also review fee agreements with the defendant?
       2
                Yes.
       3
                And the materials you reviewed, who provided those to
          you?
02:45PM
                The government.
      5
       6
                Now, the records you reviewed, were they voluminous in
       7
          nature?
                They were.
       8
                How many pages would you say the bank records spanned,
02:45PM 10
          approximately?
      11
                Many thousands of pages.
      12
                As part of your review of these bank records, did you
          also review spreadsheets produced by the bank reflecting wire
      13
      14
          transfers?
02:46PM 15
               I did.
      16
                And how many rows would you say those spreadsheets
          contained?
      17
      18
                Again, thousands.
      19
                Did it take a significant amount of time to review these
02:46PM 20
          records?
      21
                      MR. AVENATTI: Objection. Leading.
      22
                      THE COURT: Overruled.
      23
                      THE WITNESS: Yes, it took a significant amount of
      24
          time.
02:46PM 25
          Q BY MR. WYMAN: Based on your review of these voluminous
```

```
1
          records, did you and others working at your direction at
       2
          Analysis Group create various charts summarizing your financial
       3
          analysis of these records?
       4
                     MR. AVENATTI: Leading. Objection.
                     THE COURT: Overruled.
02:46PM
      5
                     THE WITNESS: Yes.
       6
       7
                BY MR. WYMAN: Directing your attention to the binder in
          front of you to what has been marked as Government's
       8
          Exhibits 420 through 450 and Exhibit 456, could you please take
02:46PM 10
          a minute to page through those. My question is going to be
      11
          whether you recognize them.
      12
                Yes, I recognize this.
      13
                Are these some of the summary charts that you and
          Analysis Group prepared?
      14
02:48PM 15
                Yes.
      16
                Do these charts fairly and accurately summarize the
      17
          voluminous financial and other records that you reviewed in
          this case?
      18
      19
                They do for the matters I was asked to summarize.
02:48PM 20
                     MR. WYMAN: Your Honor, at this time the Government
          moves to admit Exhibits 420 through 450 and Exhibit 456.
      21
      22
                     MR. AVENATTI: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay.
          Best evidence. Violative of 1006, federal rule. Foundation.
      23
          Authentication. Violative of 401, 403 and 702.
      2.4
02:48PM 25
                     THE COURT: Overruled. Exhibits 420 through 450 and
```

```
1
          456 shall be received.
       2
                      (Exhibit Numbers 420-450 and 456 received.)
       3
                BY MR. WYMAN: Okay, let's start with Exhibits 420
          through 429. Which client do these charts relate to?
       4
02:48PM
      5
                Geoffrey Johnson.
       6
                      MR. WYMAN: Okay. Starting with Exhibit 420, if we
       7
          can please blow up the content.
                What is the title of this chart?
       8
                "Total amount due to Geoffrey Johnson as of January 29th,
02:49PM 10
          2015."
      11
                What does the first row in the chart say?
      12
                "Total settlement amount paid on January 29th, 2015, for
          $4 million."
      13
                What is that information based on?
      14
02:49PM 15
                The settlement agreement that I reviewed and bank records.
      16
                And it says, "Paid January 29th, 2015"; is that right?
                That's right.
      17
      18
                      MR. WYMAN: Can we please pull up what is already in
          evidence as Government's Exhibit 41, page 1.
      19
02:49PM 20
          Q
                During your review of bank records, did you review a
          check deposited in that amount?
      21
      22
                I did.
      23
                And is this the check that you reviewed?
      24
          Α
                Yes.
02:50PM 25
                What is the date of this check?
```

```
1
                January 26, 2015.
          Α
       2
                And on -- if we go to page 2 now of the exhibit. What is
          the date of the deposit slip for this check?
       3
       4
                January 29th, 2015.
          Α
                      MR. WYMAN: If we can return now to Exhibit 420.
02:50PM
      5
          Actually, before we do that -- that's fine. Let's pull up
       6
       7
          Exhibit 420.
                The next line there below "settlement amount paid," what
       8
          is listed there?
02:50PM 10
                Less legal fees of 1.6 million.
      11
                And where did that number come from?
      12
               From the retention agreement that outline the percentage
          the legal fees will be based on.
      13
      14
                And when you say "retention agreement," who was the
02:51PM 15
          agreement between that you reviewed?
      16
                Mr. Avenatti and Geoffrey Johnson.
      17
                      MR. WYMAN: Your Honor, at this point the Government
      18
          would move to admit Exhibit 384 pursuant to the custodian
      19
          declaration, which is Exhibit 394, page 2.
02:51PM 20
                     MR. AVENATTI: One moment, Your Honor.
      21
                      384?
                     MR. WYMAN: Exhibit 384, and the custodian
      22
      23
          declaration is Exhibit 394, page 2.
      24
                     MR. AVENATTI: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay.
02:51PM 25
                     THE COURT: Overruled. I previously ruled that the
```

```
1
          declaration in 394 is adequate to establish the business
       2
          records exception.
       3
                      (Exhibit Number 384 received.)
                      MR. WYMAN: If we can please pull up Exhibit 384,
       4
02:52PM
      5
          page 1.
       6
                Mr. Drum, we'll put that on the screen.
       7
                      If we can please blow up the portion that says --
          we'll start with that.
       8
       9
                      What is the name of the accountholder on this
02:52PM 10
          statement?
      11
                Eagan Avenatti, LLP.
      12
                And if we can go to the right, what is the account number
          or the last four digits of the account number?
      13
      14
                2851.
02:52PM 15
                Is this one of the accounts for which you reviewed the
      16
          bank records?
      17
          Α
                Yes.
      18
                      MR. WYMAN: And if we can please go down under the
      19
          Deposits and Credits section.
02:52PM 20
                Do you see a deposit for 1.6 million on January 30th?
      21
                Yes, I do.
      22
                And is that the same amount that we were just discussing
      23
          of the attorney's fees?
      2.4
                Yes.
02:53PM 25
                      MR. WYMAN: If we can please go back now to
```

```
1
          Exhibit 420.
                On the bank statement we just reviewed, was the defendant
       2
          a signatory to that account?
       3
       4
          Α
                Yes.
                Below the row that says "less legal fees," what does the
02:53PM
      5
          next row say?
       6
       7
                "Less case-related expenses for $532,062."
                What does that figure represent?
       8
                That represents the expenses that I identified that are
          related to the Geoffrey Johnson case.
02:53PM 10
      11
                As of what date?
      12
                As of January 29, 2015.
      13
                The date that the settlement money was paid?
      14
                Yes.
02:53PM 15
                Does that figure include rental payments made by the
          defendant and Eagan Avenatti?
      16
      17
                Yes, it does.
          Α
      18
                How did you calculate that figure?
      19
                I relied on two sources of information. One is Eagan
02:54PM 20
          Avenatti's QuickBooks records, which identify expenses
      21
          associated with particular matters the firm worked on, as well
      22
          as a spreadsheet that was e-mailed by Judy Regnier that
      23
          outlined expenses associated with the Geoffrey Johnson case.
      24
                When you looked at the QuickBooks records, QuickBooks
02:54PM 25
          records for Mr. Johnson's case, how did you determine which
```

```
1
          expenses datewise to include?
       2
                I'm sorry, did you say "datewise"?
       3
                Yes.
                I limited the expenses to those that were incurred and
       4
          recorded into QuickBooks on or before January 29, 2015.
02:54PM
      5
       6
                And when you say "incurred," what do you mean?
       7
                I mean that Eagan Avenatti would have known they either
          paid an amount or an expense or knew that they owed an amount.
       8
                      So, for example, if I saw an expense for a court
          reporter, whether or not the court reporter was paid on or
02:55PM 10
      11
          before January 29, 2015, if they received the invoice and
          recorded it in QuickBooks, I included it in this amount.
      12
                Other than QuickBooks, you said there was a second source
      13
          of information; is that right?
      14
02:55PM 15
                Yes.
      16
                I believe you said an e-mail from Judy Regnier?
      17
                Yes, that attached a spreadsheet.
      18
                      MR. WYMAN: Can we please pull up what is already in
      19
          evidence as Exhibit 48. And if we can blow up the first
02:55PM 20
          portion, please.
      21
                What is the first page of this exhibit?
      22
                This is a pdf of an e-mail from Judy Regnier to Michael
      23
          Avenatti.
                What is the date of this e-mail?
      24
02:55PM 25
                February 4th, 2015.
```

1 And going to page 2, what is the attachment? 2 This is the spreadsheet that lists expenses associated 3 with the Geoffrey Johnson case. So a minute ago when you were saying the second source of 4 the calculation for cost-related expenses in Exhibit 420, were 02:56PM 5 6 you referring to this spreadsheet? 7 Α Yes. If you could please go to page 4. Do you see a figure 8 toward the bottom, or I guess halfway through, that says "total 02:56PM 10 expenses"? 11 Yes. 12 And what is the amount listed there? 13 386,893. 14 And then on page 8 of the exhibit, toward the bottom, do 02:56PM 15 you see a figure listed for total advances? We'll pull it up 16 on the screen. 17 Α Yes. 18 How much is that? 19 352,867, rounded. 02:57PM 20 And right below that do you see a figure for total 21 expenses and advances? 22 Yes. 23 And what is that number? 24 736,883. 02:57PM 25 So what did you do with this spreadsheet and the

```
1
          QuickBooks data that you mentioned earlier to calculate the
       2
          figure in Exhibit 420?
                So I paired the two spreadsheets and eliminated any
       3
          duplicate entries so that I was not double-counting any
       4
02:57PM
      5
          expenses.
                      This number, this 736,000, is higher than the number
       6
       7
          that I calculate, primarily because of the treatment of
          expenses related to CareMeridian.
       8
                And what do you mean by that?
02:58PM 10
                Payments -- so the QuickBooks records show expenses for
          amounts that were owed to CareMeridian. And then the amounts
      11
      12
          that were owed and not paid to CareMeridian was ultimately
          settled for a different amount, a lower amount than the amounts
      13
      14
          accrued on the OuickBooks record.
                      The QuickBooks records appropriately adjust the
02:58PM 15
      16
          expenses associated with CareMeridian to reflect the amount
      17
          that was ultimately paid to CareMeridian. And this spreadsheet
      18
          from Judy Regnier double-counts the amounts that were owed to
      19
          CareMeridian along with the amount that was actually paid to
          CareMeridian.
02:58PM 20
      21
                      MR. WYMAN: Your Honor, would you like to stop?
      22
                      THE COURT: That's fine.
      23
                      Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to stop here for
      24
          today. We'll resume tomorrow at 9:00 o'clock, regular day.
02:58PM 25
                      Please remember the admonition not to discuss the
```

```
1
          case with anyone, not to form any opinions on the issues in the
       2
          case until it's submitted to you, and no research, please.
                      So we'll see you 9:00 a.m. tomorrow. Have a good
       3
          evening.
       4
02:59PM
      5
                      THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
                      (Out of the presence of the jury.)
       6
       7
                      THE COURT: As part of Mr. Avenatti's filing at
          Docket 679 concerning the Gardner testimony, one of the
       8
          exhibits, the physical exhibit, the thumb drive, Exhibit B, how
03:00PM 10
          was that prepared?
      11
                      MR. AVENATTI: A video was taken, scrolling
      12
          through -- a video was taken, scrolling through the tweets
      13
          during the relevant time period as referenced in the motion, as
          opposed to taking umpteen screenshots and then stacking them as
      14
03:00PM 15
          an exhibit.
      16
                      THE COURT: Does it purport to pick up any threads
      17
          during the same period?
      18
                      MR. AVENATTI: I'm going to answer that as best I
      19
          can, if I think I understand what Your Honor is asking.
03:01PM 20
                      So on Twitter, if there is a successive tweet to a
      21
          prior tweet by the author of the original tweet, they are
      22
          stacked. And then at the end of the day there's an un- --
      23
          there's an unroll function on Twitter. You can send a message
      24
          to somebody and ask them -- or an account and ask them to
03:01PM 25
          unroll it, and they then list it in a thread form.
```

```
I think that's what Your Honor is asking. I do not
       1
       2
          believe that those are included. But whatever would be in a
          thread role would be included, although in a different form
       3
       4
          than what we provided to the Court. I hope that answers your
03:01PM
      5
          question.
       6
                     THE COURT: I think so. I think what you're telling
       7
          me is one way or another, if I went through those screenshots,
          I would see everything, although some of those screenshots
       8
          might be duplicated in a thread. But everything that took
03:02PM 10
          place during that time period would be there in one form or
     11
          another.
     12
                     MR. AVENATTI: Yes, sir. That's my understanding.
          And you can pause the video if you want to read it.
     13
     14
                     THE COURT: Right.
03:02PM 15
                     MR. AVENATTI: We tried to make it as easy as
     16
          possible. It wasn't easy to capture, Your Honor.
     17
                     THE COURT: Okay. The video says "22K" at the top
     18
          as part of a -- part of a heading that appears on each shot.
     19
                     MR. AVENATTI: That's the total number of, I
03:02PM 20
          believe, tweets or tweets and replies from that account since
      21
          inception to the present. So it's not just during the trial.
     22
                     THE COURT: So the 22K is less than that total -- or
     23
          the screenshots captured are less than that 22K?
      24
                     MR. AVENATTI: Yes, Your Honor.
03:03PM 25
                     THE COURT: Okay.
```

```
MR. AVENATTI: Yes.
       1
       2
                     THE COURT: Okay.
       3
                     MR. AVENATTI: That 22K would have been for however
          long that account has been around, which is -- is a number of
       4
03:03PM
      5
          years.
       6
                      THE COURT: Okay. I've made a sampling of -- that
       7
          video runs approximately 18 minutes, so I think I understand
          what's there. I've not looked at every single frame, but I
       8
          believe I've looked at enough frames to understand what there
03:03PM 10
          is in terms of types and frequency of various types of images.
      11
          Okay.
      12
                     MR. AVENATTI: If the Court desires it in some other
          form, we'll endeavor to provide that to you.
      13
      14
                      THE COURT: No. I think that works.
03:03PM 15
                     MR. AVENATTI: We tried to make it as user-friendly
      16
          as possible.
      17
                      THE COURT: Okay. Anything else we ought to take up
      18
          at this time?
      19
                     MR. AVENATTI: I have a couple issues, Your Honor.
03:03PM 20
                     THE COURT: Go ahead.
      21
                     MR. AVENATTI: First, I think in light of the
      22
          testimony that was elicited from Mr. Amenta, there are
      23
          significant concerns relating to whether we have all of the
          Jencks materials from Mr. Amenta.
      2.4
03:04PM 25
                      I used the magic words that Your Honor had pointed
```

```
1
          out relating to subject matter of the testimony. I don't -- I
       2
          have not had a chance to check. Ms. Cummings-Cefali checked
          while we were sitting here -- or while I was conducting the
       3
          examination, I should say. I don't believe we have these
       4
          e-mails between him and --
03:04PM
      5
       6
                     THE COURT: But you told me you haven't had a chance
          to check.
       7
       8
                     MR. AVENATTI: I agree. I'm happy to report back to
          the Court in the morning.
03:04PM 10
                     THE COURT: Please.
     11
                     MR. AVENATTI: Happy to do that, Your Honor.
     12
                     THE COURT: Then I assume the Government will make a
     13
          similar review. So let's take this up in the morning.
     14
                     MR. WYMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
03:04PM 15
                     MR. AVENATTI: And then, Your Honor, I want to
     16
          revisit something that I raised earlier today, and there's
     17
          another issue that goes along with that.
     18
                     The Government is now purporting to have an expert
     19
          testify in front of the jury who didn't review the relevant
          data, in violation of 702. He was asked what he reviewed in
03:05PM 20
      21
          preparation of these charts, Your Honor. There was not a
     22
          single mention of Tabs. They never had -- they spent
     23
          600-plus-thousand dollars with the expert. They were on --
      24
                     THE COURT: But by his testimony, not all on
03:05PM 25
          Counts 1 through 10.
```

```
MR. AVENATTI: Well, Your Honor, that's up for
       1
       2
          debate. And I'd welcome that debate, frankly.
       3
                     THE COURT: Well, I'll welcome further inquiry based
          upon what the Government's asked on that topic.
       4
03:05PM
      5
                     MR. AVENATTI: Well, frankly, I thought that the
       6
          question asked by Mr. Wyman -- I frankly think that that was
       7
          not identical to what Your Honor had instructed them to say.
          The way that they said "matters other than this one," it
       8
          suggested another criminal matter, frankly, but that's neither
03:06PM 10
          here nor there. I'm not raising that right now, Your Honor.
     11
                     The point is this: I don't care if they paid them
     12
          $1 or $650,000. They didn't have him review the Tabs data
          which reflects the actual costs incurred on the case. That --
     13
     14
                                 Sir, in my view, that goes to the weight
                     THE COURT:
03:06PM 15
          of his evidence. I'm not going to strike -- one, we don't have
     16
          a definitive answer as to the production of Tabs' data.
     17
          Assuming you're correct that it wasn't produced, I believe it's
     18
          a subject for cross-examination and it goes to the weight, not
     19
          the admissibility of his testimony.
03:06PM 20
                     MR. AVENATTI: You have our objection relating to --
      21
          I believe the expert should be -- that there should be a
     22
          Daubert hearing as to whether he reviewed any of the Tabs data.
     23
          Although, I think at this point it's fairly clear that he did
      2.4
          not, which I think is violative of 702.
03:06PM 25
                     THE COURT: Sir, you didn't ask him that. You
```

```
1
          haven't asked him that yet.
       2
                     MR. AVENATTI: Well, he was asked what he reviewed
       3
          and he didn't say anything about Tabs. And the reason is,
       4
          Your Honor, because they never had him look at Tabs.
03:07PM
      5
                     THE COURT: Well, sir --
                     MR. AVENATTI: I'm sorry.
       6
       7
                     THE COURT: Go ahead.
                     MR. AVENATTI: This --
       8
       9
                     THE COURT: This goes to the weight of his
03:07PM 10
          testimony. If you want to explore this further and make any
      11
          further motions based on what the testimony reveals, you're
          entitled to do that.
      12
                     MR. AVENATTI: And, Your Honor, this goes hand in
      13
          hand with what I raised earlier. And this is not -- it's not a
      14
          trick question or difficult question, okay? We were entitled
03:07PM 15
      16
          to production of the Tabs data during the pendency of this case
      17
          pursuant --
      18
                     THE COURT:
                                  The Government hasn't responded on that.
      19
          Why don't we take it up -- you raised that this morning.
03:07PM 20
                     MR. AVENATTI: Correct.
      21
                      THE COURT: Well, part of the day we were all
      22
          sitting here in court. So why don't we give the Government a
      23
          chance to follow up on your objections, that specific
      2.4
          objection, and take it up tomorrow.
03:07PM 25
                     MR. AVENATTI: I'll wait, Your Honor. I've waited
```

```
1
          two-and-a-half years. I can wait another night.
       2
                     THE COURT: Sir, you don't know whether and I don't
       3
          know whether you've been waiting two-and-a-half years for that
       4
          data until somebody tells me definitively it was or was not
03:08PM
      5
          produced.
       6
                     MR. AVENATTI: We'll see what the morning brings.
       7
                     THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?
                     MR. AVENATTI: Nothing further from the defense.
       8
                     THE COURT: Government have anything?
03:08PM 10
                     MR. WYMAN: No, Your Honor.
     11
                     THE COURT: How much more do you have with Mr. Drum?
     12
                     MR. WYMAN: I'm still relatively early on. I would
     13
          say probably an hour and a half.
     14
                     THE COURT: Okay. I thought I had understood your
03:08PM 15
          estimate to be an hour.
     16
                     MR. WYMAN: No, Your Honor. An hour and a half to
          two hours, I think, was my estimate.
     17
                     THE COURT: Okay. Fine. Well --
     18
     19
                                 I think I misstated, and I apologize. I
                     MR. SAGEL:
03:08PM 20
          think I said an hour, and I said the wrong number, Your Honor.
     21
                     THE COURT: Okay. Well, that clearly will take us
     22
          through the end of the day tomorrow with your cross.
     23
                     MR. AVENATTI: So we will not plan on having -- in
      2.4
          accordance with what Your Honor said earlier, we'll start our
03:09PM 25
          case on Tuesday.
```

```
1
                      THE COURT: Right. Maybe.
       2
                      MR. AVENATTI: God willing.
       3
                      THE COURT: Okay, if there's nothing further, we'll
          be in recess.
       4
                      THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. This court is in
      5
03:09PM
       6
           recess.
                      (Proceedings concluded at 3:09 p.m.)
       7
       8
                                         --000--
       9
      10
      11
      12
      13
      14
      15
      16
      17
      18
      19
      20
      21
      22
      23
      24
      25
```

```
1
                     CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER
 2
 3
    COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
    STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 4
                             )
                   I, DEBBIE HINO-SPAAN, FEDERAL OFFICIAL REALTIME
 5
 6
    COURT REPORTER, in and for the United States District Court for
 7
    the Central District of California, do hereby certify that
 8
    pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code that the
 9
    foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the
10
    stenographically reported proceedings held in the
11
    above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in
12
    conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of
13
    the United States.
14
15
    Date: August 12, 2021
16
17
18
19
                                    /S/ DEBBIE HINO-SPAAN
20
                                  Debbie Hino-Spaan, CSR No. 7953
                                  Federal Official Court Reporter
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

1-		0045 50.40	444 4 04 0 0	00000 - 0.40	adanta 2014
\$\frac{\fr	\$				• • •
2250,000 (p. 30.5	\$16 000 raj - 30·16				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
3422_358_3522_3 3532_062_{[1]} - 597 3560_000_{[1]} - 5225_2 3560_000_{[1]} - 3225_2 3560_000_{[1]} - 3225_2 3560_000_{[1]} - 3225_2 3560_000_{[1]} - 3225_2 3560_000_{[1]} - 3225_2 3560_000_{[1]} - 3225_2		· ·			• • •
\$840,000 -		, , ,		0100 [2] 02.21, 00.0	
S640,000 n - 57.12 2021 m - 11:15, 4.1, 420, 4222, 42.24, 47:15 213-894-2435 n - 11:15, 4.1, 450 n - 55:9, 55:21, 56:1, 56:2 450 n - 55:9, 56:12, 56:1, 56:2 56:1, 56:2		2019 [1] - 16:7	·	Δ	, , ,
\$650,000 (p) - 67.12			• • • • •		
213-894-2435 (n		42:20, 42:22, 42:24,	429 [1] - 56:4	A-m-e-n-t-a [1] - 38:23	42:12, 49:17
2-12 22 3-4, 25-4 22 3-34, 25-4 22 3-34, 25-4 23 3-18-39-16 24 3-18-28-16 24 3-18-28-16 24 3-18-28-16 24 3-18-28-16 24 3-18-28-16 24 3-18-28-16 24 3-18-28-16 24 3-18-28-16 24 3-18-28-16 24 3-18-28-16 24 3-18-28-16 24 3-18-28-16 24 3-18-28-16 24 3-18-28-16 24 3-18-28-16 24 3-18-28-16 24 3-18-28-16 24 3-18-28-16 3-18-28-16		71:15	450 [3] - 55:9, 55:21,		agent [6] - 25:1, 25:3,
\$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc	•				
\$\frac{93 \text{P}_1}{\text{P}_1} = 34.6 \ \$\frac{427}{24 \text{P}_1} = 34.6 \ \$28.6 \ \$\frac{427}{24 \text{P}_1} = 34.6 \ \$28.13 \ \$28.17 \ \$28.22 \ \$\frac{3}{24 \text{P}_1} = 34.18 \ \$28.6 \ \$\frac{3}{24 \text{P}_1} = 34.18 \ \$28.17 \ \$28.22 \ \$\frac{3}{24 \text{P}_1} = 34.18 \ \$28.17 \ \$28.22 \ \$\frac{3}{24 \text{P}_1} = 34.18 \ \$28.17 \ \$\frac{2}{24 \text{P}_1} = 34.18 \ \$\					
1	'93 [1] - 39:16				
Ath	,	•		-	
SE 17 - 17 : 19	1				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	/S [1] - 71·19				
1					
1 9 -4:8, 6.8, 6.10, 6.14, 6:17, 6:23, 7:1, 7:5, 7:8, 7:9, 28:25, 34:23, 34:24, 34:25, 34:24, 34:25, 34:24, 34:25, 34:24, 34:24, 34:25, 34:24, 34:24, 34:25, 34:24, 34:24, 34:25, 34:24, 34:24, 34:25, 34:24, 34:24, 34:25, 34:24, 34:24, 34:25, 34:24, 34:24, 34:25, 34:24, 34:24, 34:25, 34:24, 34:24, 34:25, 34:24, 34:24, 34:25, 34:24, 34:24, 34:25, 34:24, 34:24, 34:25, 34:24, 34:24, 1.30, 2015-3.30, 2015-	1			=	
1 19 -4:8, 6.8, 6:10, 6:14, 6:17, 6:23, 7:1. 75, 7:8, 7:9, 28:25, 34:23, 34:24, 34:25, 36:25, 67:12 294 31 - 19:2, 23:11, 23:22 29th 4 - 56:9, 56:12, 56:13, 30-215 10; 3:23 1.6g; - 57:10, 58:20 1.2gh; 17 - 30:3 1.300/15 17 - 29:15 10g; - 5:31 31; - 20:16 31; - 3:3, 20:15 66:25 30th 17 - 58:20 31; - 3:3 100 10; - 5:3 31; - 3:3 300 10; - 15:3 300 10; -					•
614, 6:17, 6:23, 7:1, 75, 78, 79, 28:25, 34:23, 34:24, 34:25, 35:8, 56:19, 58:5, 56:19, 58:5, 56:19, 58:5, 56:19, 58:5, 56:19, 58:5, 56:19, 58:5, 56:19, 58:20 1/26/17 (n) - 30:3 1/30/15 (n) - 29:15 100 (n) - 5:3 100 (n) - 5:3 100 (n) - 29:17 117 28:19, 37:7, 55:23 1081 (n) - 19:7, 20:15 11/19 11:7 11:9 11:9 11:9 11:9 11:9 11:9 11					_
7.5, 7.8, 7.9, 28.25, 34.23, 34.25, 34.24, 34.25, 35.8, 56.19, 58.5, 56.19, 58.19, 58.5, 56.19, 58.19, 59.19, 59.19, 59.29, 59.19, 59.29, 59.19, 59.29, 59.19, 59.29, 59.19, 59.29, 59.19, 59.29, 59.19, 59.29, 59.19, 59.29, 59				account [16] - 11:17,	agreement [4] - 56:15,
35:8, 56:19, 58:5, 66:25, 67:12 1-053 [II - 1:24 1.30.2015-3.30.2015 [II - 3:23 1.6 [2] - 57:10, 58:20 1.726 [II - 3:23 1.6 [2] - 57:10, 58:20 1.726 [II - 3:23 1.720 [II - 3:24				12:4, 12:15, 29:19,	57:12, 57:14, 57:15
66:25, 67:12	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	294 [3] - 19:2, 23:11,	5		•
1-053 [t] - 1:24 1.30.2015-3.30.2015 [t] - 3:23 3.6 [2] - 57:10, 58:20 1/26/17 [t] - 30:3 1/30/15 [t] - 29:15 100 [t] - 53:3 1/30/15 [t] - 29:15 100 [t] - 53:3 1006 [t] - 28:17, 28:19, 37:7, 55:23 1081 [t] - 10:3 35, 267 [t] - 61:19 37 [t] - 30:3 1081 [t] - 20:16 30th [t] - 58:20 31 [t] - 30:3 1081 [t] - 30:3 109 [t] - 11:6 11:29 [t] - 11:6 11:29 [t] - 11:7 20:15 1100 [t] - 2:11 11:29 [t] - 11:7 12 [t] - 11:5 13 [t] - 30:5 14 [t] - 30:5 15 [t] - 30:14 16 [t] - 30:14 16 [t] - 30:14 17 [t] - 2:15 18 [t] - 30:14 18 [t] - 30:14 19 [t] - 30:14 19 [t] - 30:14 11:29 [t] - 11:6 11:29 [t] - 11:7 11:20 [t		23:22		, , ,	
1.30.2015-3.30.2015					
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
1.6 2 - 57:10, 58:20 1/26/17 1 - 30:3 1/30/15 1 - 29:15 3 3 6/18/2018 1 - 30:14 600-plus-thousand			58 [1] - 3:23	_	
1/26/17 [ij - 30:3 1/30/15 [ij - 29:15 3 3 6/18/2018 [ij - 30:14 60:25 100 [ij - 5:3 31 [ij - 20:16 30th [ij - 58:20 31 [ij - 3:6 640,000 [ij - 5:1 679 [ij - 63:8 50:14, 50:13, 51:1 accounting [ij - 5:12, 51:2, 51:3, 51:1, 51:1 accounting [ij - 5:12, 51:2, 51:3, 51:1, 5		2:30 [1] - 11:6	6		_
1/30/15 - 29:15 3			O		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1/30/15 [1] - 29:15	3	6/18/2018 [1] - 30:14		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10 [3] - 3:3, 20:15,	3 [1] - 20:16	600-plus-thousand	Accounting [3] -	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
1006			[1] - 66:23	50:12, 50:13, 51:1	almost [1] - 7:17
28:19, 37:7, 55:23 1081 [3] - 19:7, 20:7, 20:15 384 [6] - 3:23, 57:18, 57:21, 57:22, 58:3, 58:4 7 [1] - 42:20 7 [1] - 42:20 7 [1] - 42:20 7 [1] - 11:7 12 [1] - 11:7 12 [1] - 11:7 136 [6] - 38:3 139 [1] - 61:13 39 [1] - 3:9 7 [1] - 42:20 7 [31 [1] - 3:6		<u> </u>	AMENTA [2] - 3:8,
1081 [3] - 19:7, 20:7, 20:15 37 [2] - 3:7, 3:7 37 [2] - 3:7, 3:7 37 [2] - 3:23, 57:18, 57:21, 57:22, 58:3, 58:4 386,893 [1] - 61:13 39 [1] - 3:9 394 [3] - 57:19, 57:23, 58:1 716 [1] - 2:18 3:00 [3] - 6:25, 7:11, 19 [1] - 1:9 1:06 [1] - 1:9 3:09 [1] - 7:7 3:45 [1] - 4:10 8 [1] - 3:23 7:120 7:16, 67:13 345 [1] - 4:10 8 [1] - 2:6 3 [1] - 6:14 366,893 [2] - 3:4, 65:7 9:23 3:09 [1] - 7:7 3:45 [1] - 4:10 8 [1] - 6:14 366,893 [2] - 6:25, 7:11, 19 [2] - 3:4, 65:7 9:23 3:09 [1] - 7:7 3:45 [1] - 4:10 8 [1] - 6:26 7:16, 6:219 3:09 [1] - 7:7 3:45 [1] - 4:10 8 [1] - 6:26 7:16, 6:219 3:09 [1] - 7:7 3:45 [1] - 4:10 8 [1] - 6:14 360 [1] - 2:6 360 [1] - 2:6 360 [1] - 2:6 360 [1] - 2:5 [2] - 1:16, 4:2 9 [1] - 42:24 9 [1] -		312 [1] - 2:11	679 [1] - 63:8		38:19
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
11 [ii] - 11:6			1		
1100 [1] - 2:11			7 [4] = 42·20	-	
11:29 [1] - 11:7 386,893 [1] - 61:13 39 [1] - 3:9 39 [1] - 3:9 34:25, 35:3, 36:23, 36:23, 36:23, 36:23, 36:25, 54:19, 57:23, 58:1 39 [1] - 3:9 34:25, 35:3, 36:23, 36:23, 36:25, 54:19, 54:23, 55:16 36:25, 54:19, 54:23, 55:16 36:25, 54:19, 54:23, 56:9, 56:12, 56:21, 56:9, 56:12, 56:21, 57:8, 58:22, 60:8, 36:25, 54:19, 54:23, 36:25, 54:19, 54:23, 56:10, 51:5, 15:24 36:25, 54:19, 54:23, 56:21, 56:21, 57:8, 58:22, 60:8, 36:25, 54:19, 54:23, 36:24, 57:8, 58:22, 60:8, 36:10, 61:12, 61:12, 61:12, 61:12, 61:12, 61:12, 61:12, 61:13, 36:18, 56:13, 61:14 36:25, 54:19, 54:23, 56:21, 56:9, 56:12, 56:21, 57:8, 58:22, 60:8, 36:25, 54:19, 54:23, 36:25, 54:19, 54:23, 36:24, 57:8, 58:22, 60:8, 36:25, 54:19, 54:23, 36:25, 54:19, 54:23, 36:25, 54:19, 54:23, 36:25, 54:19, 54:23, 36:24, 57:8, 58:22, 60:8, 36:24, 736,000 [1] - 61:24, 736,000 [1] - 61:24, 736,000 [1] - 61:14, 736,000 [1] - 7:18,				- / -	
12 [4] - 1:15, 4:1, 39:9, 71:15 39 [1] - 3:9 39 [3] - 57:19, 57:23, 16 [1] - 42:22 58:1 736,000 [1] - 62:6 736,883 [1] - 71:8 9:23 3:09 [1] - 70:7 3:45 [1] - 4:10 8 12:5 [2] - 1:16, 4:2 4 [6] - 20:20, 21:4, 57:19, 57:23, 61:1 57:19, 57:23, 61:1 2009 [1] - 40:19 2011 [1] - 52:1 2012 [1] - 50:21 2012 [1] - 50:21 2012 [1] - 50:21 2012 [1] - 50:21 55:24 2011 [1] - 55:24 403 [3] - 26:3, 33:21, 55:24 57:18, 55:31 57:18, 55:31 57:18, 55:31 57:18, 55:31 57:18 55:16 36:25, 54:19, 54:23, 56:9, 56:12, 56:21, 56:9, 56:12, 56:21, 56:9, 56:12, 56:21, 56:9, 56:12, 56:21, 57:8, 58:22, 60:8, 736,883 [1] - 61:24 736,883 [1] - 61:24 753 [1] - 71:8 753 [1] - 71:8 7953 [2] - 1:23, 71:20 7953 [2] - 1:23, 71:20 7953 [2] - 1:23, 71:20 7953 [2] - 1:23, 71:20 8 7953 [2] - 1:23, 71:20 8 7953 [2] - 1:23, 71:20 8 7953 [2] - 1:23, 71:20 8 7953 [2] - 1:23, 71:20 8 7953 [2] - 2:3 7953 [2] - 1:23, 71:20 8 7953 [2] - 1:23, 71:20 8 7953 [2] - 2:3 7953 [2] - 1:23, 71:20 8 7953 [2] - 2:3 7					
71:15 71:15 71:15 71:16 -42:22 716 -42:22 717 -2:18 718 -2:18 718 -2:18 719 -1:9 71:19 -1:19 71:10 -1:19 71:10 -1:19 71				•	
16 [1] - 42:22 58:1 736,000 [1] - 62:6 15:15, 15:24 57:8, 58:22, 60:8, 60:12, 61:12, 62:13, 62:13, 62:16, 62:19 18 [2] - 3:4, 65:7 9:23 3:09 [1] - 70:7 3:09 [1] - 70:7 3:45 [1] - 4:10 15:15, 15:24 actual [2] - 7:16, 67:13 add [5] - 4:16, 10:23, 12:11, 12:14, 12:18 additional [2] - 8:2, 20:14 actual [2] - 7:16, 67:13 add [5] - 4:16, 10:23, 12:11, 12:14, 12:18 additional [2] - 8:2, 20:14 actual [2] - 7:16, 67:13 add [5] - 4:16, 10:23, 12:11, 12:14, 12:18 additional [2] - 8:2, 20:14 actual [2] - 7:16, 67:13 add [5] - 4:16, 10:23, 12:11, 12:14, 12:18 additional [2] - 8:2, 20:14 actual [2] - 7:16, 67:13 add [5] - 4:16, 10:23, 12:11, 12:14, 12:18 additional [2] - 8:2, 20:14 actual [2] - 7:16, 67:13 add [5] - 4:16, 10:23, 12:11, 12:14, 12:18 additional [2] - 8:2, 20:14 actual [2] - 7:16, 67:13 add [5] - 4:16, 10:23, 12:11, 12:14, 12:18 additional [2] - 8:2, 20:14 actual [2] - 7:16, 67:13 add [5] - 4:16, 10:23, 12:11, 12:14, 12:18 additional [2] - 8:2, 20:14 actual [2] - 7:16, 67:13 add [5] - 4:16, 10:23, 12:11, 12:14, 12:18 additional [2] - 8:2, 20:14 actual [2] - 7:16, 67:13 add [5] - 4:16, 10:23, 12:11, 12:14, 12:18 additional [2] - 8:2, 20:14 actual [2] - 7:16, 67:13 add [5] - 4:16, 10:23, 12:11, 12:14, 12:18 additional [2] - 8:2, 20:14 actual [2] - 7:16, 67:13 add [5] - 4:16, 10:23, 12:11, 12:14, 12:18 additional [2] - 8:2, 20:14, 37:7, 58:1 actual [2] - 7:16, 67:13 add [5] - 4:16, 10:23, 12:11, 12:14, 12:18 additional [2] - 8:2, 20:14, 37:7, 58:1 actual [2] - 7:16, 67:13 add [5] - 4:16, 10:23, 12:14, 12:18 additional [2] - 8:2, 20:14, 37:7, 58:1 actual [2] - 7:16, 67:13 add [5] - 4:16, 10:23, 12:					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16 [1] - 42:22				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					60:12, 61:12, 62:13,
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					62:16, 62:19
1:25 [2] - 1:16, 4:2 8 20:14 Ana [1] - 2:7 4 [6] - 20:20, 21:4, 21:13, 36:18, 56:13, 57:19, 57:23, 61:1 2009 [1] - 40:19 2011 [1] - 55:24 4 [6] - 20:20, 21:4, 800 [1] - 27:6 8000 [1] - 2:6 Ana [1] - 2:7 ANA [3] - 1:17, 1:25, 4:1 adequate [3] - 6:4, 37:7, 58:1 adjust [1] - 62:15 adjust [1] - 62:15 admissibility [1] - 62:15 admissibility [1] - 67:19 analysis [9] - 27:25, 50:3, 50:6, 52:9, 50:3, 50:6, 52:9, 50:13, 52:16, 52:21, 57:18 9 [1] - 42:24 9013 [1] - 50:21 9 [1] - 42:24 57:18			7953 [2] - 1:23, 71:20		amounts [4] - 62:11,
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		3:45 [1] - 4:10		additional [2] - 8:2,	
2 8 [1] - 61:14 adequate [3] - 6:4, 4:1 2 [6] - 1:9, 30:12, 57:2, 4 [6] - 20:20, 21:4, 800 [1] - 27:6 37:7, 58:1 analyses [4] - 49:21, 57:19, 57:23, 61:1 61:8 401 [1] - 55:24 9 admissibility [1] - 62:15 50:1, 52:10, 52:17 analysis [9] - 27:25, 2009 [1] - 40:19 401 [1] - 55:24 9 admissibility [1] - 67:19 50:3, 50:6, 52:9, 2012 [1] - 50:21 55:24 9 [1] - 42:24 57:18 57:18 53:18, 55:3	1:25 [2] - 1:16, 4:2		8		
2 [6] - 1:9, 30:12, 57:2, 57:19, 57:23, 61:1 4 [6] - 20:20, 21:4, 21:13, 36:18, 56:13, 57:19, 57:23, 61:1 8000 [1] - 27:6 8000 [1] - 2:6 37:7, 58:1 adjust [1] - 62:15 adjust [1] - 62:15 admissibility [1] - 67:19 analysis [9] - 27:25, 50:3, 50:6, 52:9, 2011 [1] - 50:21 2009 [1] - 40:19 2012 [1] - 50:21 50:21 403 [3] - 26:3, 33:21, 55:24 9 [1] - 42:24 90013 [1] - 21:2 37:7, 58:1 adjust [1] - 62:15 admissibility [1] - 62:15 50:3, 50:6, 52:9, 50:3, 50:6, 52:9, 50:3, 50:6, 52:9, 50:3, 50:6, 52:9, 50:3, 50:6, 52:21, 57:18		1	8 [1] - 61·14		
2 [6] - 1:9, 30:12, 57:2, 57:19, 57:23, 61:1 21:13, 36:18, 56:13, 61:8 8000 [1] - 2:6 adjust [1] - 62:15 admissibility [1] - 62:15 admissibility [1] - 67:19 50:1, 52:10, 52:17, 50:3, 50:6, 52:9, 50:3, 50:6, 52:9, 50:3, 50:6, 52:9, 50:18, 55:24 2009 [1] - 40:19 admit [2] - 50:21 admit [2] - 55:21, 50:3, 50:6, 52:9, 50:18, 55:3 9 [1] - 42:24 admit [2] - 55:21, 57:18 50:1, 52:10, 52:17, 50:1, 52:10, 52:17, 50:3, 50:6, 52:9, 50:3, 50:6, 52:9, 50:3, 50:6, 52:21, 57:18				= 2000113t0 [3] - 6:4	4.1
57:19, 57:23, 61:1 2009 [1] - 40:19 2011 [1] - 52:1 2012 [1] - 50:21 55:24 9 [1] - 42:24 9 [1] - 42:24 9 [1] - 42:24 9 [1] - 42:24 9 [1] - 52:11 55:24 9 [1] - 42:24	2			•	analyses to 40:04
2009 [1] - 40:19 2011 [1] - 52:1 2012 [1] - 50:21 2012 [1] - 50:21 2013 [2] - 50:21 2014 [2] - 50:21 2015 [2] - 50:21 2015 [2] - 50:21 2016 [2] - 50:21 2017 [2] - 50:21 2018 [2] - 50:21 2018 [2] - 50:21 2019 [2		4 _[6] - 20:20, 21:4,	800 [1] - 27:6	37:7, 58:1	•
2011 [1] - 52:1 403 [3] - 26:3, 33:21, 9 [1] - 42:24	2 [6] - 1:9, 30:12, 57:2,	4 _[6] - 20:20, 21:4, 21:13, 36:18, 56:13,	800 [1] - 27:6	37:7, 58:1 adjust [1] - 62:15	50:1, 52:10, 52:17
2012 [1] - 50:21 55:24 57:18 53:18, 55:3	2 [6] - 1:9, 30:12, 57:2, 57:19, 57:23, 61:1	4 _[6] - 20:20, 21:4, 21:13, 36:18, 56:13, 61:8	800 [1] - 27:6 8000 [1] - 2:6	37:7, 58:1 adjust [1] - 62:15 admissibility [1] -	50:1, 52:10, 52:17 analysis [9] - 27:25,
2013 [1] - 50:21 41 [1] - 56:19 90012 [1] - 2:12 admonition [1] - 62:25 Analysis [14] - 3:20,	2 _[6] - 1:9, 30:12, 57:2, 57:19, 57:23, 61:1 2009 _[1] - 40:19 2011 _[1] - 52:1	4 [6] - 20:20, 21:4, 21:13, 36:18, 56:13, 61:8 401 [1] - 55:24	800 [1] - 27:6 8000 [1] - 2:6	37:7, 58:1 adjust [1] - 62:15 admissibility [1] - 67:19	50:1, 52:10, 52:17 analysis [9] - 27:25,
	2 _[6] - 1:9, 30:12, 57:2, 57:19, 57:23, 61:1 2009 _[1] - 40:19 2011 _[1] - 52:1	4 [6] - 20:20, 21:4, 21:13, 36:18, 56:13, 61:8 401 [1] - 55:24 403 [3] - 26:3, 33:21,	800 [1] - 27:6 8000 [1] - 2:6 9 [1] - 42:24	37:7, 58:1 adjust [1] - 62:15 admissibility [1] - 67:19 admit [2] - 55:21,	50:1, 52:10, 52:17 analysis [9] - 27:25, 50:3, 50:6, 52:9, 52:13, 52:16, 52:21,
	2 _[6] - 1:9, 30:12, 57:2, 57:19, 57:23, 61:1 2009 _[1] - 40:19 2011 _[1] - 52:1 2012 _[1] - 50:21	4 [6] - 20:20, 21:4, 21:13, 36:18, 56:13, 61:8 401 [1] - 55:24 403 [3] - 26:3, 33:21, 55:24	800 [1] - 27:6 8000 [1] - 2:6 9 [1] - 42:24	37:7, 58:1 adjust [1] - 62:15 admissibility [1] - 67:19 admit [2] - 55:21, 57:18	50:1, 52:10, 52:17 analysis [9] - 27:25, 50:3, 50:6, 52:9, 52:13, 52:16, 52:21, 53:18, 55:3

3:22, 49:18, 49:19, 49:20, 49:22, 51:22, 51:25, 52:12, 52:20, 52:24, 53:2, 55:2, Analyst [1] - 50:19 analyze [1] - 53:6 Andre [1] - 16:11 **Angeles** [1] - 2:12 **ANGELES** [1] - 71:3 anon [2] - 10:13, 10:16 **ANON** [1] - 10:13 anonymous [1] -10:17 answer [16] - 5:9, 5:15, 5:21, 14:16, 17:15, 19:4, 23:17, 26:17, 35:5, 36:4, 36:18, 36:20, 63:18, 67:16 answered [4] - 13:5, 22:22, 32:8, 37:23 **answers** [1] - 64:4 antibiotics [1] - 4:19 anticipate [4] - 5:12, 5:18, 9:1, 9:9 apologies [1] - 48:9 apologize [2] - 13:8, 69:19 **app** [2] - 11:13 APPEARANCES [1] -2:1 appointment [4] -4:10, 4:11, 4:18, 6:13 appreciate [1] - 7:1 appropriate [1] - 15:3 appropriately [1] -62:15 approved [1] - 53:1 approximate [1] - 43:9 apps [1] - 22:25 **April** [4] - 16:7, 20:17, 40:11, 42:3 argumentative [2] -22:17, 33:16 aspect [1] - 52:9 asset [1] - 51:18 Assistant [2] - 2:5, 2.10 assistant [1] - 51:5 assisted [5] - 32:3, 32:6, 32:11, 51:7, 51:16 associate [1] - 51:14 associated [4] -59:21, 59:23, 61:2, 62:16 **Associates** [1] - 29:19

assume [1] - 66:12 assuming [1] - 67:17 attached [1] - 60:17 attachment [1] - 61:1 attempting [1] - 15:2 attend [1] - 50:7 attention [2] - 9:23, Attorney [4] - 2:4, 2:5, 2:9, 2:10 attorney [1] - 30:8 attorney's [3] - 35:13, 35:20. 58:23 attorney-client [1] -30:8 attorneys [2] - 14:25, 16:11 August [2] - 42:24, 71:15 AUGUST [2] - 1:15, AUSA [5] - 24:2, 32:2, 43:21, 43:23, 43:25 AUSAs [4] - 16:18, 17:19, 18:6, 47:22 authentication [1] -55:24 author [1] - 63:21 available [1] - 24:8 Avenatti [25] - 3:3, 3:4, 3:6, 3:7, 3:9, 5:2, 6:3, 7:25, 9:24, 19:16, 20:3, 20:7, 22:4, 25:11, 29:19, 30:8, 31:1, 37:18, 42:8, 52:22, 57:16, 58:11, 59:16, 60:7, 60:23 **AVENATTI** [119] - 1:8, 2:15, 2:16, 5:3, 5:5, 5:18, 8:17, 9:4, 9:7, 10:3, 10:19, 11:21, 11:24, 13:7, 13:24, 14:2, 14:18, 14:21, 15:6, 15:23, 16:3, 16:5, 16:25, 17:6, 17:9, 17:16, 17:18, 17:25, 18:2, 18:11, 18:20, 19:10, 19:15, 19:18, 19:22, 20:1, 20:10, 20:25, 21:14, 22:6, 22:10, 22:13, 22:15, 22:20, 22:24, 23:2, 23:4, 23:10, 23:15, 23:17, 24:1, 24:5, 24:7, 26:2, 26:14, 27:3, 27:22, 28:3, 28:18, 31:3, 31:18, 32:11, 32:21, 33:6, 33:9, 33:18,

33:24, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 35:16, 35:24, 37:6, 37:9, 37:20, 37:25, 38:4, 38:12, 38:14, 42:1, 42:10, 44:13, 44:16, 48:10, 48:14, 48:22, 54:21, 55:4, 55:22, 57:20, 57:24, 63:11, 63:18, 64:12, 64:15, 64:19, 64:24, 65:1, 65:3, 65:12, 65:15, 65:19, 65:21, 66:8, 66:11, 66:15, 67:1, 67:5, 67:20, 68:2, 68:6, 68:8, 68:13, 68:20, 68:25, 69:6, 69:8, 69:23. 70:2 Avenatti's [2] - 59:20, 63:7 aware [3] - 13:3, 36:23, 47:25

В

B.Go[2] - 6:7, 6:12 Bachelor [1] - 50:12 background [2] -50:6, 50:7 bank [21] - 25:8, 26:9, 26:19, 26:20, 26:22, 27:20, 27:25, 28:2, 28:14, 37:12, 39:11, 40:7, 45:13, 53:19, 54:9, 54:12, 54:13, 56:15, 56:20, 58:16, 59:2 Bank [12] - 26:13, 26:20, 26:21, 27:8, 29:24, 39:7, 39:8, 39:9, 39:14, 40:9 banking [1] - 39:25 bankruptcy [1] - 52:17 banks [1] - 39:9 Bar [1] - 30:7 Barela [1] - 53:13 Baristas [1] - 29:25 based [10] - 7:12, 7:18, 7:23, 27:25, 37:12, 54:25, 56:14, 57:13, 67:3, 68:11 Beach [14] - 2:19, 14:9, 14:22, 15:7, 15:11, 15:16, 15:25, 16:19, 17:1, 17:12, 17:21, 18:8, 18:17, 24:3 become [1] - 5:14 beginning [1] - 11:3

behind [2] - 28:7, 49:5 believes [2] - 4:19, 8:1 Bellis [1] - 33:5 belonged [1] - 15:17 below [5] - 12:6, 30:1, 57:8, 59:5, 61:20 beneficiary [7] -29:21, 29:23, 30:9, 30:10, 30:17, 30:18, 30.22 benefit [1] - 45:5 best [4] - 14:14, 17:13, 55:23, 63:18 between [4] - 40:8, 40:9, 57:15, 66:5 beyond [3] - 14:23, 23:11, 23:23 binder [3] - 34:16, 41:3, 55:7 binders [1] - 28:7 **blow** [3] - 56:7, 58:7, 60:19 **blurry** [1] - 17:15 board [2] - 39:10, 51:7 Board [1] - 51:1 book [1] - 19:3 bottom [2] - 61:9, 61:14 break [6] - 9:5, 10:4, 13:14, 22:7, 22:8, 22:10 Bredahl [1] - 6:22 BRETT [1] - 2:5 brett.sagel@usdoj. gov [1] - 2:8 briefly [1] - 50:24 bring [1] - 4:21 brings [1] - 69:6 burden [1] - 14:23 business [3] - 5:17, 51:17, 58:1 **BY** [56] - 2:5, 2:18, 3:3, 3:5, 3:8, 3:10, 10:3, 14:2, 14:21, 15:6, 15:23, 16:5, 16:25, 17:9, 17:18, 18:11, 18:24, 19:13, 20:14, 21:3, 21:18, 22:6, 22:15, 22:20, 22:24, 23:10, 23:17, 24:1, 24:5, 24:22, 26:6, 26:18, 27:5, 27:25, 28:6, 28:23, 31:3, 31:18, 32:11, 32:21, 33:9, 33:18, 33:24, 34:11, 35:24, 37:11, 37:20, 37:25, 38:4, 39:3. 42:10. 48:10.

49:16, 54:25, 55:7,

56:3

C

C-I-a-r-k [1] - 24:18 CA [1] - 1:25 calculate [3] - 59:18, 62:1, 62:7 calculation [1] - 61:5 California [8] - 2:7, 2:12, 2:19, 26:13, 26:20, 27:8, 30:7, 71.7 **CALIFORNIA** [4] - 1:2, 1:17, 4:1, 71:4 CALLED [4] - 3:3, 3:5, 3:8, 3:10 capture [1] - 64:16 captured [1] - 64:23 care [2] - 9:22, 67:11 CareMeridian [7] -62:8, 62:11, 62:12, 62:16, 62:17, 62:19, 62:20 Carlos [2] - 16:11, 18:16 Case [1] - 1:6 case [26] - 8:14, 13:4, 13:10, 14:4, 14:6, 25:10, 25:14, 25:16, 25:19, 25:22, 31:8, 31:9, 31:10, 42:17, 52:22, 55:18, 59:7, 59:10, 59:23, 59:25, 61:3, 63:1, 63:2, 67:13, 68:16, 69:25 case-related [1] - 59:7 cc'd [2] - 43:21, 43:23 Cefali [1] - 66:2 centers [1] - 40:12 Central [1] - 71:7 **CENTRAL** [1] - 1:2 central [1] - 39:11 certainly [1] - 7:17 CERTIFICATE [1] -71:1 certified [1] - 50:18 **CERTIFIED** [1] - 1:5 certify [1] - 71:7 CFA[1] - 50:21 chance [3] - 66:2, 66:6, 68:23 changed [1] - 52:6 charge [2] - 14:10, 25:24 chart [38] - 27:13, 27:17, 27:20, 28:1, 28:8, 28:10, 28:24, 33:1, 33:11, 33:14, 33:20, 33:25, 34:4, 34:6, 34:12, 34:13, 34:17, 34:25, 35:2,

behalf [1] - 16:12

35:6, 36:3, 36:20, 37:14, 37:25, 38:8, 41:7, 41:10, 44:7, 44:8, 44:10, 44:18, 44:21, 44:24, 45:20, 56:8, 56:11 Chartered [1] - 50:19 charts [6] - 25:18, 55:2, 55:13, 55:16, 56:4, 66:21 Chase [2] - 30:10 chat [1] - 12:17 check [6] - 56:21, 56:23, 56:25, 57:3, 66:2, 66:7 checked [1] - 66:2 chief [1] - 39:13 City [3] - 26:13, 26:21, 27:7 civil [4] - 15:12, 15:15, 15:17, 15:24 claims [1] - 16:7 CLARK [2] - 3:5, 24:14 Clark [6] - 24:13, 24:17, 28:24, 30:24, 31:4, 37:12 clear [1] - 67:23 clearly [1] - 69:21 client [2] - 30:8, 56:4 clients [5] - 35:14, 35:20, 53:7, 53:9, 53:18 close [1] - 13:17 closer [1] - 48:7 Code [1] - 71:8 colleagues [3] - 15:9, 17:1, 24:2 collectively [1] - 9:19 college [1] - 50:8 colloquy [1] - 21:1 Colorado [1] - 8:7 column [4] - 28:25, 29:2, 29:3, 29:6 coming [3] - 5:25, 8:18, 9:8 commercial [1] -52:18 committed [1] - 14:24 communicate [1] -43:16 communicated [3] -13:9, 42:13, 47:3 communication [2] -40:3, 40:5 communications [8] -14:4, 43:3, 43:6, 43:10, 43:24, 44:4, 45:2, 45:22 companies [1] - 51:16 company [3] - 21:19,

49:20, 52:20 compile [1] - 45:1 complete [2] - 34:6, 34.9 complex [1] - 51:16 compliance [1] -39.22 concentrate [1] - 7:3 concerning [2] - 53:7, 63.8 concerns [1] - 65:23 concluded [1] - 70:7 conclusion [1] - 15:2 conduct [2] - 50:3, 52:21 conducting [4] - 52:8, 52:13, 53:17, 66:3 Conference [1] -71:12 confirm [1] - 48:10 conformance [1] -71:12 conjecture [1] - 21:14 connection [1] - 50:4 constitute [2] - 36:24, 48.2 consulting [1] - 49:20 contacted [1] - 15:8 contain [1] - 27:2 contained [1] - 54:17 content [1] - 56:7 continue [1] - 50:22 continued [2] - 5:6, 5:14 contract [1] - 52:18 conversation [1] -42.19 conversations [2] -17:3, 18:14 conversed [1] - 32:12 convey [1] - 47:21 copy [2] - 5:11 corp [1] - 29:20 Corporate [1] - 2:18 correct [45] - 10:14, 10:17, 11:3, 11:4, 12:11, 12:23, 16:15, 19:5, 28:1, 31:7, 31:19, 31:20, 32:7, 32:10, 32:14, 34:2, 34:12, 35:4, 35:6, 35:7, 35:24, 36:18, 36:19, 37:1, 38:5, 42:13, 42:21, 42:23, 42:25, 43:2, 44:9, 44:12, 44:22, 45:16, 47:5, 47:8, 47:20,

48:3, 48:4, 48:6,

68:20, 71:9

48:9, 48:12, 67:17,

correctly [1] - 10:24 corruption [1] - 25:8 Cosmetics [1] - 21:20 cost [3] - 36:9, 36:15, 61:5 cost-related [1] - 61:5 Costa [1] - 6:14 costs [3] - 35:14, 35:20, 67:13 counsel [1] - 20:4 **COUNSEL** [2] - 2:1, 2:16 counting [1] - 62:4 Counts [1] - 66:25 counts [3] - 25:24, 26:6, 62:18 **COUNTY** [1] - 71:3 couple [1] - 65:19 COURT [132] - 1:1, 1:24, 4:8, 4:21, 5:2, 5:4, 5:16, 5:22, 5:25, 6:3, 6:7, 6:11, 6:15, 6:21, 6:24, 7:3, 7:7, 7:21, 8:5, 8:10, 8:13, 9:2, 9:5, 9:11, 9:13, 9:16, 9:18, 9:21, 13:6, 14:1, 14:13, 14:15, 14:20, 15:4, 15:21, 16:1, 16:22, 17:8, 17:17, 18:1, 18:3, 18:21, 19:12, 19:25. 20:3. 20:12. 21:2. 21:16. 22:4. 22:12, 22:14, 22:19, 22:23, 23:3, 23:5, 23:16, 24:4, 24:6, 24:9, 24:19, 26:4, 26:15, 26:17, 27:4, 27:23, 28:4, 28:21, 31:1, 31:16, 32:9, 32:18, 33:3, 33:8, 33:17, 33:22, 34:10, 35:17, 37:8, 37:18, 37:24, 38:3, 38:11, 38:13, 38:16, 38:25, 42:2, 42:8, 44:15, 48:17, 48:19, 48:24, 49:2, 49:13, 54:22, 55:5, 55:25, 57:25, 62:22, 63:7, 63:16, 64:6, 64:14, 64:17, 64:22, 64:25, 65:2, 65:6, 65:14, 65:17, 65:20, 66:6, 66:10, 66:12, 66:24, 67:3, 67:14, 67:25, 68:5, 68:7, 68:9, 68:18, 68:21, 69:2, 69:7, 69:9, 69:11, 69:14,

70:3, 71:6 court [8] - 5:8, 15:17, 49:6, 60:9, 60:10, 68:22, 70:5 Court [8] - 4:6, 5:12, 13:8. 64:4. 65:12. 66:9, 71:6, 71:20 courthouse [2] -13:16, 13:21 COURTROOM [9] -6:9, 24:15, 38:20, 38:24, 49:5, 49:8, 49:12, 63:5, 70:5 courtroom [2] - 6:10, cover [1] - 4:6 CPA[1] - 50:21 create [4] - 27:16, 35:2, 38:8, 55:2 creates [1] - 51:2 creating [3] - 34:24, 34:25, 51:8 creation [1] - 33:25 Credits [1] - 58:19 crime [7] - 14:11, 14:24, 36:2, 36:7, 36:12, 36:24, 48:2 crimes [2] - 25:7, 25:9 criminal [3] - 15:17, 25:2, 67:9 CROSS [3] - 10:2, 31:2. 42:9 cross [9] - 5:7, 5:10, 5:14, 7:12, 7:16, 7:19, 8:1, 67:18, 69:22 Cross [3] - 3:3, 3:6, 3:9 **CROSS-EXAMINATION**[3] -10:2, 31:2, 42:9 cross-examination [4] - 5:7, 5:10, 5:14, 67:18 **Cross-Examination** [3] - 3:3, 3:6, 3:9 CRR [1] - 1:23 CSR [2] - 1:23, 71:20 **Cummings** [1] - 66:2 Cummings-Cefali [1] - 66:2 current [1] - 39:17 cursory [1] - 25:12 custodian [2] - 57:18, 57:22 D

D-r-u-m [1] - 49:11 **data** [13] - 29:9, 34:6,

40:12, 53:19, 53:21, 62:1, 66:20, 67:12, 67:16, 67:22, 68:16, 69.4 date [12] - 16:8, 29:2, 29:14, 30:1, 30:13, 30:19. 41:11. 56:25. 57:3, 59:11, 59:13, 60:24 Date [1] - 71:15 dates [1] - 20:15 datewise [2] - 60:1, 60:2 **Daubert** [1] - 67:22 **DAY** [1] - 1:9 days [1] - 32:19 **DEAN** [2] - 2:17, 2:18 deansteward7777@ gmail.com [1] - 2:20 debate [2] - 67:2 **Debbie** [1] - 71:20 **DEBBIE** [3] - 1:23, 71:5, 71:19 declaration [3] -57:19, 57:23, 58:1 defendant [13] - 7:13, 19:2, 19:7, 20:18, 21:3, 21:8, 21:12, 21:18, 25:11, 52:22, 54:1, 59:2, 59:16 DEFENDANT [1] -2:14 **Defendant** [1] - 1:9 defendant's [1] - 53:6 defense [1] - 69:8 **definitive** [1] - 67:16 definitively [1] - 69:4 degrees [3] - 50:9, 50:11, 50:14 deleted [1] - 22:25 denied [3] - 14:20, 17:17, 37:8 dental [2] - 4:9, 9:22 Denver [1] - 8:6 Department [7] -14:22, 15:8, 15:12, 15:16, 16:19, 17:2, 18:18 deposit [2] - 57:3, 58:20 deposited [1] - 56:21 Deposits [1] - 58:19 **DEPUTY** [9] - 6:9, 24:15, 38:20, 38:24, 49:5, 49:8, 49:12, 63:5, 70:5 **deputy** [1] - 39:13 describe [1] - 45:7 described [1] - 45:7 designated [1] - 50:19

69:18, 69:21, 70:1,

exact [2] - 16:8, 17:3

desires [1] - 65:12 detailed [1] - 27:9 detailing [1] - 26:25 details [1] - 18:14 determine [2] - 41:14, 59.25 dhinospaan@yahoo. com [1] - 1:25 different [2] - 62:13, 64:3 difficult [1] - 68:15 digits [1] - 58:13 **DIRECT** [3] - 24:21, 39:2, 49:15 Direct [3] - 3:6, 3:9, 3:11 direct [1] - 8:3 directing [1] - 55:7 direction [1] - 55:1 discuss [3] - 50:6, 50:24, 62:25 discussing [2] - 10:4, 58:22 discussion [1] - 11:2 dispute [1] - 50:2 disputes [3] - 52:17, 52:18 **DISTRICT** [3] - 1:1, 1:2. 1:3 **District** [2] - 71:6, 71:7 **DIVISION** [1] - 1:2 Docket [1] - 63:8 documents [1] - 3:20 dollars [1] - 66:23 **done** [2] - 34:4, 53:3 double [2] - 62:4, 62:18 double-counting [1] -62:4 double-counts [1] -62:18 doubt [1] - 14:24 down [2] - 49:2, 58:18 draft [2] - 27:17, 44:10 drive [1] - 63:9 **Drum** [11] - 7:22, 8:4, 8:6, 8:8, 8:13, 8:16, 49:4, 49:10, 49:17, 58:6, 69:11 **DRUM** [2] - 3:10, 49:7 due [1] - 56:9 duplicate [1] - 62:4 duplicated [1] - 64:9 during [12] - 5:10, 13:13, 13:19, 17:11, 17:21, 18:8, 56:20, 63:13, 63:17, 64:10, 64:21, 68:16 duties [3] - 25:5,

Ε 46:14 e-mail [14] - 43:3, 43:6, 43:9, 43:17, 67:18 44:4, 45:17, 45:18, 46:23, 47:1, 47:3, 47:9, 60:16, 60:22, 60:24 e-mailed [1] - 59:22 e-mails [5] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:11, 66:5 **EA**[1] - 3:23 Eagan [5] - 30:8, 49:15 58:11, 59:16, 59:19, 60.7 early [3] - 5:13, 43:13, 69:12 easy [2] - 64:15, 64:16 economic [1] - 49:20 edited [1] - 34:13 editing [1] - 34:12 educational [1] - 50:7 effect [2] - 5:20, 14:18 48:24 **EFFREY** [1] - 24:18 either [3] - 9:9, 47:21, 60:7 63:15 electronic [1] - 40:2 electronically [1] -10:20 elicited [1] - 65:22 eliminated [1] - 62:3 **EM**[1] - 21:20 employed [1] - 25:1 en [1] - 6:6 end [3] - 12:17, 63:22, 69:22 endeavor [1] - 65:13 endpoint [1] - 46:18 entered [1] - 6:10 entire [1] - 11:2 entirety [1] - 52:9 entitled [3] - 68:12, 68:15, 71:11 entries [1] - 62:4 error [1] - 13:7 ESQ [2] - 2:15, 2:18 establish [1] - 58:1 estimate [5] - 7:12, 7:15, 69:15, 69:17 estimates [1] - 7:23 evening [1] - 63:4 62:16 **EVIDENCE** [1] - 3:17 evidence [12] - 14:10, 36:2, 36:7, 36:12, 36:23, 36:25, 41:2, 48:1, 55:23, 56:19, 60:19, 67:15 31:8 evolved [1] - 52:8

39:19, 40:22

exactly [2] - 18:14, examination [5] - 5:7, 5:10, 5:14, 66:4, Examination [10] -3:3, 3:4, 3:4, 3:6, 3:6, 3:7, 3:7, 3:9, 3:9, 3:11 EXAMINATION [10] -10:2, 18:23, 22:5, 24:21, 31:2, 37:10, 37:19, 39:2, 42:9, example [3] - 26:12, 30:19, 60:9 examples [1] - 29:13 Excel [1] - 26:24 exception [1] - 58:2 exchange [1] - 12:22 **exclusive** [1] - 32:3 excused [5] - 38:13, 38:16, 48:17, 48:21, exhibit [5] - 57:2, 60:21, 61:14, 63:9, **EXHIBIT** [1] - 3:17 Exhibit [31] - 3:18, 19:2, 19:7, 20:7, 20:15. 28:6. 28:13. 28:17. 28:22. 31:18. 31:24, 32:6, 41:2, 55:9, 55:21, 56:2, 56:6, 56:19, 57:5, 57:7, 57:18, 57:19, 57:22, 57:23, 58:3, 58:4, 59:1, 60:19, 61:5, 62:2, 63:9 exhibits [1] - 63:9 Exhibits [4] - 55:9, 55:21, 55:25, 56:3 **EXHIBITS** [1] - 3:15 exit [1] - 21:19 expected [1] - 8:23 expense [2] - 60:8, expenses [14] - 59:7, 59:9. 59:20. 59:23. 60:1. 60:4. 61:2. 61:5, 61:10, 61:21, 62:5, 62:8, 62:10, expert [5] - 7:13, 7:17, 66:18, 66:23, 67:21 explain [1] - 46:16 explore [1] - 68:10 extent [2] - 25:16,

extreme [1] - 4:9 F facilities [2] - 40:10, 42:4 fact [2] - 33:10, 46:4 fair [2] - 9:7, 25:21 fairly [3] - 28:13, 55:16, 67:23 fake [1] - 10:23 **familiar** [1] - 25:10 family [1] - 13:15 features [1] - 28:24 February [1] - 60:25 Federal [11] - 39:7, 39:8, 39:9, 39:10, 39:14, 39:24, 40:9, 40:10, 40:12, 41:12, 71:20 federal [6] - 16:6, 17:20, 18:7, 25:3, 25:4, 55:23 FEDERAL [2] - 1:24, 71:5 Fedwire [17] - 29:8, 39:22, 39:23, 40:1, 40:13, 40:14, 40:18, 40:23, 41:11, 41:14, 41:15, 41:23, 45:9, 46:5, 46:9, 47:23, 48:11 fee [1] - 54:1 feedback [2] - 44:18, 44:24 fees [8] - 35:13, 35:20, 36:9, 36:15, 57:10, 57:13, 58:23, 59:5 few [2] - 28:23, 29:13 figure [7] - 59:8, 59:15, 59:18, 61:8, 61:15, 61:20, 62:2 file [1] - 15:23 filed [2] - 15:12, 15:15 filing [1] - 63:7 Finance [1] - 50:12 financial [16] - 25:7, 26:18, 40:7, 40:8, 46:19. 49:21. 50:1. 50:3. 50:6. 52:13. 52:16, 52:17, 52:21, 53:6, 55:2, 55:17 Financial [4] - 3:20, 3:21, 50:19, 51:1 fine [7] - 5:16, 5:17, 6:5, 7:6, 57:6, 62:22, 69:18

finish [2] - 7:22, 8:16

firm [2] - 51:12, 59:21

extract [1] - 6:18

firms [1] - 53:22 first [14] - 9:9, 10:20, 16:6, 24:16, 27:16, 29:14, 31:13, 34:21, 38:21, 49:9, 56:11, 60:19, 60:21, 65:21 five [1] - 19:7 fly [1] - 8:20 focusing [2] - 4:24, 28:25 follow [1] - 68:23 following [1] - 15:11 follows [5] - 8:18, 18:4, 23:6, 23:20, 35:18 **FOR** [3] - 2:3, 2:14, 2:16 **foregoing** [1] - 71:9 form [5] - 63:1, 63:25, 64:3, 64:10, 65:13 format [1] - 71:11 forth [3] - 8:20, 44:5, 45:22 foundation [5] -21:15, 26:2, 28:19, 37:7, 55:23 four [2] - 7:16, 58:13 frame [2] - 43:9, 65:8 frames [1] - 65:9 frankly [4] - 67:2, 67:5, 67:6, 67:9 fraud [4] - 25:8, 25:25, 26:6 frequency [1] - 65:10 fresh [1] - 17:14 friendly [1] - 65:15 front [4] - 41:3, 41:5, 55:8. 66:19 function [1] - 63:23 funds [4] - 35:23, 37:2, 41:22, 53:7 Funds [11] - 39:22, 39:23, 40:1, 40:15, 40:18, 40:24, 41:16, 41:23, 45:9, 46:9, 47:23

G

Gardner [3] - 30:18, 53:11, 63:8 gather [1] - 47:11 Geff [1] - 24:13 GEFFREY [2] - 3:5, 24:14 Geffrey [1] - 24:17 general [3] - 25:12, 39:19, 49:24 generally [4] - 10:6, 25:5, 25:10, 41:9

gentlemen [2] - 9:18, 62:23 Geoffrey [8] - 30:23, 53:9, 56:5, 56:9, 57:16, 59:10, 59:23, 61:3 George [1] - 24:18 given [1] - 46:3 Global [1] - 29:25 goals [1] - 21:20 God [1] - 70:2 government [7] - 16:6, 17:20, 18:7, 42:16, 43:10, 54:5, 69:9 Government [22] -5:11, 5:17, 12:25, 21:9, 21:10, 22:21, 23:8, 23:12, 23:24, 26:9, 28:16, 33:10, 43:4, 52:21, 52:25, 53:5, 55:20, 57:17, 66:12, 66:18, 68:18, 68:22 GOVERNMENT [7] -3:3, 3:5, 3:8, 3:10, 24:14, 38:19, 49:7 Government's [5] -25:10, 52:22, 55:8, 56:19, 67:4 governors [1] - 39:10 graduate [1] - 50:9 **graduating** [1] - 50:25 Gregory [1] - 53:13 Group [13] - 30:11, 49:18, 49:19, 49:20, 49:22, 51:22, 51:25, 52:12, 52:20, 52:24, 53:2, 55:2, 55:14 guess [4] - 4:19, 7:11, 7:13, 61:9 guys [1] - 33:12

Н

half [8] - 7:12, 7:25, 10:20, 11:8, 69:1, 69:3, 69:13, 69:16 **halfway** [1] - 61:9 hallway [3] - 13:13, 13:19, 32:23 hand [6] - 28:25, 29:2, 29:6, 49:6, 68:13, 68:14 handed [1] - 4:8 handling [1] - 53:7 hang [1] - 48:19 HANNA [2] - 2:4, 2:9 **happy** [2] - 66:8, 66:11 heading [1] - 64:18 hear [1] - 29:22

hearsay [4] - 5:18, 16:20, 55:22, 57:24 Hearsay [2] - 14:12, 15:1 held [2] - 52:2, 71:10 helped [1] - 33:1 hereby [1] - 71:7 Hernandez [1] - 10:19 higher [1] - 62:6 **HINO** [3] - 1:23, 71:5, 71.19 Hino [1] - 71:20 HINO-SPAAN [3] -1:23, 71:5, 71:19 Hino-Spaan [1] -71:20 hired [2] - 50:3, 52:20 history [1] - 50:24 **hold** [2] - 50:19, 50:22 HomeStreet [1] -29:24 honest [1] - 17:15 honestly [3] - 15:10, 16:23, 17:5 Honor [72] - 4:17, 4:23, 5:5, 5:19, 5:23, 6:5, 7:10, 8:17, 9:8, 13:25, 14:12, 14:18, 15:19, 16:3, 16:21, 17:16, 17:25, 18:22, 19:10, 20:6, 20:25, 21:15, 22:3, 22:10, 22:13, 22:17, 22:22, 23:2, 23:15, 23:19, 24:12, 24:20, 26:2, 28:16, 28:18, 37:6, 37:9, 38:15, 38:17, 42:6, 44:13, 48:14, 48:15, 48:22, 49:3, 49:14, 55:20, 55:22, 57:17, 57:20, 57:24, 62:21, 63:19, 64:1, 64:16, 64:24, 65:19, 65:25, 66:11, 66:14, 66:15, 66:21, 67:1, 67:7, 67:10, 68:4, 68:13, 68:25, 69:10, 69:16, 69:20, 69:24 **HONORABLE** [1] - 1:3 hope [1] - 64:4 hopefully [1] - 4:18 hour [9] - 7:12, 7:24, 8:1, 8:3, 11:8, 69:13, 69:15, 69:16, 69:20 hours [2] - 7:16, 69:17 hundreds [1] - 27:2

heard [1] - 22:15

hearing [1] - 67:22

ı

idea [1] - 32:25

59.9

41:12

identical [1] - 67:7

identified [2] - 28:11,

identifiers [2] - 11:20,

identify [1] - 59:20 Illinois [1] - 50:18 **IMAD** [8] - 29:10, 41:12, 45:10, 45:11, 45:12, 46:3, 46:15, 46:17 IMADs [3] - 46:9, 46:20, 47:16 images [1] - 65:10 **immediate** [1] - 9:22 immediately [1] - 10:5 important [5] - 5:15, 17:11, 17:19, 18:5, 18:10 **improper** [1] - 15:2 IN [2] - 2:14, 3:17 inception [1] - 64:21 include [3] - 53:2, 59:15, 60:1 included [3] - 60:12, 64:2, 64:3 including [2] - 17:7, 32:21 incoming [1] - 26:25 inconvenient [1] incorporate [2] -44:20, 44:23 incurred [3] - 60:4, 60:6, 67:13 **indicating** [1] - 4:9 Indictment [5] - 3:19, 25:21, 25:24, 27:10, 28:11 individually [1] - 4:21 individuals [1] - 16:6 industry [1] - 39:25 information [18] -27:13, 27:20, 29:1, 29:3, 29:6, 29:8, 37:13, 38:5, 38:7, 41:9, 41:11, 46:22, 47:4, 47:9, 47:21, 56:14, 59:19, 60:14 informed [1] - 47:23 initiated [1] - 40:5 initiates [1] - 40:6 **input** [1] - 29:9 inquire [1] - 7:11 inquiry [2] - 4:17, 67:3 institution [3] - 40:7, 40:8, 46:19

institutions [1] - 26:18 instructed [2] - 10:22, 67:7 intangible [1] - 51:17 Internal [1] - 25:1 interrupt [1] - 19:24 interrupting [1] - 20:2 interview [3] - 17:12, 17:21, 18:8 interviews [1] - 42:16 investigate [1] - 25:7 investigation [3] -25:2, 26:10, 31:9 investigative [2] -25:13, 31:22 investigator [3] - 14:9, 14:21, 39:13 investigators [3] -17:19, 18:6, 24:2 invoice [1] - 60:11 involved [2] - 40:12, 52:12 involvement [3] -25:16, 25:17, 31:8 IRS [2] - 25:6, 43:19 issue [3] - 5:1, 5:5, 66:17 issues [2] - 63:1, 65:19

42:22, 45:21, 45:22, 45:25 juror [3] - 4:8, 4:13, 9:23 **Juror** [3] - 6:7, 6:10, 7.9 **JUROR** [6] - 6:14, 6:17, 6:23, 7:1, 7:5, 7:8 jurors [2] - 9:19, 9:21 jury [7] - 4:7, 9:17,

K

31:23, 45:4, 46:16,

63:6, 66:19

keeps [1] - 4:12 Kim [1] - 16:10 kind [3] - 5:8, 17:14, 51:15 kinds [1] - 53:17 knowledge [4] -14:14, 17:13, 37:2, known [1] - 60:7 knows [1] - 4:23 **KPMG** [4] - 51:12, 51:13, 51:19, 51:21

L

lack [1] - 28:19 lacking [1] - 37:7 ladies [2] - 9:18, 62:23 large [1] - 51:12 last [10] - 13:24, 14:3, 24:16, 30:12, 30:19, 38:21, 39:18, 49:9, 58:13 late [1] - 31:17 laundering [1] - 25:8 LAW [1] - 2:17 Law [1] - 30:11 lawyers [1] - 16:14 Leading [6] - 26:14, 27:3, 27:22, 28:3, 42:1, 54:21 leading [1] - 55:4 learn [1] - 31:13 learned [4] - 17:11, 17:20, 18:8, 18:17 led [1] - 15:23 left [7] - 7:9, 7:18, 7:25, 8:1, 28:25, 29:2, 51:21 left-hand [2] - 28:25, 29:2

J

J.P.Morgan [1] - 30:10 **JAMES** [1] - 1:3 January [9] - 56:9, 56:12, 56:16, 57:1, 57:4, 58:20, 59:12, 60:5, 60:11 Jencks [1] - 65:24 Jersey [5] - 40:13, 40:20, 41:25, 42:5, 46:13 job [4] - 49:24, 52:6, 52:8, 52:12 JOHN [5] - 1:8, 2:15, 3:10, 49:7, 49:10 **John** [2] - 49:3, 49:10 Johnson [8] - 30:23, 53:9, 56:5, 56:9, 57:16, 59:10, 59:23, 61:3 Johnson's [1] - 59:25 joint [1] - 25:9 JUDGE [1] - 1:3 Judicial [1] - 71:12 Judy [4] - 59:22, 60:16, 60:22, 62:18 legal [4] - 15:1, 57:10, July [6] - 43:13, 45:19, 57:13, 59:5 45:20, 45:23, 45:24 legitimate [1] - 4:14 June [5] - 42:20,

legitimately [2] -36:10, 36:15 length [1] - 26:22 lengthy [1] - 27:5 less [5] - 57:10, 59:5, 59:7, 64:22, 64:23 letters [1] - 10:23 licensed [1] - 50:18 licenses [4] - 50:15, 50:17, 50:20, 50:22 **light** [3] - 7:10, 9:7, 65:21 limited [2] - 15:22, 60:4 line [3] - 15:5, 16:1, 57:8 link [1] - 12:13 list [1] - 63:25 listed [4] - 29:16, 57:9, 61:12, 61:15 listener [1] - 5:20 listener's [1] - 14:18 lists [1] - 61:2 **litigation** [1] - 50:4 litigations [1] - 52:19 live [3] - 8:5, 13:16, 13:17 lives [2] - 4:11, 13:15 LLC [1] - 29:25 LLP [2] - 30:8, 58:11 local [1] - 46:15 locate [1] - 27:9 look [3] - 28:6, 45:9, 68.4 looked [4] - 46:6, 59:24, 65:8, 65:9 looking [1] - 21:19 looks [2] - 8:10, 29:10 Los [1] - 2:12 LOS [1] - 71:3 lose [1] - 4:13 lower [1] - 62:13 luckily [1] - 20:24 lunch [6] - 7:6, 7:25, 10:4, 10:6, 13:14, 13:19

М

magic [1] - 65:25 mail [14] - 43:3, 43:6, 43:9, 43:17, 44:4, 45:17, 45:18, 46:23, 47:1, 47:3, 47:9, 60:16, 60:22, 60:24 mailed [1] - 59:22 mails [5] - 43:14, 43:15, 47:11, 66:5 marked [1] - 55:8 Master [1] - 50:13

materials [2] - 54:3, moment [4] - 16:3, 65:24 42:6, 48:14, 57:20 matter [9] - 8:9, 13:1, 43:7, 43:11, 43:17, 47:6, 66:1, 67:9, 71.11 matters [6] - 52:15, 52:18, 53:3, 55:19, 59:21, 67:8 mean [7] - 5:16, 40:19, 41:24, 46:14, 60:6, 60:7, 62:9 meant [1] - 10:16 meet [2] - 14:23, 16:24 meeting [3] - 15:11, 16:8, 16:14 member [1] - 27:16 members [1] - 31:22 memory [1] - 17:14 mentally [1] - 13:23 mention [1] - 66:22 mentioned [1] - 62:1 Mesa [1] - 6:14 message [8] - 10:9, 10:12, 11:10, 12:22, 29:8, 29:9, 46:19, 63:23 Messaged [1] - 12:20 messages [18] - 10:5, 13:1, 19:3, 19:8, 20:8, 20:14, 20:18, 20:19, 21:3, 21:9, 21:12, 22:21, 23:7, 23:10, 23:11, 23:21, 23:23, 43:14 messaging [1] - 11:13 met [8] - 14:8, 15:24, 16:6, 16:10, 16:17, 17:19, 18:6, 31:6 mic [1] - 48:8 MICHAEL [2] - 1:8, 2:15 Michael [3] - 25:11, 52:22, 60:22 MICHELLE [2] - 3:3, 10.1 Michelle [1] - 53:15 middle [1] - 29:3 might [2] - 6:18, 64:9 million [6] - 20:20, 21:4, 21:13, 56:13, 57:10, 58:20 mind [2] - 5:19, 14:19 minimum [1] - 32:5 minute [7] - 14:15,

19:25. 20:12. 22:23.

55:10. 61:4

minutes [1] - 65:7

misstated [1] - 69:19

misstates [1] - 20:10

Monday [2] - 9:12, 9.14 money [8] - 21:20, 22:1, 25:7, 35:10, 35:13, 35:19, 36:9, 59.13 month [1] - 25:4 morning [5] - 31:17, 66:9, 66:13, 68:19, 69.6 motion [1] - 63:13 motions [1] - 68:11 move [14] - 13:24, 15:4, 16:1, 17:6, 17:16, 17:25, 19:10, 20:25, 23:2, 23:15, 33:6, 34:8, 37:6, 57:18 moves [1] - 55:21 MR [201] - 2:16, 4:16, 4:23, 5:3, 5:5, 5:18, 5:23, 6:1, 6:5, 7:10, 7:23, 8:6, 8:12, 8:14, 8:17, 9:4, 9:7, 9:12, 9:14, 10:3, 10:19, 11:19, 11:21, 11:23, 11:24, 13:5, 13:7, 13:24, 14:2, 14:12, 14:18, 14:21, 15:1, 15:6, 15:19, 15:22, 15:23, 16:3, 16:5, 16:20, 16:25, 17:6, 17:9, 17:16, 17:18, 17:25, 18:2, 18:11, 18:20, 18:22, 18:24, 19:10, 19:13, 19:15, 19:16, 19:18, 19:20, 19:22, 19:24, 20:1, 20:6, 20:10, 20:14, 20:25, 21:3, 21:14, 21:18, 22:3, 22:6, 22:10, 22:13, 22:15, 22:17, 22:20, 22:22, 22:24, 23:2, 23:4, 23:10, 23:15, 23:17, 24:1, 24:5, 24:7, 24:12, 24:20, 24:22, 26:2, 26:6, 26:14, 26:18, 27:3, 27:5, 27:22, 27:25, 28:3, 28:6, 28:16, 28:18, 28:23, 30:25, 31:3, 31:15, 31:18, 32:8, 32:11, 32:17, 32:21, 33:2, 33:6, 33:9, 33:16, 33:18, 33:21, 33:24, 34:8, 34:11, 34:14, 35:16, 35:24,

37:6, 37:9, 37:11, 37:17, 37:20, 37:23, 37:25, 38:2, 38:4, 38:10, 38:12, 38:14, 38:15, 38:17, 39:1, 39:3, 41:1, 42:1, 42:6, 42:10, 44:13, 44:16, 48:10, 48:14, 48:16, 48:22, 49:3, 49:14, 49:16, 54:21, 54:25, 55:4, 55:7, 55:20, 55:22, 56:3, 56:6, 56:18, 57:5, 57:17, 57:20, 57:22, 57:24, 58:4, 58:18, 58:25, 60:18, 62:21, 63:11, 63:18, 64:12, 64:15, 64:19, 64:24, 65:1. 65:3. 65:12. 65:15, 65:19, 65:21, 66:8, 66:11, 66:14, 66:15, 67:1, 67:5, 67:20, 68:2, 68:6, 68:8, 68:13, 68:20, 68:25, 69:6, 69:8, 69:10, 69:12, 69:16, 69:19, 69:23, 70:2

Ν

name [10] - 24:16, 24:17. 29:19. 29:24. 30:7. 30:11. 38:21. 49:9, 49:10, 58:9 names [3] - 10:23, 16:13, 31:23 National [3] - 26:13, 26:21, 27:8 nature [1] - 54:7 necessarily [3] -40:15, 40:19, 41:24 need [7] - 4:14, 4:25, 5:24, 9:21, 10:9, 10:23, 21:6 never [4] - 31:6, 42:13, 66:22, 68:4 new [1] - 51:8 New [11] - 10:6, 11:6, 11:7, 39:7, 39:8, 39:15, 40:13, 40:20, 41:25, 42:5, 46:13 Newport [14] - 2:19, 14:9, 14:22, 15:7, 15:11, 15:16, 15:25, 16:19, 17:1, 17:12, 17:21, 18:8, 18:17, 24:3 next [5] - 19:22, 25:4, 46:4, 57:8, 59:6 NICOLA [2] - 2:4, 2:9

69.1 none [1] - 20:21 nonresponsive [4] -13:25, 17:7, 33:7, 34.9 North [1] - 2:11 note [2] - 4:8, 4:17 nothing [7] - 18:20, 24:7, 38:12, 42:7, 48:15, 69:8, 70:3 noticed [2] - 13:13, 13:19 November [3] - 14:9, 14:25, 39:16 number [15] - 10:22, 12:7, 12:19, 34:17, 46:3, 46:15, 57:11, 58:12, 58:13, 61:23, 62:6, 64:19, 65:4, 69:20 Number [6] - 4:8, 6:8, 6:10, 7:9, 28:22, 58:3 **NUMBER** [6] - 6:14, 6:17, 6:23, 7:1, 7:5,

night [3] - 9:12, 9:15,

Numbers [1] - 56:2 0

numbers [4] - 11:20,

45:10, 45:11, 45:12

7:8

o'clock [3] - 6:25, 9:23, 62:24 oath [1] - 14:5 objection [26] - 5:18, 13:6, 14:12, 14:16, 15:1, 15:4, 15:19, 16:20, 19:10, 22:17, 26:2, 26:14, 27:3, 27:22, 28:3, 28:18, 31:15, 32:17, 33:2, 42:1, 54:21, 55:4, 55:22, 57:24, 67:20, 68:24 objections [5] - 15:19, 28:19, 38:2, 38:10, 68:23 obtain [3] - 50:14, 50:17, 50:20 obtained [1] - 26:9 **obviously** [1] - 5:24 occurred [1] - 5:10 **OF** [7] - 1:2, 1:5, 1:14, 2:1, 71:1, 71:3, 71:4 offer [1] - 6:4 offers [1] - 28:16 office [1] - 46:11 **OFFICES** [1] - 2:17

Official [1] - 71:20 OFFICIAL [3] - 1:24, 71:1, 71:5 Ohio [1] - 50:10 OMAD [2] - 29:10, 41.11 OMADs [1] - 46:20 once [1] - 46:3 one [25] - 5:5, 8:3, 9:21, 15:23, 16:3, 25:13, 34:21, 36:6, 39:9, 42:6, 48:5, 48:14, 51:10, 52:8, 52:9, 53:3, 53:9, 57:20, 58:15, 59:19, 63:8, 64:7, 64:10, 67:8, 67:15 one-hour [1] - 8:3 **oOo** [1] - 70:8 opinions [1] - 63:1 opposed [1] - 63:14 OR [1] - 3:17 order [1] - 47:3 organization [1] - 51:2 original [1] - 63:21 originating [1] - 40:8 originator [3] - 29:18, 30:6, 40:6 ought [1] - 65:17 outgoing [1] - 26:25 outline [1] - 57:12 outlined [1] - 59:23 output [1] - 29:8 outside [2] - 22:18, 37:23 overruled [17] - 16:22, 20:13, 21:16, 26:4. 27:23, 28:4, 28:21, 31:16, 32:9, 32:18, 33:3, 33:22, 42:2, 54:22, 55:5, 55:25, 57:25 oversee [1] - 50:1 overseeing [1] - 52:9 owed [6] - 36:10, 36:16, 60:8, 62:11, 62:12, 62:18 own [3] - 38:1, 38:8, 38:9

P

P.M [2] - 1:16, 4:2 p.m [4] - 11:3, 11:6, 11:7, 70:7 Pacific [1] - 11:7 PAGE [1] - 3:2 page [17] - 10:20, 11:2, 11:5, 20:16, 28:25, 30:12, 55:10,

56:19, 57:2, 57:19, 57:23, 58:5, 60:21, 61:1, 61:8, 61:14, 71.11 pages [7] - 19:3, 19:8, 23:11, 23:22, 26:22, 54:9. 54:11 Paid [1] - 56:16 paid [10] - 52:24, 56:12, 57:8, 59:13, 60:8, 60:10, 62:12, 62:17, 62:19, 67:11 pain [1] - 4:25 paired [1] - 62:3 part [10] - 13:24, 26:10, 40:22, 52:21, 53:5, 54:12, 63:7, 64:18, 68:21 **PARTIAL** [1] - 1:14 participation [3] -31:9, 32:1, 32:2 particular [2] - 26:7, 59.21 particulars [1] - 29:5 pass [1] - 37:9 past [4] - 14:7, 52:2, 52:6, 52:15 pause [1] - 64:13 Pause [1] - 16:4 payment [6] - 35:8, 40:1, 40:2, 40:14, 40:18. 53:2 payments [4] - 36:24, 40:23, 59:15, 62:10 PC [1] - 30:11 pdf [1] - 60:22 pendency [1] - 68:16 people [1] - 31:24 percent [1] - 5:3 percentage [1] - 57:12 perform [4] - 49:21, 51:6, 51:17, 52:17 performed [1] - 52:16 period [3] - 63:13, 63:17, 64:10 person [1] - 8:8 PHAN [2] - 3:3, 10:1 **Phan** [21] - 5:7, 5:10, 5:14, 10:4, 12:1, 13:9, 14:2, 14:8, 15:6, 16:5, 17:9, 17:18, 18:5, 18:25, 21:6, 22:7, 22:15, 22:20, 23:7, 23:17, 53:15 phone [4] - 12:19, 19:4, 23:14, 46:1 phrase [1] - 22:16

physical [1] - 63:9

physically [2] - 13:20

pick [1] - 63:16 piece [1] - 37:13 **pills** [1] - 7:5 place [3] - 7:17, 11:2, 64:10 places [1] - 40:16 **Plaintiff** [1] - 1:6 **PLAINTIFF** [1] - 2:3 plan [1] - 69:23 Plaza [1] - 2:18 plus [1] - 27:6 point [5] - 14:3, 33:9, 57:17, 67:11, 67:23 pointed [1] - 65:25 **police** [1] - 24:3 Police [7] - 14:22, 15:8, 15:11, 15:16, 16:19, 17:1, 18:17 political [1] - 25:8 portion [2] - 58:7, 60:20 position [1] - 8:17 possibility [3] - 6:18, 7:21, 8:3 possible [4] - 6:19, 10:14, 64:16, 65:16 possibly [1] - 8:15 post [2] - 40:19, 42:3 postgraduate [1] potentially [1] - 8:19 preceding [1] - 11:1 preparation [2] - 32:4, 66:21 prepare [5] - 25:18, 31:18, 32:5, 33:1, 33:24 prepared [5] - 31:24, 33:11, 33:20, 55:14, 63:10 preparing [4] - 31:11, 32:6, 32:12, 33:14 presence [3] - 4:7, 9:17, 63:6 present [2] - 16:12, 64:21 president [4] - 49:23, 49:24, 52:3 pretty [2] - 4:9, 13:17 preview [1] - 5:21 previous [1] - 28:19 **Previous** [1] - 4:5 previously [2] - 41:7, 57:25 primarily [3] - 50:1, 53:20, 62:7 primary [1] - 25:13 print [2] - 5:9, 46:20 private [2] - 11:13,

9:3, 9:5, 9:8, 22:8 problems [1] - 4:10 proceed [5] - 14:20, 20:5, 22:12, 22:13, 22.14 PROCEEDINGS [1] -1:14 Proceedings [1] -70:7 proceedings [3] - 4:5, 16:4, 71:10 process [2] - 41:20, 45:7 processed [3] - 40:1, 41:15, 41:23 processing [1] - 40:10 produced [3] - 54:13, 67:17, 69:5 product [2] - 39:24, 46:11 production [2] -67:16, 68:16 professional [2] -29:20, 50:15 **prolonging** [1] - 4:13 proof [2] - 6:4, 14:23 prosecution [1] -27:16 prosecutors [1] -43.11 provide [7] - 19:19, 22:21, 23:8, 23:12, 23:23, 44:18, 65:13 provided [19] - 5:11, 5:12, 5:20, 7:24, 11:23, 19:13, 21:8, 21:9, 23:14, 26:12, 27:13, 29:3, 41:9, 45:11, 45:12, 45:13, 54:3, 64:4 providing [1] - 44:10 public [2] - 50:18, 51:12 **PUBLIC** [1] - 1:8 publish [1] - 11:19 **pull** [7] - 10:19, 41:1, 56:18, 57:6, 58:4, 60:18, 61:15 pulled [4] - 34:16, 46:6, 46:8, 46:9 purport [1] - 63:16 purporting [1] - 66:18 pursuant [6] - 28:17, 28:18, 37:7, 57:18, 68:17, 71:8 put [3] - 34:24, 34:25, 58:6 puts [2] - 39:24, 41:13

PRO [1] - 2:14

problem [5] - 8:18,

Q

questioning [3] - 15:5, 15:22, 16:2 questions [6] - 5:13, 21:18, 22:3, 30:25, 37:17, 48:16 QuickBooks [13] -53:19, 53:21, 53:22, 59:20, 59:24, 60:5, 60:12, 60:13, 62:1, 62:10, 62:14, 62:15

R

raise [1] - 49:6 raised [3] - 66:16, 68:14, 68:19 raising [1] - 67:10 rays [4] - 4:18, 6:16, 6:19, 6:20 read [10] - 10:24, 18:2, 18:4, 23:4, 23:6, 23:19, 23:20, 35:16, 35:18, 64:13 realize [1] - 4:12 really [1] - 22:7 **REALTIME** [1] - 71:5 reason [6] - 5:12, 8:15, 8:23, 9:22, 15:15, 68:3 reasonable [1] - 14:23 reasons [1] - 16:21 receive [5] - 6:20, 21:4, 21:13, 40:11, 50:11 received [8] - 28:22, 47:2, 50:9, 50:14, 56:1, 56:2, 58:3, 60.11 recess [3] - 4:9, 70:4, 70:6 recognize [3] - 28:8, 55:11, 55:12 recollection [2] -18:16, 44:17 record [9] - 17:23, 18:4, 23:6, 23:20, 35:18, 46:15, 46:16, 53:22, 62:14 recorded [2] - 60:5, 60:12 records [36] - 26:9, 26:12, 26:19, 26:20, 26:22, 27:7, 27:9, 27:12, 27:21, 28:1, 28:2, 28:14, 37:13, 40:23, 41:14, 45:13, 46:11, 53:6, 53:17, 53:19, 54:6, 54:9,

11:14

54:12, 54:20, 55:1, 55:3, 55:17, 56:15, 56:20, 58:2, 58:16, 59:20, 59:24, 59:25, 62:10, 62:15 recreate [1] - 37:25 **RECROSS** [2] - 22:5, 37:19 Recross [2] - 3:4, 3:7 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** [2] -22:5, 37:19 Recross-Examination [2] -3:4, 3:7 redacted [4] - 11:21, 11:22, 11:25, 12:1 **REDIRECT** [2] - 18:23, 37:10 Redirect [2] - 3:4, 3:7 referenced [1] - 63:13 referring [4] - 29:11, 35:22, 43:25, 61:6 reflect [1] - 62:16 reflected [1] - 29:1 reflecting [1] - 54:13 reflects [1] - 67:13 regarding [3] - 26:24, 27:13, 40:23 regards [1] - 39:22 regional [1] - 46:15 Regnier [4] - 59:22, 60:16, 60:22, 62:18 regular [1] - 62:24 regularly [1] - 50:3 regulations [1] - 71:12 regulatory [1] - 52:18 **REJECTED** [1] - 3:17 relate [1] - 56:4 related [8] - 25:9, 43:6, 47:6, 53:6, 59:7, 59:10, 61:5, 62:8 relates [1] - 44:4 relating [11] - 10:5, 12:22, 13:1, 16:7, 36:24, 43:11, 44:5, 44:7, 65:23, 66:1, 67:20 relatively [1] - 69:12 released [1] - 24:9 Relevance [3] - 31:15, 32:17, 33:2 relevant [2] - 63:13, 66:19 relied [1] - 59:19 remember [21] - 16:5, 16:8, 16:10, 16:12, 16:13, 16:23, 17:3, 17:5, 17:22, 17:24,

18:11, 20:9, 21:10, 21:21, 21:24, 34:18, 43:21, 43:23, 62:25 rental [1] - 59:15 repeatedly [1] - 14:9 rephrase [1] - 35:15 replies [1] - 64:20 report [3] - 6:21, 34:7, 66:8 reported [1] - 71:10 **REPORTER** [4] - 1:24, 48:7, 71:1, 71:6 reporter [4] - 5:8, 49:6, 60:10 Reporter [1] - 71:20 REPORTER'S [1] -1:14 reports [3] - 47:16, 47:17, 47:18 represent [1] - 59:8 represents [2] - 36:3, 59:9 request [1] - 47:2 research [1] - 63:2 researching [1] - 51:7 Reserve [10] - 39:7, 39:8, 39:9, 39:10, 39:14, 39:24, 40:9, 40:11, 40:12, 41:12 reserve [3] - 39:9, 40:7, 48:22 residence [1] - 6:14 respect [1] - 53:18 respond [1] - 47:9 responded [3] - 9:19, 12:18, 68:18 responding [1] -44:11 responsibilities [6] -25:6, 39:19, 40:22, 49:25, 52:6, 52:8 responsible [1] -39:21 rest [1] - 20:8 rested [1] - 8:25 results [1] - 6:22 resume [1] - 62:24 resumed [1] - 3:3 **RESUMED** [1] - 10:1 Resumed [1] - 10:2 retention [2] - 57:12, 57:14 return [1] - 57:5 reveals [1] - 68:11 Revenue [1] - 25:1 review [15] - 26:24, 27:7, 37:12, 40:23,

53:17, 54:1, 54:12,

54:13, 54:19, 54:25,

56:20, 66:13, 66:19,

67:12 reviewed [25] - 25:21, 26:9, 26:12, 26:19, 26:20, 28:2, 28:10, 28:14, 41:7, 41:14, 46:7, 47:18, 53:19, 53:24. 54:3. 54:6. 55:17. 56:15. 56:23. 57:15, 58:15, 59:2, 66:20, 67:22, 68:2 reviewing [1] - 27:20 revisions [1] - 44:23 revisit [1] - 66:16 right-hand [1] - 29:6 rise [2] - 63:5, 70:5 Roberson [7] - 32:1, 32:6, 32:15, 43:19, 44:5, 45:15, 47:22 Robert [1] - 38:18 **ROBERTO** [2] - 3:8, 38:19 Roberto [1] - 38:22 role [6] - 31:10, 34:12, 39:17, 39:20, 51:6, 64:3 **ROOM** [1] - 1:24 rounded [1] - 61:19 route [1] - 6:6 row [18] - 29:14, 29:16, 30:1, 30:13, 30:19, 34:23, 34:24, 34:25. 35:6. 35:8. 36:6. 36:12. 36:18. 36:20, 56:11, 59:5, 59:6 rows [4] - 27:2, 27:6, 34:17, 54:16 rule [1] - 55:23 Rule [1] - 28:17 ruled [1] - 57:25 rulemaking [1] - 51:2 runs [1] - 65:7 Ryan [2] - 32:1, 33:14 S

SACR-19-00061-JVS
[1] - 1:7
sagel [1] - 18:21
SAGEL [35] - 2:5,
4:16, 4:23, 5:23, 6:1,
6:5, 7:10, 7:23, 8:6,
8:12, 8:14, 9:12,
9:14, 11:19, 11:23,
13:5, 14:12, 15:1,
15:19, 15:22, 16:20,
18:22, 18:24, 19:13,
19:16, 19:20, 19:24,
20:6, 20:14, 21:3,
21:18, 22:3, 22:17,

22:22, 69:19 Sagel [8] - 3:4, 4:15, 15:8, 16:11, 16:25, 18:16, 24:2, 44:1 sampling [1] - 65:6 **SANTA** [3] - 1:17, 1:25, 4:1 Santa [1] - 2:7 satisfied [1] - 15:7 **saw** [3] - 27:9, 44:21, 60:9 Science [1] - 50:12 scope [2] - 22:18, 37:23 screen [3] - 11:24, 58:6, 61:16 screenshots [6] -11:17, 12:3, 63:14, 64:7, 64:8, 64:23 scrolling [2] - 63:11, 63:12 **SE** [1] - 2:14 sealed [1] - 4:5 second [10] - 9:10, 11:19, 15:3, 21:6, 30:12, 36:6, 48:20, 60:13, 61:4 second-to-the-last [1] - 30:12 Section [1] - 71:8 section [1] - 58:19 see [15] - 11:24, 12:1, 12:7, 20:15, 32:15, 32:23, 34:18, 35:8, 58:20, 61:8, 61:15, 61:20, 63:3, 64:8, 69:6 **SELNA** [1] - 1:3 send [3] - 20:19, 47:17, 63:23 sender's [1] - 40:6 senior [2] - 51:14, 52:3 sense [1] - 25:12 sent [12] - 10:9, 10:12, 11:10, 11:17, 12:3, 12:13, 21:12, 40:14, 40:18, 40:23, 47:14, 47:19 separate [2] - 4:6, 25:24 sequence [1] - 46:18 **Service** [1] - 25:2 **services** [1] - 51:18 set [1] - 10:10 setting [1] - 50:2

settings [1] - 49:21

settlement [6] - 53:19,

53:24, 56:12, 56:15,

settled [1] - 62:13

57:8, 59:13 shall [1] - 56:1 shareholder [1] -52:19 short [1] - 6:3 **shorthand** [1] - 10:16 shot [2] - 11:20, 64:18 show [2] - 14:23, 62:10 showed [3] - 19:2, 19:7, 20:7 shown [1] - 31:25 side [2] - 17:4, 17:10 sidebar [1] - 44:13 sign [1] - 12:6 **Signal** [9] - 11:13, 12:4, 12:9, 12:13, 13:1, 21:9, 21:12, 22:20, 23:7 **signatory** [1] - 59:3 significance [1] -37:21 significant [3] - 54:19, 54:23, 65:23 similar [1] - 66:13 simply [1] - 48:10 single [2] - 65:8, 66:22 sit [1] - 18:15 sites [1] - 40:17 sitting [2] - 66:3, 68:22 situation [1] - 8:22 slight [1] - 9:5 slip [1] - 57:3 software [1] - 53:22 soon [1] - 10:13 sorry [14] - 9:13, 14:17, 19:16, 22:13, 29:22, 29:23, 41:22, 43:22, 47:1, 48:7, 48:9, 52:11, 60:2, 68:6 sort [1] - 50:1 source [5] - 35:22, 36:17, 37:2, 60:13, sources [1] - 59:19 **SOUTHERN** [1] - 1:2 Spaan [1] - 71:20 **SPAAN** [3] - 1:23, 71:5, 71:19 **span** [1] - 26:22 spanned [1] - 54:9 speaking [2] - 25:5, special [3] - 25:1, 25:6, 37:12 Special [4] - 28:24, 30:24, 43:19, 47:22 specific [2] - 25:7,

68:23 specify [1] - 26:7 speculation [2] -19:11, 21:14 spell [3] - 24:16, 38:21, 49:9 **spent** [1] - 66:22 spoken [1] - 14:6 spreadsheet [6] -59:22, 60:17, 61:2, 61:6, 61:25, 62:17 spreadsheets [6] -26:25, 27:2, 27:5, 54:13, 54:16, 62:3 Spring [1] - 2:11 stacked [1] - 63:22 stacking [1] - 63:14 staff [1] - 51:7 STAND [1] - 10:1 stand [4] - 32:13, 32:15, 42:15, 49:5 Standards [1] - 51:1 standards [2] - 51:3, 51:8 **STANDBY** [1] - 2:16 start [3] - 56:3, 58:8, 69:24 started [1] - 51:25 starting [3] - 20:16, 50:7, 56:6 State [2] - 30:7, 50:10 **STATE** [1] - 71:4 **state** [5] - 5:19, 14:19, 24:15, 38:20, 49:8 statement [2] - 58:10, 59:2 **Statements** [1] - 3:23 **States** [10] - 2:4, 2:5, 2:9, 2:10, 24:13, 38:18, 49:3, 71:6, 71:8, 71:13 **STATES** [2] - 1:1, 1:5 **stay** [2] - 8:19, 10:13 staying [1] - 6:6 stenographically [1] -71:10 step [1] - 49:2 STEWARD [2] - 2:17, 2:18 still [4] - 7:14, 8:2, 11:20, 69:12 stipulate [3] - 19:15, 19:18, 19:20 stop [4] - 6:24, 9:23, 62:21, 62:23 story [2] - 17:5, 17:10 **STREET** [1] - 1:24 Street [2] - 2:6, 2:11 stricken [10] - 14:1, 17:8, 18:1, 19:12,

21:2, 23:3, 23:16, 26:17, 33:8, 34:10 strike [17] - 13:12, 13:24, 17:6, 17:16, 17:25, 19:11, 20:25, 23:2, 23:15, 33:6, 34:8. 37:7. 44:17. 44:20, 47:14, 47:25, 67:15 **stuff** [1] - 25:9 subject [8] - 24:9, 43:6, 43:11, 43:17, 47:6, 48:25, 66:1, 67:18 **submitted** [1] - 63:2 subpoena [2] - 39:21, **successive** [1] - 63:20 suggest [1] - 33:19 suggested [1] - 67:9 Suite [3] - 2:6, 2:11, 2.19 summarize [3] -28:13, 55:16, 55:19 summarized [1] -27:13 summarizing [2] -28:11, 55:2 Summary [3] - 3:18, 3:20, 3:21 **summary** [2] - 28:1, 55:13 supervise [1] - 33:25 **sustain** [1] - 14:15 sustained [12] - 13:6, 14:13, 15:4, 15:21, 16:1, 22:19, 26:15, 27:4, 33:17, 37:24, 38:3, 38:11 **SWORN** [3] - 24:14, 38:19, 49:7 System [1] - 39:11 system [13] - 39:23, 40:2, 40:14, 40:15, 40:19, 40:24, 41:16, 41:24, 45:6, 45:9, 46:5, 46:6, 48:11

Т

Tabs [6] - 66:22, 67:12, 67:22, 68:3, 68:4, 68:16 Tabs' [1] - 67:16 tax [1] - 25:7 team [2] - 27:16, 31:22 technical [1] - 51:5 Telegram [10] - 10:8, 11:11, 11:14, 12:6, 12:22, 13:1, 21:8,

21:12, 22:20, 23:7 telegram [1] - 10:5 telephone [1] - 42:19 ten [13] - 25:24, 27:9, 27:14, 28:14, 41:15, 41:20, 41:21, 45:6, 48:2, 48:11, 52:2, 52:6, 52:15 terms [1] - 65:10 terrorism [1] - 25:9 testified [3] - 13:3, 45:2, 47:12 testify [12] - 31:11, 31:14, 32:25, 33:4, 33:5, 33:11, 33:13, 33:20, 34:4, 34:11, 34:13, 66:19 testifying [4] - 13:21, 25:18, 33:18, 44:6 testimony [15] - 14:2, 14:4, 14:5, 43:7, 43:12, 43:17, 47:6, 63:8, 65:22, 66:1, 66:24, 67:19, 68:10, 68:11 Texas [4] - 40:13, 40:20, 41:25, 42:4 text [17] - 10:5, 10:9, 10:12, 11:10, 12:23, 19:3, 19:8, 20:8, 20:14, 20:18, 20:19, 21:3, 23:10, 23:11, 23:21, 23:22, 43:14 **THE** [174] - 2:3, 2:14, 3:3, 3:5, 3:8, 3:10, 4:8, 4:21, 5:2, 5:4, 5:16, 5:22, 5:25, 6:3, 6:7, 6:9, 6:11, 6:15, 6:21, 6:24, 7:3, 7:7, 7:21, 8:5, 8:10, 8:13, 9:2, 9:5, 9:11, 9:13, 9:16, 9:18, 9:21, 10:1, 13:6, 14:1, 14:13, 14:14, 14:15, 14:17, 14:20, 15:4, 15:21, 16:1, 16:22, 16:23, 17:8, 17:17, 18:1, 18:3, 18:10, 18:21, 19:12, 19:25, 20:3, 20:11, 20:12, 21:2, 21:16, 21:17, 22:4, 22:11, 22:12, 22:14, 22:19, 22:23, 23:3, 23:5, 23:9, 23:16, 23:25, 24:4, 24:6, 24:9, 24:15, 24:17, 24:19, 26:4, 26:5. 26:15. 26:16. 26:17, 27:4, 27:23, 27:24, 28:4, 28:5,

28:21, 31:1, 31:16, 31:17, 32:9, 32:10, 32:18, 32:19, 33:3, 33:4, 33:8, 33:17, 33:22, 33:23, 34:10, 35:17, 35:22, 37:8, 37:18, 37:24, 38:3, 38:11, 38:13, 38:16, 38:20, 38:22, 38:24, 38:25, 42:2, 42:3, 42:8, 44:15, 48:7, 48:9, 48:17, 48:18, 48:19, 48:24, 49:1, 49:2, 49:5, 49:8, 49:10, 49:12, 49:13, 54:22, 54:23, 55:5, 55:6, 55:25, 57:25, 62:22, 63:5, 63:7, 63:16, 64:6, 64:14, 64:17, 64:22, 64:25, 65:2, 65:6, 65:14, 65:17, 65:20, 66:6, 66:10, 66:12, 66:24, 67:3, 67:14, 67:25, 68:5, 68:7, 68:9, 68:18, 68:21, 69:2, 69:7, 69:9, 69:11, 69:14, 69:18, 69:21, 70:1, 70:3, 70:5 they've [1] - 31:24 third [2] - 30:1, 36:12 thousands [3] - 26:22, 54:11, 54:18 thread [3] - 63:25, 64:3, 64:9 threads [1] - 63:16 three [7] - 16:11, 19:2, 23:11, 23:22, 42:16. 43:1, 51:20 **thumb** [1] - 63:9 **THURSDAY** [2] - 1:15, 4:1 **Title** [1] - 71:8 title [5] - 39:12, 49:22, 51:4, 51:13, 56:8 titles [2] - 52:2, 52:5 today [26] - 4:10, 5:10, 6:16, 6:25, 7:6, 7:11, 7:25, 8:2, 13:22, 18:15, 32:16, 32:20, 32:21, 33:18, 42:15, 43:7, 43:12, 43:17, 44:2, 44:6, 45:2, 47:7, 47:12, 50:22, 62:24, 66:16 tomorrow [14] - 4:20, 4:25, 7:14, 7:15, 7:17, 7:18, 7:22, 8:3, 8:16, 8:24, 62:24,

63:3, 68:24, 69:22

tonight [2] - 7:14, 8:15 took [7] - 4:9, 7:5, 11:2, 32:13, 32:15, 54:23, 64:9 tooth [1] - 6:18 top [3] - 10:21, 29:15, 64:17 topic [2] - 44:6, 67:4 total [9] - 36:23, 36:25, 56:9, 56:12, 61:9, 61:15, 61:20, 64:19, 64:22 toward [2] - 61:9, 61:14 town [1] - 8:7 Tracing [2] - 3:20, 3:21 **Tran** [6] - 13:3, 13:9, 13:13, 13:19, 14:4, 53:15 transaction [2] -41:13, 46:20 transactions [8] -37:3, 40:13, 40:17, 42:3, 45:21, 45:25, 51:16, 53:23 TRANSCRIPT [2] -1:5, 1:14 transcript [2] - 71:9, 71.11 transfer [21] - 26:7, 29:5. 29:14. 29:23. 30:2. 30:6. 30:13. 30:20, 34:22, 35:23, 36:1, 36:7, 39:23, 39:25, 40:2, 40:15, 40:18, 40:24, 41:11, 41:16, 41:23 Transfers [1] - 3:19 transfers [14] - 26:24, 27:9, 28:11, 28:14, 39:22, 40:11, 41:15, 41:23, 45:6, 46:9, 47:24, 48:2, 48:11, 54.14 traveled [2] - 40:19, 41:24 treatment [1] - 62:7 trial [1] - 64:21 TRIAL [1] - 1:9 trick [1] - 68:15 tried [2] - 64:15, 65:15 trouble [1] - 4:24 **true** [13] - 12:22, 14:8, 14:11, 14:21, 15:6, 15:9, 15:13, 15:18, 28:1, 31:6, 35:5, 36:21, 71:9 trust [2] - 22:16, 30:8 Trust [3] - 26:13,

26:20, 27:8 try [1] - 12:18 Tuesday [4] - 7:20, 8:18, 9:9, 69:25 turn [2] - 8:24, 30:12 tweet [3] - 63:20, 63:21 tweets [3] - 63:12, 64:20

Twitter [2] - 63:20, 63:23 **two** [21] - 8:2, 11:17, 12:3, 14:3, 14:7, 17:14, 18:13, 21:23, 30:1, 39:18, 40:10, 40:12, 42:4, 42:18, 44:1, 46:20, 59:19, 62:3, 69:1, 69:3, 69:17

two-and-a-half [2] - 69:1, 69:3 type [1] - 51:6 types [3] - 52:15, 65:10

U

U.S[1] - 1:3 ultimately [2] - 62:12, 62:17 umpteen [1] - 63:14 under [3] - 4:6, 14:5, 58:18 undergraduate [1] -50:9 underlying [1] - 27:20 undershot [1] - 7:16 understood [1] -69:14 unique [4] - 41:12, 46:17, 46:21 United [10] - 2:4, 2:5, 2:9, 2:10, 24:13, 38:18, 49:3, 71:6, 71:8, 71:13 UNITED [2] - 1:1, 1:5 University [1] - 50:10 unroll [2] - 63:23, 63:25 up [25] - 5:6, 8:23, 10:10, 10:19, 12:6, 20:24, 23:11, 23:22, 39:10, 41:1, 56:7, 56:18, 57:6, 58:4, 58:7, 60:18, 60:19, 61:15, 63:16, 65:17, 66:13, 67:1, 68:19, 68:23, 68:24 urgent [1] - 9:22

US [1] - 29:25

user [1] - 65:15 user-friendly [1] -65:15 username [1] - 10:10

٧

valuation [1] - 51:18

various [4] - 49:21,

53:7, 55:2, 65:10 **verified** [7] - 28:10,

34:6, 38:4, 38:7,

45:5, 45:8, 47:18

27:12, 27:19, 37:13,

verify [9] - 22:16,

9:8

Varani [7] - 5:24, 7:14,

7:19, 8:7, 8:15, 8:18,

37:21, 46:4, 47:3, 47:16, 47:17 **VERSION** [1] - 1:8 via [3] - 12:23, 43:16, 45.13 vice [4] - 49:23, 49:24, 52:3 video [5] - 63:11, 63:12, 64:13, 64:17, 65:7 view [1] - 67:14 **VII** [1] - 28:7 **violation** [1] - 66:20 violative [3] - 55:23, 55:24, 67:24 **VOLUME** [1] - 1:9 Volume [1] - 28:7 voluminous [4] - 27:7, 54:6, 54:25, 55:17

W

wait [2] - 68:25, 69:1

vs [1] - 1:7

waited [1] - 68:25 waiting [1] - 69:3 **WAS** [3] - 24:14, 38:19, 49:7 weekend [3] - 8:11, 8:20, 9:6 weeks [2] - 14:3, 14:7 weight [3] - 67:14, 67:18, 68:9 welcome [2] - 67:2, 67:3 West [1] - 2:6 **WEST** [1] - 1:24 whatsoever [1] -31:10 wholesale [1] - 46:11 willing [2] - 4:17, 70:2 wire [32] - 25:8, 25:25,

26:6, 26:7, 26:24, 27:9, 28:11, 28:14, 29:5, 29:14, 29:16, 29:23, 30:4, 30:13, 30:15, 30:17, 30:18, 30:20, 34:22, 35:23, 36:1, 36:7, 39:25, 40:2, 40:5, 40:11, 41:11, 41:15, 41:22, 45:6, 48:2, 54:13 Wire [1] - 3:18 wired [1] - 35:11 wires [3] - 26:25, 27:14, 28:2 WITHDRAWN [1] -3:16 witness [6] - 8:24, 9:10, 37:9, 38:13, 48:17, 48:21 WITNESS [32] - 10:1, 14:14, 14:17, 16:23, 18:10, 20:11, 21:17, 22:11, 23:9, 23:25, 24:14, 24:17, 26:5, 26:16, 27:24, 28:5, 31:17, 32:10, 32:19, 33:4, 33:23, 35:22, 38:19, 38:22, 42:3, 48:9, 48:18, 49:1, 49:7, 49:10, 54:23,

witnesses [1] - 8:2 words [1] - 65:25 works [1] - 65:14 wrap [1] - 8:23 writing [2] - 46:23, 46:24 written [3] - 20:24, 45:1, 45:22 wrote [2] - 10:16,

WITNESSES [1] - 3:2

11:15 Wyman [14] - 3:6, 3:7, 3:9, 3:11, 18:16, 24:11, 24:19, 32:2, 32:7, 38:25, 44:1, 44:3, 49:13, 67:6 WYMAN [51] - 2:10, 24:12, 24:20, 24:22, 26:6, 26:18, 27:5, 27:25, 28:6, 28:16, 28:23, 30:25, 31:15, 32:8, 32:17, 33:2, 33:16, 33:21, 37:11, 37:17, 37:23, 38:2, 38:10, 38:15, 38:17, 39:1, 39:3, 41:1, 42:6, 48:16, 49:3, 49:14, 49:16, 54:25, 55:7, 55:20, 56:3,

56:6, 56:18, 57:5, 57:17, 57:22, 58:4, 58:18, 58:25, 60:18, 62:21, 66:14, 69:10, 69:12, 69:16

X

X-Law [1] - 30:11 **x-rays** [4] - 4:18, 6:16, 6:19, 6:20

Υ

year [2] - 51:10, 51:25 year/month/day [1] -46:18 years [11] - 17:14, 18:13, 25:4, 39:18, 51:20, 52:2, 52:7, 52:15, 65:5, 69:1, 69:3 yesterday [3] - 13:22, 31:17, 33:10 York [6] - 10:6, 11:6, 11:7, 39:7, 39:8, 39:15

Ζ

zero [15] - 20:22, 20:23, 21:5, 21:17, 22:24, 22:25, 23:9, 23:13, 23:24, 24:5, 35:1, 35:3, 35:5, 36:25, 37:4

Exhibit 2

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SOUTHERN DIVISION
3	HONORABLE JAMES V. SELNA, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
4	
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
6	Plaintiff,) Case No.
7) Case No. vs.) SACR-19-00061-JVS
8	MICHAEL JOHN AVENATTI,) TRIAL DAY 20
9	Defendant.) VOLUME 2
10	<u> </u>
11	
12	
13	
14	REPORTER'S PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
15	FRIDAY, AUGUST 13, 2021
16	1:27 P.M.
17	SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	DEBBIE HINO-SPAAN, CSR 7953, CRR
24	FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 411 WEST 4TH STREET, ROOM 1-053
25	SANTA ANA, CA 92701 dhinospaan@yahoo.com

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
4	NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney
5	BY: BRETT SAGEL Assistant United States Attorney
6	411 West 4th Street Suite 8000
7 8	Santa Ana, California 92701 714-338-3598
9	brett.sagel@usdoj.gov
10	NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney BY: ALEXANDER WYMAN
11	Assistant United States Attorney 312 North Spring Street
12	Suite 1100 Los Angeles, California 90012 213-894-2435
13	alex.wyman@usdoj.gov
14	FOR THE DEFENDANT IN PRO SE:
15	MICHAEL JOHN AVENATTI, ESQ.
16	STANDBY COUNSEL FOR MR. AVENATTI:
17	
18	H. DEAN STEWARD LAW OFFICES BY: H. DEAN STEWARD, ESQ. 17 Corporate Plaza
19	Suite 254 Newport Beach, California 92660
20	949-481-4900
21	deansteward7777@gmail.com
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX	
2	WITNESSES	PAGE
3	JOHN DRUM, CALLED BY THE GOVERNMENT Cross-Examination by Mr. Avenatti (resumed)	7
4	Redirect-Examination by Mr. Wyman Recross-Examination by Mr. Avenatti	68 77
5	4	
6	In-camera hearing transcribed in a separate volume	87
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16	EXHIBITS	
17	(None offered.)	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, AUGUST 13, 2021 1 2 1:27 P.M. 3 4 01:27PM 5 (Out of the presence of the jury.) MR. AVENATTI: I have an issue to raise before he 6 7 takes the stand, please. THE COURT: Mr. Avenatti. 8 MR. AVENATTI: Yes, Your Honor. Following up on 01:27PM 10 what I raised before the break, I'm entitled to these e-mails 11 and these statements from Mr. Drum. I was entitled to them 12 before I started my cross-examination. I'd like them produced 13 immediately, and I would like an adjournment so that I can 14 review them before I continue my cross-examination of Mr. Drum. 01:27PM 15 During the break we had occasion to look for any 16 case law that provides that an expert called by the Government, 17 that somehow that exempts the Government from complying with 18 26.2 and Jencks. We can find no such case and no such statute. 19 I also -- and I'll represent I placed calls to three 01:28PM 20 attorneys with a collective of about 100 years criminal law 21 experience who never heard such a thing. 22 There's no question that the materials exist. 23 witness's testimony could not be more clear. And none of the 2.4 information was produced, Your Honor. I was entitled to that 01:28PM 25 information before I began my cross-examination so I could

```
1
          tailor my cross-examination accordingly.
                     THE COURT: No, sir. You were entitled to it by
       2
       3
          statute after he finishes his direct.
       4
                     MR. AVENATTI: Yes. If I misspoke, I apologize,
          Your Honor. I thought I said before I started my
01:28PM
      5
       6
          cross-examination.
                     THE COURT: All right.
       7
       8
                     Mr. Wyman.
       9
                     MR. WYMAN: Your Honor, over the lunch break we
01:28PM 10
          looked for any e-mails of substance relating to his testimony
          for Mr. Drum.
      11
      12
                     And, again, I haven't had a chance research the
          issue. My understanding with an expert is that substantive
      13
          work product like the exchange of drafts, for example, falls
      14
01:28PM 15
          within the work product exception. But with regard to
      16
          substantive e-mails, what we were able to find was virtually
      17
          nothing, and the stuff that we had found was like -- you know,
      18
          I was asking for the extent of their payment and the breakdown
      19
          of what that payment was for, and we had copied and pasted that
01:29PM 20
          and put that into a disclosure letter to the defense.
      21
                     We're happy to provide in camera what we were able
      22
          to find, which was, as I said, virtually nothing that I don't
      23
          think has already been disclosed. We're happy to provide that
      2.4
          in camera to the Court.
01:29PM 25
                     THE COURT: Why don't you do that. We're not going
```

```
to take an adjournment. If Mr. Drum needs to stay over the
       1
       2
          weekend, so be it.
                     MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, I would ask that the
       3
          Court direct the witness, Mr. Drum, to provide to the Court all
       4
01:29PM
      5
          written communications with the Government, whether it be the
          prosecutors or the agents, relating to his testimony in this
       6
       7
          case. Because, Your Honor, the witness could not have been
          more clear, for two-and-a-half years he has been communicating
       8
          by e-mail with the Government relating to this case. Any of
01:30PM 10
          those written communications we're entitled to. Those are
      11
          statements of a witness.
      12
                      There is no -- there is no work product exception to
          the Jencks Act and Rule 26.2. And if counsel has any authority
      13
          for this proposition, I would ask for it. And, again, you
      14
01:30PM 15
          know, I'm repeating myself, but this morning I made this --
      16
                     THE COURT: Please don't repeat yourself because the
          jury is waiting.
      17
      18
                     MR. AVENATTI: Well, I made this point this morning,
          Your Honor. It's not my job to elicit testimony from witnesses
      19
          on cross-examination that show that the Government hasn't
01:30PM 20
      21
          aiven --
      22
                      THE COURT: Sir, we had this discussion.
                                                                We had it
      23
          in detail before we took the recess. Thank you.
      24
                     Bring the jury in, please.
01:31PM 25
                      (In the presence of the jury.)
```

1	THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.
2	Mr. Avenatti.
3	JOHN DRUM, WITNESS, RESUMED THE STAND
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION (resumed)
01:31PM 5	BY MR. AVENATTI:
6	Q Mr. Drum, before the break, we were talking about the
7	mock cross-examinations that you and Ms. Carter had conducted
8	over the last two-and-a-half weeks. Do you recall that?
9	A Yes, I do.
01:32PM 10	Q Who else was present when these mock cross-examinations
11	were occurring?
12	A Nobody.
13	Q So for every mock cross-examination that occurred in
14	connection with this case, the only two people present were you
01:32PM 15	and Ms. Carter. Is that your testimony?
16	A I believe that's right.
17	Q Do you have any doubt as to that?
18	MR. WYMAN: Objection. Asked and answered.
19	THE COURT: Sustained.
01:32PM 20	Q BY MR. AVENATTI: Were any of the government agents or
21	prosecutors ever privy to any of those cross-examinations?
22	A No.
23	Q Did you ever have any communications with any of the
24	government agents or prosecutors about some of the questions
01:32РМ 25	you might be asked?

1	A Yes.	
2	Q Did those communications include them telling you	
3	3 questions you might be asked on cross-examination?	
4	A Certainly they covered topics that might be covered in	
01:33PM 5	cross-examination, but I don't think we had any specific	
6	questions.	
7	Q Well, when you say they covered topics that might be	
8	asked on cross-examination, what do you mean?	
9	A For example, a topic that might be covered in cross might	
01:33PM 10	be Analysis Group's fees.	
11	Q What other topics did they mention to you that they	
12	thought might be covered on cross?	
13	MR. WYMAN: Objection. Relevance.	
14	THE COURT: Overruled.	
01:33РМ 15	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.	
16	Q BY MR. AVENATTI: So there were none other no other	
17	topics other than your fees that were discussed as possible	
18	cross topics, sir?	
19	MR. WYMAN: Asked and answered. 403. Calls for	
01:34PM 20	hearsay.	
21	THE COURT: Sustained.	
22	Q BY MR. AVENATTI: Sir, do you have a recollection of you	
23	conversing with the Government before you took the stand about	
24	any topics that might be covered in cross? You conversing.	
01:34PM 25	MR. WYMAN: Same objections.	

```
1
                      THE COURT: Overruled.
       2
                      THE WITNESS: Yes.
       3
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Okay. What topics were those?
                I recall discussing Analysis Group's fees being covered in
       4
01:34PM
      5
          cross.
       6
                What else?
       7
               I don't recall the other topics.
                But there were other topics?
       8
                I believe so.
01:34PM 10
                Okay. Well, when did you discuss these other topics that
      11
          you now can't recall?
                When we met on Monday, and we had a call a few weeks
      12
          earlier.
      13
                So you can't recall what you discussed four days ago with
      14
          the Government when you had the call on Monday?
01:34PM 15
      16
                      MR. WYMAN: Asked and answered. Argumentative.
      17
                      THE COURT: Sustained.
      18
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Sir, are you unable to recall the
      19
          details of the conversation that you had Monday?
01:35PM 20
                     MR. WYMAN: Same objections.
      21
                      THE COURT: Overruled.
      22
                      THE WITNESS: I recall some details of that
      23
          conversation.
      24
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Okay. Do you recall the details about
01:35PM 25
          the cross topics?
```

```
1
                Only covering the fees, is the only topic I recall
       2
          specifically.
       3
                How long was the call?
                I think we met for an hour or so.
                Who was on the call?
01:35PM
      5
       6
                This was a -- are you talking about our meeting on Monday?
       7
          0
               Yep.
                Okay. Alex Wyman, Brett Sagel, I believe James Kim was
       8
          there.
01:35PM 10
                Ms. Carter?
      11
               Yes.
               Has Ms. Carter had e-mail communications with the
      12
          government prosecutors and the agents, to the best of your
      13
      14
          knowledge, in connection with your testimony?
01:36PM 15
                     MR. WYMAN: Calls for speculation. 403.
      16
                     THE COURT: Overruled.
      17
                      THE WITNESS: Yes.
      18
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Has that been a fairly regular
          occurrence over the last six months?
      19
01:36PM 20
          A Fairly regular, I guess is a way you can describe it,
      21
          yeah.
      22
                And that generally has been at your direction as part of
      23
          your work in the case; correct?
                Yes. It's been at my direction.
      24
01:36PM 25
               And have you been copied on those communications?
```

```
1
                Yes, I believe so.
          Α
       2
                And have those communications, done at your direction,
       3
          been by e-mail?
          Α
                Yes.
                And those have been directed at both the AUSAs and the
01:36PM
      5
       6
          government agents?
       7
                I believe so.
                Have you maintained all of -- copies of all of the
       8
          e-mails that you and Ms. Carter have sent to the AUSAs or the
01:37PM 10
          agents in connection with your -- with the subject matter of
      11
          your testimony?
      12
                No. I don't believe I have.
      13
                You deleted some?
      14
                There's an automatic system that deletes e-mails.
01:37PM 15
                After how long?
      16
                After 30 days.
                You don't have the ability -- well, strike that.
      17
      18
                      In your software program, you don't have the ability
      19
          to suspend that deletion function?
01:37PM 20
                I do have that ability.
      21
                But you didn't exercise it in connection with your work
      22
          in this case; is that right?
      23
                I don't know if I saved every e-mail to prevent it from
      24
          being deleted.
01:37PM 25
                My question is a little different.
```

```
In connection with your work in this case, do you
       1
       2
          have a recollection of going into the software program and
       3
          disabling the deletion function as it relates to your written
          communications with the Government?
                No, I did not disable it.
01:38PM
      5
       6
                So for the last two-and-a-half years, every 30 days your
       7
          communications with the Government relating to the subject
          matter of your testimony have been deleted by the software
       8
          program. Do I have that right?
01:38PM 10
                Not exactly.
      11
                Okay. Well, what part of it is not right?
      12
                I have the ability to save e-mails so that they won't be
          deleted by the system. And I have saved e-mails associated
      13
      14
          with this matter. I don't know whether I saved all of them.
01:38PM 15
                How would you determine which e-mails to save as it
      16
          relates to your communications with the Government?
      17
                I would save e-mails that I think I might want to refer
      18
          back to at a later time.
      19
                But you didn't save all the e-mails?
01:39PM 20
               Correct. For example, I would not have a reason to
      21
          refer --
      22
                     MR. AVENATTI: Move to strike everything after
          "correct" as nonresponsive.
      23
      24
                     THE COURT: Be stricken.
01:39PM 25
            BY MR. AVENATTI: Did anyone ever ask you, "You know,
          0
```

```
1
          you're involved in a serious federal criminal matter. Please
       2
          don't delete any e-mails"?
       3
                Nobody asked me that.
                Did anybody ever ask you -- and by "anybody," I am
       4
          including Mr. Sagel, Mr. Wyman, and Special Agent Carlos.
01:39PM
      5
          any of these three gentlemen ever advise you that you shouldn't
       6
       7
          be deleting the e-mails during the pendency of a federal
          criminal matter?
       8
                I don't believe so.
01:39PM 10
                Do you know of any way to recover those e-mails?
      11
                That's not my area of expertise.
      12
                So that would be a "no"?
      13
                Correct.
      14
                Correct?
01:40PM 15
                Yes.
      16
                Do you know if Ms. Carter has saved her e-mails?
                I don't know.
      17
      18
                Is she subject generally to the same deletion policy that
      19
          you mentioned earlier?
01:40PM 20
                Yes.
      21
                Did you ever instruct Ms. Carter to preserve e-mails?
      22
                I don't believe I did.
      23
                Did you ever instruct anyone on your team, any of the
          four people that you mentioned earlier, did you ever instruct
      24
01:40PM 25
          any of them to preserve your communications relating to your
```

```
work in this matter?
       1
       2
                I don't believe I did.
       3
                Prior to taking the stand, did anybody ask you to gather
          the written communications from your firm to the Government in
          connection with this case?
01:41PM
      5
       6
                No.
       7
                To the best of your knowledge, did Ms. Evan Carter ever
          review any trial testimony in connection with this case?
       8
                To the best of my knowledge, no.
01:42PM 10
                Did you ever converse with Ms. Evan Carter relating to
      11
          Tabs?
      12
                I conversed with Ms. Carter about the case-related
          expenses that I analyzed.
      13
      14
                You mean the hard copy documents?
01:42PM 15
                The PDFs, yes.
      16
                The ones that said "Draft" across the page in big
          letters, those?
      17
                I don't recall if it said "Draft" or not.
      18
      19
                All right. Well, we're going to get to them in a minute.
01:42PM 20
                      But you never conversed with her about the software
          program or the electronic data; am I right?
      21
      22
                I did not converse with her about Tabs, no.
      23
                What are work papers?
      24
                Work papers are a written record of an analysis typically
01:42PM 25
          associated with an audit function.
```

```
1
                They're not only typically used in connection with
          audits, though, are they?
       2
       3
                     That term can be used in other settings.
                No.
                Other accounting engagements; right?
                Yes.
01:43PM
      5
          Α
       6
                Do you have any work papers -- well, strike that.
       7
                      Does your firm have any work papers that were
          prepared in connection with your work that was done in this
       8
          case?
01:43PM 10
                Yes.
      11
                Where are those maintained?
      12
                On Analysis Group's servers.
      13
                And what was the purpose of those work papers?
      14
                To document the procedures and analyses that I performed.
01:43PM 15
                So they reflect statements by you, effectively; right?
      16
                I'm not sure I understand that.
      17
                Well, they're documents that you prepared; am I correct?
      18
                Yes.
      19
                Did you bring any of those work papers with you today?
01:44PM 20
          Α
                No, I did not.
      21
                How about yesterday?
      22
                No, I did not.
      23
                Did you bring any of them to California in connection
      24
          with your testimony?
01:44PM 25
                No.
          Α
```

```
Is there a reason why you didn't bring them?
       1
       2
                I --
       3
                Well, strike that.
       4
                      I think we established earlier that you prepare
          witnesses to testify in cases; right?
01:44PM
      5
       6
                Yes. That's part of my job.
       7
                All right. And how many times have you done that over
          the last ten years?
       8
                I'd estimate a dozen.
01:44PM 10
                And have those other individuals who have been prepared
      11
          to testify, has that been in a deposition or at a trial?
      12
                Both.
          Α
                And have you been present for those depositions and
      13
      14
          trials?
01:45PM 15
                Some of them, yes.
      16
                Has there ever been an instance where you prepared
      17
          another expert to testify and that expert has brought with him
      18
          or her notebooks full of their work papers?
      19
                No, I don't think I've seen that.
01:45PM 20
                You've never done that, sir?
      21
                No.
      22
                You've never seen an expert that you prepared on the
      23
          stand demonstrate for the jury where the actual work came from?
      2.4
          Am I correct about that?
01:45PM 25
                      MR. WYMAN: Asked and answered and 403.
```

1 THE COURT: Overruled. 2 THE WITNESS: Witnesses that I've helped prepare 3 demonstrate how they perform their analyses. BY MR AVENATTI: And they do that through the work 4 01:45PM 5 papers, don't they? 6 No. 7 They don't refer to any of the actual work that they did on the stand, in your experience? 8 They refer to their work product. 01:46PM 10 How voluminous are your work papers for your engagement 11 in connection with this case? 12 That's tough to estimate. 13 Five pages? A thousand pages? 14 Closer to a few hundred. 01:46PM 15 Okay. Do they have tick marks on them, these work 16 papers, like little notes on them? 17 Α No. 18 What form are the work papers that you put together in connection with your analysis in this case? 19 There would be Microsoft Excel files, PDFs, and Microsoft 01:46PM 20 Word documents. 21 22 And they contain your notes that you built over the last 23 two-and-a-half years supporting these summaries that you were 2.4 asked about; is that right? 01:47PM 25 They contain details and calculations that underlie the

```
analyses that I performed, yes.
       1
       2
                Now, before you took the stand yesterday, what did you do
          to prepare to testify before this jury?
       3
                I reviewed the exhibits that we discussed earlier. And I
       4
01:47PM
          spoke with counsel, the Government, and I spoke with Evan
      5
       6
          Carter.
       7
             And you've been preparing to testify in this case for
          about the last month. Is that fair?
       8
                That's a good estimate.
01:47PM 10
                And during that month, I take it you also referred back
      11
          to your work papers from time to time; is that true?
      12
          Α
                Yes.
      13
                And when you referred back to those work papers over the
          last month to prepare to testify, did that help you refresh
      14
01:48PM 15
          your recollection as to certain calculations and certain work
      16
          that you did?
               It did.
      17
          Α
      18
                That helped you refresh your recollection so that you
          could come to court and testify as you did on direct; right?
      19
01:48PM 20
          Α
                Yes.
      21
                      MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, one moment, please.
      22
                      (Pause in proceedings.)
      23
                      BY MR. AVENATTI: Sir, have you ever heard the
      24
          phrase "garbage in, garbage out"?
01:49PM 25
          A I've heard that phrase.
```

- 1 Q What do you understand the phrase "garbage in, garbage
- 2 | out" to mean?
- 3 | A It means that if you were performing an analysis or
- 4 | building a financial model, if the inputs to that model are
- 01:50PM 5 unreliable, the outputs would also be unreliable.
 - 6 | Q That's a widely understood principle, to the best of your
 - 7 | knowledge, in public accounting; is it not?
 - 8 A Yes.
 - 9 Q If the inputs are not reliable, you can't rely on the
- 01:50PM 10 | output. Fair?
 - 11 A That's fair.
 - 12 | Q And you've understood at all times over the last two and
 - 13 | a half years that this case was a very serious matter; right?
 - 14 A Yes.
- 01:50PM 15 | Q And you understood at all times that your work had to be
 - 16 | spot-on, 100 percent correct; right?
 - 17 A I always aim for my work to be spot-on, 100 percent
 - 18 | correct.
 - 19 Q Well, and you especially understood it in connection with
- 01:51PM 20 | this serious criminal matter; right?
 - 21 A I understood it in connection with this matter and all my
 - 22 other cases, yes.
 - 23 Q You understood over the last two years that close was not
 - 24 | good enough; is that fair?
- 01:51PM 25 MR. WYMAN: Objection. Argumentative. Irrelevant.

```
THE COURT: Overruled.
       1
       2
                     THE WITNESS: I always aim to be as accurate as
       3
          possible.
       4
               BY MR. AVENATTI: Yeah, my question is a little
          different.
01:51PM
      5
       6
                     You understood that in connection with this very
       7
          serious criminal matter, close was not good enough; right?
                I think that -- that would be a legal interpretation. I
       8
          don't know if I'm --
01:51PM 10
                Sir, I'm not asking you for a legal interpretation.
      11
          asking you what you've understood over the last two and a half
          years while you've been -- you and your colleagues have been
      12
          billing upwards of $600,000.
      13
      14
                     Here's my question, very simple: You understood in
01:51PM 15
          connection with this serious criminal matter that close was not
      16
          good enough, your work had to be 100 percent?
      17
                     MR. WYMAN: Objection. Vaque as to "good enough."
      18
                     THE COURT: Overruled.
      19
                     THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I'm not sure how to answer
01:52PM 20
          the question, because I don't know what -- "good enough" seems
      21
          like a standard, potentially a legal interpretation.
      22
               BY MR. AVENATTI: Mr. Drum, I'm not asking for a legal
      23
          interpretation. My question is this: In connection with your
      2.4
          work over the last two and a half years and the work that you
01:52PM 25
          did on these charts, you understood that they had to be
```

```
1
          100 percent accurate, especially because of how serious this
       2
          matter is; right?
       3
                All of my work, in every setting, I am to be 100 percent
       4
          accurate, yes.
                Including this one?
01:52PM
      5
       6
                Including this one, yes.
       7
                And you also understood that before you could perform any
          analysis or reach any conclusions, you had to understand how
       8
          the financial accounting worked at the law firm, Eagan
01:53PM 10
          Avenatti; right?
      11
                I think I was provided the information that I needed to
          do -- needed in order to perform the analyses that I was asked
      12
          to perform.
      13
      14
                      MR. AVENATTI: Move to strike as nonresponsive.
01:53PM 15
                      THE COURT: Denied.
      16
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Sir, my question is, did you have the
      17
          understanding that in order to reach your conclusions and
      18
          provide your opinions to the jury, that you had to understand
      19
          how the financial accounting software at the law firm worked?
                      MR. WYMAN: Asked and answered.
01:53PM 20
      21
                      THE COURT: Sustained.
      22
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Sir, isn't it true that if you don't
      23
          know how the law firm tracked expenses for clients, you can't
          determine how much a client was owed?
      2.4
01:54PM 25
                     MR. WYMAN: Asked and answered. Argumentative.
```

```
1
                      THE COURT: Sustained.
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Sir, how important was it for you to
       2
       3
          understand what the expenses were for any particular client?
                It was one of the inputs that is part of my exhibits.
       4
01:54PM
      5
                It was critically important, was it not?
       6
                The exhibit would be incomplete without that information,
       7
          yes.
                So you would agree it's critically important?
       8
                Yes.
          Α
01:54PM 10
                Sir, I want to show you some testimony that the jury has
      11
          already heard in the case, and I'm going to ask you a couple
      12
          questions about it. I'm waiting for the Elmo.
      13
                      Do you see this transcript, sir?
      14
                Yes, I can see it.
                And I'll represent to you that this is some of the
01:56PM 15
      16
          testimony from Ms. Judy Regnier. You know who that is; right?
      17
          Α
                Yes.
      18
                Page 93:
      19
                      "Well, do you remember yesterday you were
01:56PM 20
                asked about -- you were asked a question, and you
      21
                said that there was another program that was used
      22
                but you couldn't remember the name of it and you
      23
                thought it might be Timeslips.
      2.4
                      "ANSWER: Yes, I remember that.
01:56PM 25
                      "QUESTION: Now, is your recollection
```

```
1
                refreshed that, in fact, it was Tabs?
       2
                      "ANSWER: Yes.
                      "QUESTION: When you had to figure out costs
       3
                for a case, you would look at Tabs, would you not?
       4
                      "ANSWER: No. I would look at both Tabs and
01:57PM
      5
       6
                QuickBooks.
       7
                      "QUESTION: Why would you look at both
                QuickBooks and Tabs?
       8
                      "ANSWER: To make sure that we had
01:57PM 10
                encompassed all of the costs.
      11
                      "QUESTION: You couldn't rely on just one.
      12
                You had to look at both; right?
                      "ANSWER: Yes.
      13
                      "QUESTION: Otherwise, the calculation could
      14
01:57PM 15
                be off?
                      "ANSWER: Correct."
      16
      17
                         Did I read that correctly?
      18
                Yes.
      19
                Did you, sir, in connection with your $600,000-plus
          analysis, ever make any efforts to look at both QuickBooks and
01:57PM 20
      21
          Tabs, meaning the electronic data?
      22
                      MR. WYMAN: Asked and answered several times,
      23
          Your Honor.
      2.4
                      THE COURT: Overruled.
01:58PM 25
                      THE WITNESS: My estimate of case-related expenses
```

```
1
          reflects both QuickBooks and the spreadsheets from Judy
       2
          Regnier, which I understand are an output from Tabs.
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Sir, that's not what I asked you.
       3
          We're going to get to what Ms. Regnier said about these
       4
01:58PM
          spreadsheets momentarily. I'm asking you about the data --
      5
       6
          strike that.
       7
                      You looked at the data from QuickBooks; am I right?
                Yes, I looked at data in QuickBooks.
       8
                But you never looked at the electronic data from Tabs,
01:58PM 10
          did you?
      11
                That's correct.
      12
                The only information that Tabs -- from Tabs you ever
          looked at was the hard copy draft printouts that you were
      13
          provided by the Government; am I right?
      14
01:58PM 15
                That's correct.
      16
               You understand that the costs for a case --
      17
                      THE COURT: Mr. Avenatti, hold your voice down a
      18
          little, please.
      19
                      MR. AVENATTI: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
01:59PM 20
                Sir, you understand that the cost for any particular
      21
          case, what's reflected in QuickBooks, is not identical to
      22
          what's reflected in Tabs; right? You understand that?
      23
                Yes. My review --
      2.4
                Thank you.
01:59PM 25
                -- when I compared the two, I saw they were different.
```

```
MR. AVENATTI: Move to strike everything after "yes"
       1
       2
          as nonresponsive.
       3
                      THE COURT: Be stricken.
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Sir, the reason why you never looked --
       4
          0
          you never asked to look at the Tabs electronic data was because
02:00PM
      5
          nobody ever told you it existed; isn't that true?
       6
       7
                I knew of the outputs -- the spreadsheets that were
          e-mailed by Judy Regnier, but other than that, no.
       8
                Every time I ask you about the electronic data, you want
02:00PM 10
          to mention the spreadsheets. Please just listen to my
      11
          question.
      12
                      MR. WYMAN: Objection. Argumentative.
                      THE COURT: Sir, just ask questions.
      13
                      MR. AVENATTI: I will.
      14
02:00PM 15
                Mr. Drum, I'm asking about the electronic data of Tabs.
          Here's my question: The reason why you never asked to look at
      16
      17
          the electronic data of Tabs was because you didn't even know it
          existed; isn't that true?
      18
      19
                That's correct.
02:00PM 20
                And you never did anything to find out, even though your
      21
          firm billed over $600,000; isn't that true?
      22
                I never did anything to find out what?
      23
                To find out whether there was electronic data from Tabs.
      2.4
                That's correct.
02:01PM 25
                Now, let's talk about the data that you reviewed from
```

```
QuickBooks. Where did you get that from?
       1
                That data came from the Government. Was provided to me
       2
          from the Government.
       3
                Did you look at any of the identifying information on
       4
          that electronic -- well, strike that. Let me lay some
02:02PM
      5
       6
          foundation.
       7
                      When you got it from the Government, was it an
          electronic file?
       8
                Yes, it was.
02:02PM 10
                Did you look at any of the identifying information on the
      11
          electronic file to try to figure out where it came from?
                I'm not sure what you mean by "identifying information."
      12
                When you received the electronic file, it was a
      13
          QuickBooks database; am I right?
      14
02:02PM 15
                Yes.
      16
                And you loaded it in QuickBooks; right?
      17
          Α
                Yes.
      18
                Did you try to find out any information about what
          electronic information was in the file showing where it came
      19
02:03PM 20
          from or the last time it had been updated?
                No, I did not.
      21
      22
                So when you -- and that's the QuickBooks data that you
      23
          used; right?
      2.4
                Yes.
02:03PM 25
                So you made no effort to see how current it was, did you?
```

- 1 A No. I relied on it as given to me.
- 2 | Q So when you used that -- well, strike that.
- 3 You used that because that's what the Government
- 4 | told you to use; right?
- 02:03PM 5 A I used that because that's the data the Government
 - 6 provided me, yes.
 - 7 Q But you have no idea how complete or incomplete that data
 - 8 | is; right?
 - 9 A I had no way to verify how complete or incomplete the data
- 02:04PM 10 | was.
 - 11 | Q And you undertook no effort to do so; right?
 - 12 | A I had no information that would allow me to do that.
 - 13 Q And, therefore, you didn't take any steps to do it;
 - 14 | right?
- 02:04PM 15 | A That's right.
 - 16 | Q Did you ever ask to see any of the cost invoices for any
 - 17 | particular client? By "cost invoice" -- let me ask a better
 - 18 | question. Strike that.
 - 19 Did you ever ask to see the actual invoices from
- 02:05PM 20 | vendors in connection with any particular client?
 - 21 A No, I did not.
 - 22 | Q And just to be clear, what I mean by that is, did you
 - 23 | ever ask the Government, just as an example, "I'd like to see
 - 24 all of the invoices from the law firm that may relate to an
- 02:05PM 25 | expense associated with Mr. Johnson's case." And by "invoices"

```
1
          I'm talking about third-party invoices.
       2
                      Did you ever do that?
       3
                     I didn't review any third-party invoices.
       4
                And you never asked for any?
                I don't believe I did.
02:05PM
      5
       6
                And that was true for Mr. Johnson, Mr. Barela, Ms. Phan,
       7
          Mr. Tran, and Ms. Gardner; right?
       8
          Α
                Yes.
                So you assumed the QuickBooks file was accurate, and you
02:06PM 10
          assumed that all of the expenses were in QuickBooks; right?
      11
                      MR. WYMAN: Objection. Compound.
      12
                      THE COURT: Sustained.
      13
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Okay. We already established that you
          assumed OuickBooks was accurate; true?
      14
02:06PM 15
                I relied on QuickBooks as it was provided to me.
      16
                And you assumed it was accurate; right?
                I had no reason to believe otherwise.
      17
      18
                      MR. AVENATTI: Move to strike, Your Honor.
      19
                      THE COURT: Be stricken.
02:06PM 20
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Sir, you assumed the QuickBooks file
      21
          was accurate. That's why you used it; correct?
      22
                I summarized the information in QuickBooks, yes.
      23
                Because you assumed it was accurate?
      2.4
          Α
                Yes.
02:06PM 25
                You generally don't use information that you don't
```

believe is accurate; is that right? 1 2 That's a good practice. Okay. Now, and you also assumed as part of your analysis 3 that every expense the firm had incurred for a client was put in QuickBooks; correct? 02:07PM 5 No. That's why I relied on the Judy Regnier spreadsheets 6 7 that were available and QuickBooks. Sir, what if an expense was incurred but was not input in 8 QuickBooks or on the spreadsheet, would your analysis reflect 02:07PM 10 t.hat.? 11 It would not. 12 Do you know what the practice of the law firm was relating to when invoices would come in and how much time would 13 pass before they were actually put into QuickBooks or Tabs? 14 No, I do not. 02:07PM 15 16 Did you make any effort in connection with your analysis 17 to discover what that protocol was and whether there was any 18 lag time? 19 I relied on the information that was inputted in 02:08PM 20 QuickBooks and provided to me. 21 So, for instance, since you're here in an expert 22 capacity, I can ask you to assume various things. I want you 23 to assume that there was \$100,000 expert invoice on one of the 2.4 client's -- client matters that you have testified about. 02:08PM 25 Do you have that assumption in mind?

1	A Okay.
2	Q And I want you to assume that it was not input into
3	QuickBooks, or at least the version that you had, or on one of
4	the spreadsheets.
02:08PM 5	Do you have that assumption in mind?
6	A I'm sorry, can you repeat that?
7	MR. AVENATTI: Can I have it read back?
8	THE COURT: Fine.
9	(The record was read as follows:
02:08PM 10	"And I want you to assume that it was not
11	input into QuickBooks, or at least the version
12	that you had, or on one of the spreadsheets.
13	"Do you have that assumption in mind?")
14	THE WITNESS: Okay.
02:09РМ 15	Q BY MR. AVENATTI: Your analysis, then, would be wrong,
16	because it would not account for the \$100,000 invoice that you
17	made no effort to find out about in connection with your
18	analysis; right?
19	A My analysis would omit that expense that was omitted from
02:09РМ 20	the data that was provided to me.
21	Q Is that a long way of saying, "Yes, it would be wrong"?
22	A My analysis is an accurate summary of the information that
23	was provided to me.
24	Q It would be wrong, correct, sir?
02:09РМ 25	A What would be wrong? Can you be specific?

```
1
                I want you to assume there's $100,000 invoice. I thought
       2
          we just went through this. Let me go through it again.
       3
                      I want you to assume there's $100,000 invoice. It's
          not in the version of QuickBooks that you have. It was also
       4
02:10PM
      5
          not included in the spreadsheets that the Government provided
       6
          you.
       7
                      Your analysis would be wrong because it would not
          account for the $100,000 invoice, cost for a client; true?
       8
                Under that hypothetical, yes.
02:10PM 10
                And you don't know if there's any examples of that for
      11
          any of the clients, do you?
      12
                I would have no way of knowing that.
                And the reason is because you never made any effort to
      13
          find out. That's why you don't know that; isn't that true?
      14
02:10PM 15
                I would have no way of knowing that.
      16
                Sir, did you make any effort to find out about any other
      17
          legal work that was done for any of the clients beyond the work
      18
          on the particular settlement amounts?
      19
                     That was not in the scope of my assignment.
02:11PM 20
                It was not in the scope?
      21
                That's correct.
      22
                And who determined the scope, the Government?
      23
                Yes. The Government provided me my assignment.
                So you understood that you couldn't -- you couldn't even
      24
02:11PM 25
          make inquiry about that topic -- was that it? -- because it was
```

```
outside the scope?
       1
       2
                No, I don't think so.
       3
                "No," you don't think so what?
                I could have asked about that, yeah.
                But you did not?
02:11PM
      5
       6
                No, I didn't.
       7
                All right. I want to ask you some questions about the
          charts. Let's go to Exhibit 420.
       8
                      Can we please blow up 420?
02:12PM 10
                      Can you see that, sir?
      11
                Yes.
      12
                Now, if you're wrong about either the legal fees or the
          case-related expenses, then the total due to the client is
      13
      14
          wrong; correct?
02:13PM 15
                That's how the math works, yes.
      16
               Pretty simple; right?
      17
          Α
                Yes.
      18
                And as it relates to the case-related expenses, we were
          just talking about that, you did nothing to verify that other
      19
02:13PM 20
          than look at QuickBooks and the spreadsheets; right?
      21
                Correct. Those were the two sources of information I
      22
          used.
      23
                      MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, one moment. I'm sorry.
      24
                      (Counsel conferred off the record.)
02:14PM 25
             BY MR. AVENATTI: Now, Mr. Drum, you understand in this
          0
```

```
criminal case, this case is about what's called counts; right?
       1
       2
                Did you say "counts"?
       3
                Counts.
                Yes.
                Okay. And you understand that there's ten counts or
02:14PM
      5
       6
          criminal charges in connection with this case; right?
                I don't think I had that number in mind.
       7
                Okay. Well, the Government can correct me if I'm wrong,
       8
          but I'll represent to you that there's ten counts. All right?
02:14PM 10
                      Now, I want to show you 457, and we're going to take
          a look at Count One. This is a Government exhibit. Can you
      11
      12
          see that?
               Yes, I see that.
      13
      14
                Okay. And you see that this is a transfer of $250,000;
02:15PM 15
          right?
      16
                Yes.
                What's the date?
      17
      18
                January 30th, 2015.
      19
                And you understand that this is one of the charges by the
02:15PM 20
          Government; namely, that they allege that this money was
      21
          improperly taken from Mr. --
      22
                      I didn't even finish the question. Strike that.
      23
                      You understand that the Government alleges that this
      24
          was improper?
02:15PM 25
                     MR. WYMAN: Objection. Foundation. Argumentative
```

```
1
          and calls for a legal conclusion.
       2
                      THE COURT: Sustained.
       3
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Sir, I want to ask you about this
          $250,000 transfer.
       4
02:16PM
      5
                Okay.
       6
                Did you see this $250,000 transfer over the course of
       7
          your analysis?
                I saw a transfer of $250,000 from A&A 0061 to GB 9962 on
       8
          that same day.
02:16PM 10
                Where did the money come from before AA transferred the
      11
          $250,000?
      12
                It came from EA 2851.
                Where is that reflected so we can show the jury?
      13
      14
                I'm looking at Exhibit 424.
02:17PM 15
                      MR. AVENATTI: Why don't -- Ms. Hernandez, I'll just
      16
          switch it over. Keep that up there, please, if you don't mind.
      17
          I'm just going to use this.
      18
                There's the $250,000 deposit into the EA account; right?
      19
                Yes. And on the same day a $200,000 deposit was made.
02:18PM 20
                      MR. AVENATTI: Move to strike the second part as
      21
          nonresponsive.
      22
                      THE COURT: Be stricken.
          Q BY MR. AVENATTI: Sir, please just answer my question.
      23
                     This is the $250,000 deposit into the AA account;
      24
02:18PM 25
          right?
```

```
1
          Α
                Yes.
       2
                And it came from EA 2851; right?
       3
                Yes.
          Α
                Okay. Where did EA 2851 get the money to send it to AA?
       4
          Q
                From EA 8541.
02:18PM
      5
          Α
                What exhibit?
       6
       7
                423.
          Α
       8
                That's it right there; correct?
                Yes. That's the transfer to AA 0661.
          Α
02:19PM 10
                And this $250,000 payment came out of this $1.6 million
      11
          that had been deposited into EA's account; right?
      12
          Α
                Yes.
      13
                And where did the $1.6 million come from?
      14
                From EA 8541.
02:20PM 15
                What exhibit?
      16
                423 and 422.
      17
                That's it right there; right?
      18
                Yes.
          Α
      19
                Well, look at 422. The $1.6 million; right?
02:21PM 20
          Α
                Yes.
      21
                That was the legal fee associated with the Geoff Johnson
      22
          matter, wasn't it?
      23
                Yes.
                So Count One charges me with a $250,000 transfer that
      24
02:21PM 25
          came directly out of the $1.6 million legal fee that the firm
```

```
1
          was entitled to in connection with the Johnson matter; isn't
       2
          that true?
       3
                      MR. WYMAN: Objection. Foundation. Argumentative.
       4
          Lacks personal knowledge.
                      THE COURT: Overruled.
02:21PM
      5
       6
                      THE WITNESS: The $250,000 withdraw on January 30th,
       7
          2015, that we pointed to on 424 came from the $1.6 million
       8
          legal fees.
                      MR. AVENATTI: Ms. Hernandez, can we have row 2.
02:22PM 10
                We're looking at row 2 of Exhibit 457.
      11
                Okay.
      12
                Do you see that?
                Yes.
      13
      14
                What's the date?
02:22PM 15
                February 10th, 2015.
      16
                What's the amount?
                $50,000.
      17
          Α
                From which account to which account?
      18
      19
                From CB&T 0661 to Bank of America account in the name of
          Michael John Avenatti.
02:23PM 20
      21
                What exhibits reflect where that money came from?
      22
                I believe it would be the same exhibits we were just
      23
          looking at.
                Let's show the jury. Can you direct me, please.
      24
02:23PM 25
                424.
```

1 What's the date again? Q 2 February 10th, 2015. Which one? 3 Q The one just above your fingernail. Yeah. 4 Α 02:24PM 5 That one; right? 6 The one that your finger is covering. Yeah. 7 This one? 0 8 Yes. Okay. And where did the money come from this pay -- for 02:25PM 10 this payment? 11 Looks like it came from the \$100,000 deposit from 2851. 12 Okay. Where is that reflected? 13 That would be in Exhibit 423. Yes. 14 That's it right there; right? 02:26PM 15 Yes. 16 And where did that money come from? So prior to that withdrawal, there were three deposits 17 18 from EA 8541 and one deposit that's not identified. 19 And the three deposits from 8541 were the \$1.6 million that we've already established was the legal fee; correct? 02:27PM 20 21 Α Yes. 22 The \$2,776 that related to Mr. McNicholas's cost; right? 23 Yes. 24 This cost amount, which you claim was not accurate, 02:27PM 25 although you don't have the Tabs data; right?

- 1 A I have the output in the Judy Regnier e-mail.
 2 Q Sir, do you know anything about that output as to its
- 4 have any idea?

3

- 02:27PM 5 A I don't know what Judy Regnier testified.
 - 6 Q Okay. And you don't have any idea as to how accurate it

accuracy or what Judy Regnier testified to about it? Do you

- 7 | is either, do you?
- 8 A I believe it double-counted certain expenses, so it was
- 9 | not accurate.
- 02:28PM 10 | Q Sir, you're not understanding my question.
 - 11 You don't know whether that was a final cost amount
 - 12 or not, do you?
 - 13 A I don't know whether that was a final cost or not.
 - 14 Q Okay. And we're going to come back to this number
- 02:28PM 15 | momentarily.
 - And there's this number of \$15,637; right?
 - 17 | A Yes.
 - 18 Q And after this \$100,000 payment was made, what was the
 - 19 | balance in the account?
- 02:28PM 20 A 708,031.
 - 21 | Q So are you able to tell the jury that any of that \$50,000
 - 22 | came from money stolen from Geoffrey Johnson?
 - 23 A The \$100,000? No, I can't say one way or another.
 - 24 Q But we know the \$250,000 was not; right?
- 02:29PM 25 A The source of the \$250,000 withdrawal was the 1.6 million.

```
1
          Yes.
       2
                So do you have any idea why they charged me with a
       3
          federal crime over that payment?
                      MR. WYMAN: Objection. Argumentative.
       4
                      THE COURT: Sustained.
02:29PM
      5
                BY MR. AVENATTI: By the way, in connection with the over
       6
       7
          $600,000 worth of work that your firm did and you did, did the
          Government ever ask you to engage in this type of analysis,
       8
          where they took the charges and actually traced them through to
02:30PM 10
          see if an actual crime had been committed? Did they ever ask
      11
          you to do that?
      12
                That was not part of my assignment.
                So I'll take that as a "No." Is that fair?
      13
      14
                Yes.
02:30PM 15
                Now, you were also asked about -- and we're going to come
      16
          back to that count chart here momentarily, but you were also
          asked about Exhibit 48.
      17
      18
                      Can you please pull that up.
      19
                      You recall that Mr. Wyman asked you about
02:31PM 20
          Exhibit 48?
      21
                Yes.
      22
                And you understand that this is a draft printout from
      23
          Tabs; right?
      2.4
                Yes.
02:31PM 25
                And I wrote this down, but I may have written it down
```

```
wrong. You correct me if I'm wrong. But I believe that during
       1
       2
          your direct, Mr. Wyman asked you if you had confirmed that
          these expenses had actually been incurred, and you said that
       3
          you had. And the way that you had confirmed it was you had
       4
02:32PM
      5
          looked at the underlying bank records to see if the payments
          had been made. Do you recall that testimony?
       6
       7
                I think so. Yes.
                And was that truthful when you told the jury that that's
       8
          how you confirmed that these payments had been made?
02:32PM 10
                That was my recollection.
      11
                Sir, do you know why some costs were kept in QuickBooks
          versus Tabs?
      12
      13
                No, I don't.
                Isn't it true that sometimes the law firm would get an
      14
02:33PM 15
          invoice that would have a lot of different cases billed on the
      16
          same invoice, and the total amount would go into QuickBooks,
          but then it had to be broken down in Tabs. Isn't that true?
      17
      18
                      MR. WYMAN: Objection. Foundation.
      19
                      THE COURT: Overruled.
02:33PM 20
                      THE WITNESS: That could be.
      21
                BY MR. AVENATTI: So I want to ask you about page 4 of 9
      22
          on this Exhibit 48. This is the one that you said you went to
      23
          the banking records and you confirmed the payments, page 4 of
      2.4
          9.
02:34PM 25
                     We can just blow up the bottom half, please.
```

```
Sir, do you see all these entries to Nationwide?
       1
       2
                Yes, I do.
       3
                And many of them are fairly small numbers there. Do you
          see that? For instance, there's one, "Delivery of envelope,
          $18."
02:34PM
      5
       6
                I see that.
                There's another one, "Delivery of envelope, $72."
       7
                      Do you see that?
       8
                Yes.
          Α
02:35PM 10
                So, sir, is it your testimony that if you went into the
      11
          bank records of Eagan Avenatti, that you would see a check for
          each one of these small amounts made payable to Nationwide and
      12
          that -- I mean, I think you testified that's how you confirmed
      13
          that the expenses were paid; right?
      14
02:35PM 15
                I should clarify that. I confirmed the CareMeridian
      16
          amounts in here. They were actually paid.
      17
                Well, sir, that wasn't the question. I wrote it down.
      18
                      Mr. Wyman asked you if you had confirmed all of the
      19
          expenses on 48 by checking the bank records, and you testified
02:35PM 20
          to the jury that you had.
      21
                      Do you wish to now change that testimony?
      22
                I confirmed the amounts that were paid to CareMeridian.
      23
                Because there would be no way to confirm the other
      24
          expenses merely by going to the bank records, because very
02:36PM 25
          often the payment made in the bank records would account for a
```

```
number of different cases, i.e., for Nationwide; right?
       1
       2
                That could be a reason, yes.
                So other than CareMeridian, you made no confirmation of
       3
          any of these expenses on 48; right?
                I don't recall making a confirmation of the others.
02:36PM
      5
       6
                And you don't recall asking Ms. Carter or any of the
       7
          other people working with you to do so; right?
                I don't recall one way or the other.
       8
                You were asked about this line item in red, "Total client
02:37PM 10
          received from settlement," and you have nothing listed there.
      11
          Right?
      12
                That's correct.
                Did Mr. Johnson receive any monies before -- strike that.
      13
      14
                      Did Mr. Johnson receive any monies from Eagan
02:38PM 15
          Avenatti before January 29, 2015, as an advance on his
      16
          settlement, yes or no?
                I don't recall.
      17
      18
                Well, you would agree that if he did receive such
      19
          advances, this number would be wrong; right?
                Yes, if he received advances, I would expect that to be in
02:38PM 20
      21
          the case-related expenses.
                      MR. AVENATTI: Move to strike as nonresponsive.
      22
      23
                      THE COURT: Be stricken.
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Sir, if he received advances before his
      2.4
02:39PM 25
          settlement, this statement that he received no monies would be
```

```
wrong, wouldn't it?
       1
       2
                No, I don't think that's right.
       3
                Well, did he receive any money after January 29th, 2015,
          from Eagan Avenatti?
02:39PM
      5
          Α
                Yes.
       6
                None of those monies are shown on this page; correct?
       7
                That's correct.
                Sir, you were asked a question about what kinds of
       8
          expenses that you noticed out of the Avenatti & Associates
02:40PM 10
          account. Do you recall that? You were asked this general
      11
          question by Mr. Wyman?
      12
                Yes, I recall that.
                And you said that it was a mix of personal and business
      13
          expenses. Do you recall that?
      14
                Yes, I recall that.
02:40PM 15
      16
                Who owned Avenatti & Associates?
      17
                I believe it was -- I believe it was you, and I don't
      18
          recall if there was another interest in it.
      19
                You understood, sir, that Avenatti & Associates was a
02:40PM 20
          company that I owned 100 percent of, did you not? You
      21
          understood that when you were doing your analysis; right?
      22
                I don't recall that offhand, but I can accept that.
      23
                It wasn't a publicly traded company?
      2.4
          Α
                No.
02:41PM 25
                Okay. Did you understand that I owed any money in
```

```
1
          Avenatti & Associates to other people who were my partners in
       2
          Avenatti & Associates?
       3
                I don't know whether Avenatti & Associates had any debt,
       4
          no.
                I'm sorry, any debt?
02:41PM
      5
       6
                Yes.
       7
                I wasn't asking about debt. I was just asking about
       8
          partners.
                      Are you aware of any other partners or people that
          owned equity interest in Avenatti & Associates?
02:41PM 10
      11
                No, I'm not aware.
      12
                So are you aware of anything improper in -- when you have
          a wholly owned personal corporation, having the company pay
      13
          personal expenses from time to time as long as it's accounted
      14
02:41PM 15
          for? Are you aware something improper in that?
      16
                As long as it's accounted for properly. That's up to the
      17
          sole proprietor to do.
      18
                Happens all the time, doesn't it?
      19
                It does.
02:42PM 20
                Now, when you were asked about 422, Mr. Wyman didn't ask
          you about a particular line item, and I want to ask you about
      21
      22
          it.
      23
                      This is the EA trust account exhibit. Do you see
      2.4
          that?
02:42PM 25
            Yes, I see that.
          Α
```

- 1 And there's the \$1.6 million fee. We already talked 2 about that; right? 3 Yes. And then there's a \$3 million deposit on March 31st, and 4 02:43PM 5 the payor is Mark Calvert. Do you see that? 6 I see that. Do you know what that relates to? 7 No. I don't know who Mark Calvert is. 8 Did you ever ask anybody? 02:43PM 10 I don't believe so. 11 And then out of that amount of money there was an amount 12 paid to Eagan Avenatti of over \$2 million; is that right? I see that withdrawal to Eagan Avenatti. 13 And that largely came from the amount of money deposited 14 02:44PM 15 from Mr. Mark Calvert. Do you see that? 16 Yes. Before the deposit from Mark Calvert, the account did not have sufficient funds to make that transfer. 17 18 And then there was a \$350,000 payment made to 19 McNicholas & McNicholas. Do you see that? 02:44PM 20 Α Yes, I see that. 21 And that was the same firm that was working on the Geoff 22 Johnson matter, wasn't it?
 - 23 A That's my understanding.
- Q Now, we're back to Exhibit 423 and we're talking about
- 02:45PM 25 | this \$1.6 million that was deposited into the account. Do you

```
1
          remember that?
       2
                Yes.
       3
                We already talked about the $250,000 payment that the
          federal government is charging me with; right?
02:45PM
      5
                We talked about that payment.
       6
                And what's this payment right here?
       7
                That's a $5,000 payment to Sunrise of West Hills.
                And the firm actually deducted this amount from the
       8
          amount of the $1.6 million legal fee that the firm got. Do I
02:46PM 10
          have that correct?
      11
                The -- yes, the source of the $5,000 payment was the
          balance which reflects the $25,000 balance and the $1.6 million
      12
          deposit.
      13
      14
                And you understood that that related to Mr. Johnson;
02:46PM 15
          right?
      16
                Yes, I understand that.
                By the way, in connection with your analysis, did you
      17
      18
          ever ask to see any of the client banking records to see what
      19
          money they may have received?
02:47PM 20
                No. I never saw the client records.
      21
                And you never asked to do so; right?
      22
                I don't believe so.
      23
                And how do you know that these are -- that the accounts
      24
          that Mr. Wyman asked you about, how do you know that those are
02:47PM 25
          all of the Eagan Avenatti or Michael Avenatti bank accounts?
```

Or do you know? 1 2 It's possible there are other accounts that I don't know 3 about. You only used what the Government gave you? I used the information that was provided me by the 02:47PM 5 Government, yes. 6 7 Q Let's take a look at Exhibit 430, please. Do you see Exhibit 430? 8 Yes. Α 02:49PM 10 You have case-related expenses, \$65,615; right? 11 That's correct. 12 Do you know whether that number is accurate? That's an accurate depiction of the case-related expenses 13 that are recorded in QuickBooks for Alexis Gardner. 14 02:49РМ 15 What about Tabs? 16 A I asked if there was a similar spreadsheet, as I reviewed for Geoffrey Johnson and Greg Barela, and I understand there 17 18 was not. 19 Did you ask if there was any electronic data from Tabs for this matter? 02:49PM 20 21 A I don't believe so. If the cost amount is different, the calculation would be 22 23 wrong; isn't that true? 2.4 A If the cost amount was different, the calculation would be

02:50PM 25

different, yep.

```
1
                It would be different than the calculation that you
       2
          produced in connection with your $600,000 worth of work; right?
       3
                Yes.
          Α
                And then I notice that you have plus settlement amount
       4
          due November 2020, $250,000; right?
02:50PM
      5
       6
          Α
                Yes.
       7
                And then you have total due to client as of November
          2020, $1,944,385. Do I have that correct?
       8
          Α
                Yes.
02:50PM 10
                And then you have total client received from settlement,
      11
          nothing; right?
      12
                Yes.
                Did Ms. Gardner receive any advances before her
      13
      14
          settlement was received?
02:51PM 15
                I don't recall.
      16
               You don't know, do you?
      17
                I know there were expenses paid for.
      18
                Sir, I didn't ask you about expenses.
      19
                      Did Ms. Gardner receive any advances before
02:51PM 20
          settlement was paid?
      21
                I don't know.
      22
                Did Ms. Gardner receive any monies after her settlement
      23
          was paid?
      2.4
               Yes, she did.
02:51PM 25
               Those are not reflected on your sheet, are they?
          0
```

```
That's correct. Those --
       1
          Α
       2
                Thank you.
               -- came from other accounts.
       3
                     MR. AVENATTI: Move to strike after "correct" as
       4
          nonresponsive, Your Honor.
02:51PM
      5
                     THE COURT: It will be stricken.
       6
       7
            BY MR. AVENATTI: Now, let's talk about the $250,000 that
          you listed -- well, strike that.
       8
                     Whose idea was it to put this $250,000 amount on
          your chart? Was that the Government's idea or your idea?
02:51PM 10
      11
                I would say that was my idea.
      12
                So after you put the $250,000 on your chart as an amount
          that was due, what did you do to figure out if Ms. Gardner ever
      13
          got any part of the money? Did you do anything?
      14
02:52PM 15
                I didn't have records for that time period that would
          allow me to analyze that.
      16
      17
                So you put that the amount would be due her, but you then
      18
          did nothing to figure out whether she ever got any of the
          money; is that true?
      19
02:52PM 20
          A I was not provided any information that would allow me to
          do that.
      21
      22
                Nor did you ever ask for any information about whether
      23
          she had actually been paid any of the money; isn't that true,
      2.4
          sir?
02:52PM 25
          A I did not ask for any information about the 250,000 on
```

```
1
          November 2020.
       2
                If she did receive some of that money, your calculation
          would be wrong; isn't that true?
       3
                If she received some of the $250,000 payment in November
       4
          2020, yes, the value in the red should reflect that.
02:53PM
      5
       6
                And your calculation would be wrong; isn't that true?
       7
          Α
                Yes.
                      MR. AVENATTI: Now is a good time.
       8
       9
                      THE COURT: Okay. We'll take the midafternoon break
          here, ladies and gentlemen. We'll be in recess for 15 minutes.
02:53PM 10
      11
                      Please remember the admonition not to discuss the
      12
          case with anyone, not to form any opinions on the issues in the
      13
          case until it's submitted to you, and, as always, no research,
      14
          please.
02:53PM 15
                      THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
      16
                      (Recess from 2:54 p.m. to 3:14 p.m.)
                      MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, for the Court's
      17
      18
          information, plus or minus 30 minutes on cross. I'm going to
      19
          try to eliminate some. Obviously reserving my rights on the
          other issues.
03:12PM 20
      21
                      (In the presence of the jury.)
      22
                      THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, this is the
          toughest hour of the week, so if any of you feel the need to
      23
      2.4
          stretch, please go ahead.
03:14PM 25
                     THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I told them the same thing,
```

```
1
          ironically.
                      THE COURT: Now you know where I get all my good
       2
       3
          ideas.
                     Mr. Avenatti.
       4
                      MR. AVENATTI: Thank you, Your Honor.
03:14PM
      5
       6
                Before the break, sir, we were talking about Exhibit 430,
       7
          this chart you prepared. Do you recall that?
       8
                Yes, sir, I recall that.
                Did Ms. Gardner ever agree to accept monthly payments in
03:15PM 10
          connection with the Whiteside settlement?
                      MR. WYMAN: Objection. Calls for speculation.
      11
      12
                      THE COURT: Overruled.
      13
                      THE WITNESS: I don't know.
      14
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Did you ever ask anybody if Ms. Gardner
          had agreed to accept monthly payments in connection with the
03:15PM 15
      16
          settlement amount that you put on 430?
               I don't believe I did.
      17
      18
                And nobody ever told you one way or the other, did they?
      19
                No.
               Let's take a look at 439. 439 relates to Mr. Barela. Do
03:15PM 20
      21
          you see that?
      22
                Yes, it does.
      23
                And you have a case-related expense amount here of
          $180,797. Do you see that?
      24
03:16PM 25
          Α
                Yes.
```

```
1
                And you got that off of the QuickBooks file, which you
       2
          don't know where it came from or how current it was, and the
       3
          draft spreadsheet. Do I have that correctly?
                      The two sources of information are the OuickBooks
       4
          files and the spreadsheet from Judy Regnier.
03:16PM
      5
       6
                And you don't know if either one of those two sources of
       7
          information are accurate, do you?
                I wasn't able to -- no. I wasn't able to do anything to
       8
          verify the accuracy.
03:17PM 10
                So the answer is, "No," you do not?
      11
                That's correct. I accepted them as they were provided to
      12
          me.
                By the Government?
      13
                By the Government, yes.
      14
03:17PM 15
                And you would agree that if the case-related expense
      16
          amount is wrong, then this calculation would not be accurate;
          right?
      17
      18
                That's how the math works, yes.
      19
                Again, pretty simple; right?
03:18PM 20
          Α
                Yes.
      21
                Can you see this?
      22
                Yes, I can see that.
      23
                At the top it says "calculation," and then it has,
          "Settlement amount, minus attorney's fees, minus out-of-pocket
      24
03:18PM 25
          expenses, minus advances, minus interest, minus fees for other
```

```
1
          work -- for other work, equals net amount due client."
       2
                      Do you see that?
       3
                I see that in there.
                This, too, is pretty simple math; right?
03:18PM
      5
          Α
                Yes.
       6
                And this is essentially what you have attempted to do;
       7
          right?
       8
          Α
                Yes.
                But you haven't accounted for all of the advances, if
          any, paid to any of the clients or any interest or any legal
03:19РМ 10
          fees for other work. Is that fair?
      11
      12
                I believe advances were included in the spreadsheet from
          Judy Regnier.
      13
      14
                Sir, do you understand that those spreadsheets, when they
          say "advances," that they're referring to advances to clients?
03:19PM 15
      16
                There's a line that says "advances" in those spreadsheets.
                All right. Well, let's take a look at it. Let's go back
      17
          to 48.
      18
      19
                      When I wrote "advances" on here, I referred to --
03:20PM 20
          what I meant was advances to clients.
      21
                Payments directly to the clients?
      22
                Yes. Or someone that the client directed the money to go
      23
               Does that clarify your answer?
                I don't think so.
      2.4
03:20PM 25
                Okay. Let's take a look at 48. Here's the first page
```

```
1
          with that big-old "Draft" across the page. Do you see that?
       2
                Yes.
       3
                And this says "expenses"; right?
          Α
                Yes.
                And this is a Tabs printout, we already established that;
03:20PM
      5
       6
          right?
       7
                Yes.
          Α
       8
                And then there's another category here called "advances";
          right?
03:21PM 10
                Yes.
      11
                But you don't see any payments to client -- to a client
          under "advances," do you?
      12
                No, I don't see that.
      13
      14
                Do you know the differences in Tabs between an advance
03:21PM 15
          and an expense?
      16
                That distinction didn't affect my analysis.
      17
                      MR. AVENATTI: Move to strike as nonresponsive.
      18
                      THE COURT: Be stricken.
      19
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Sir, I'm just asking you if you know
03:21PM 20
          why Tabs lists some items under "expense" and some under
      21
          "advances"
      22
                No, I don't know that.
      23
                And the reason is because you don't know anything about
          Tabs, do you?
      24
03:22PM 25
          Α
                No.
```

1 And before this case, you'd never even heard of Tabs? Q 2 Before this case I had not heard of Tabs. That's correct. 3 Did you ever Google it? I don't believe I did. And you never attempted to buy the software either, did 03:22PM 5 6 you? 7 No, I did not buy the software. So going back to the question that led us down this path, 8 we were talking about simple math and I was asking you about 03:23PM 10 this sheet on the flip chart. Do you recall that? 11 Yes. 12 Okay. And going back to what I asked, this is essentially what you have attempted to do, although you have 13 not accounted for some of the categories that I listed on my 14 03:23PM 15 flip chart. Is that fair? 16 That's fair. 17 Do you know if any other legal work was done for 18 Mr. Barela for which the firm was entitled to be paid, other 19 than this amount that you've listed of \$760,000? 03:24PM 20 No, I don't know one way or the other. 21 And you never asked anyone if any other legal work had 22 been paid; is that correct -- I'm sorry. 23 You never asked anyone if any other legal work had been done for Mr. Barela for which the firm was entitled to 2.4 03:24PM 25 money; is that true?

- 1 That's correct. Α 2 And you then have the settlement amounts listed, three of 3 them, \$100,000. Do you see that? Α Yes. And then you calculated a total amount due to Mr. Barela 03:24PM 5 of \$959,203, including these three \$100,000 amounts; is that 6 7 right? That's correct. 8 And then you have in red, "Total client received from settlement," and you have nothing listed there; is that right? 03:24PM 10 11 That's correct. 12 By the way, whose idea was it to put this in red on the 13 bottom of these charts? Was that your ideas or the 14 Government's idea? 03:25PM 15 I don't recall where that idea originated. 16 Sir, did Mr. Barela receive any portion of this \$300,000 17 that you put on the chart? 18 I don't know. 19 Did you ever ask anybody? I don't believe I did. 03:25PM 20 21 If Mr. Barela received any portion of that \$300,000, then 22 your calculation would be wrong; is that correct? 23 My calculation would have to be updated, yes. 2.4 And the calculation that you provided to the jury would
 - UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

be wrong if that was true; is that accurate?

03:25PM 25

- Under that hypothetical, it would have to be updated, yes. 1 Α 2 Not only would it have to be updated, but the one that 3 you put before this jury would be wrong; correct? Under that hypothetical, yes. 4 03:25PM Now, Mr. Drum, I want to ask you about some of the -- I 5 want to ask you some questions, and we're going to use your 6 7 Exhibit 439 as if it's accurate. Okay? 8 Okay. Α All right. Now, according to you and your analysis, the 03:27PM 10 total amount of money from the \$1.6 million that the law firm 11 was entitled to was 760,000 plus 180,797; right? 12 Α Yes. 13 Putting aside the other issues that we already talked about relating to the cost and other things, the Tabs software 14 and, again, other issues, 760,000 plus \$180,797 is how much, 03:28PM 15 16 about \$940,797? Is that about right? 17 Α Yes. 18 Okay. I want to ask you about Dillanos Coffee because we've heard a lot about Dillanos Coffee. Okay? 19 03:29PM 20 Α All right. 21 All right. So let's talk about Dillanos Coffee. 22 Here is the \$1,600,000 that came in in connection with the Brock settlement. Do you see that? 23
 - 24 A Yes.
- 03:29PM 25 | Q Now, it's not on this page, but what was the number that,

```
1
          according to you, even though you never looked at Tabs,
       2
          according to you that the firm, Eagan Avenatti, was entitled to
       3
          from the Brock settlement? What's the amount?
                940,747, I believe.
       4
          Α
03:30PM
      5
                Did I write that amount correctly?
       6
          Α
                Yes.
       7
                After the Dillanos Coffee payment --
                Sorry, -797.
       8
          Α
                Does that look good (indicating)?
03:30PM 10
                Yes.
      11
                Okay. So a million-six came into the account. There was
      12
          a bank fee. You understand that was for receipt of the wire;
          right?
      13
      14
                That seems reasonable.
03:30PM 15
                And then there's this $41,885 payment to Dillanos Coffee;
      16
          right?
                I see that.
      17
      18
                Mr. Wyman asked you about that, didn't he?
      19
                He did.
03:31PM 20
                Okay. And how much money was in -- left in the account
          after the payment to Dillanos Coffee?
      21
      22
                1,558,100.
      23
                Is that amount of money more or less than the balance
      2.4
          that would be in the account after the deduction of Eagan
03:31PM 25
          Avenatti's fees and expenses?
```

```
1
                That amount is more than what would be in the account had
       2
          940,797 been deducted.
       3
                So this Dillanos Coffee came in -- this didn't come out
          of Mr. Barela's share of the settlement, did it, sir?
03:32PM
      5
                      MR. WYMAN: Objection. Calls for a legal
       6
          conclusion.
       7
                      THE COURT: Overruled.
                      THE WITNESS: It came from the $1.6 million.
       8
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Of which Eagan Avenatti was entitled to
          $940,797, even according to your calculation; right?
03:32PM 10
      11
                Yes. That's correct.
      12
                So are you aware of any evidence that this Dillanos
          Coffee payment came from any money owed to Greg Barela?
      13
                At this point, the -- before the Dillanos Coffee
      14
03:32PM 15
          deduction, the only amounts in this account were the
          $1.6 million.
      16
      17
                     MR. AVENATTI: Can I have the question read back,
      18
          please?
      19
                      THE COURT: You may.
03:32PM 20
                      (The record was read as follows:
      21
                      "So are you aware of any evidence that this
      22
                Dillanos Coffee payment came from any money owed to
      23
                Greq Barela?")
      24
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Do you know, sir?
03:33PM 25
                It came from the $1.6 million balance in the account.
```

```
1
                Sir, you understand Greg Barela wasn't entitled, even
          under your calculation, to the entire 1.6 million; right?
       2
       3
                Yes. Of course.
                Okay. So are you aware of any evidence that this
       4
          Dillanos Coffee payment came from money that was due to Greg
03:33PM
      5
       6
          Barela net of the fees and the costs, even under your
       7
          calculation?
                I'm sorry, can you repeat the question.
       8
                Are you aware of any evidence that Mr. Barela was
03:33PM 10
          entitled to monies net of the fees and costs and that this
          Dillanos Coffee payment came from those monies?
      11
      12
                At this point, that distinction can't be made because it's
          just the 1.6 million in the account.
      13
      14
                So you don't know?
03:34PM 15
                That's correct. It can't be determined.
      16
                And again, you don't know what the costs were for
      17
          Mr. Barela's matter, you just looked at the QuickBooks account
      18
          and the spreadsheet; right?
      19
                      MR. WYMAN: Asked and answered.
03:34PM 20
                      THE COURT: Sustained.
      21
                BY MR. AVENATTI: What is 1,600,000 minus $940,797?
      22
                      I'll shortcut it for you. It's on your chart.
                Thank you. Yeah, 659,203. Thank you.
      23
      2.4
                Okay. 659,203; right?
03:35PM 25
                That's correct.
```

```
1
                We've heard a lot about this quy, too, Edward Ricci.
       2
          you see that?
       3
               I see that.
                $617,840 payment; right?
       4
                I see that.
03:36PM
      5
       6
                After the payment to Mr. Ricci, was the amount in this
          account greater than the amount that you claim Mr. Barela was
       7
          due, $659,203, or less?
       8
                That amount is greater than 659,000.
03:36РМ 10
                So are you aware of any evidence that this $617,000
      11
          payment to Mr. Ricci came from the money that was due Greg
      12
          Barela?
                Again, that came from the $1.6 million balance or slightly
      13
      14
          less than that.
                So you don't know, do you?
03:36РМ 15
      16
                I don't believe that's a meaningful distinction to make to
      17
          balance -- before making that it was 1.5 million.
      18
                      MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, move to strike as
      19
          nonresponsive.
03:37PM 20
                      THE COURT: Be stricken.
      21
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Sir, we already established that even
      22
          under your calculation the firm was entitled to the first
      23
          $940,797.
      2.4
                      MR. WYMAN: Objection. Misstates the testimony.
03:37PM 25
                     THE COURT: Overruled.
```

1 BY MR. AVENATTI: Right? 2 They were entitled to 940,797. Whether that comes out 3 first or last, I don't have an opinion. You don't know if the firm's money was supposed to come 4 out first or last or in between? You don't know? 03:37PM 5 6 No, I don't know that. 7 Did you ever ask anybody that? I don't think I did. 8 Let's talk about Exhibit 444. Now, before we get into 03:38PM 10 the nitty-gritty on Exhibit 444 relating to Ms. Phan and 11 Mr. Tran and Promise Phan, I think I saw somewhere that you 12 used to do valuation work. Is this valuation work? 13 Α Yes. 14 Can you explain generally to the jury what business 03:39РМ 15 valuation work is. 16 MR. WYMAN: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Sustained. 17 18 BY MR. AVENATTI: Sir, were you ever asked --19 MR. AVENATTI: Just two-question leeway, please, 03:39PM 20 Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 BY MR. AVENATTI: Were you ever asked to do any valuation 23 in connection with your work in this case relating to any business associated with Michelle Phan? 2.4 03:39PM 25 Α No, I was not.

```
1
                That was not in the scope of work that you were engaged
       2
          to do; correct?
       3
                      MR. WYMAN: Relevance.
                      THE COURT: Overruled.
       4
03:39PM
                      THE WITNESS: No, that was not in the scope of my
      5
       6
          work.
       7
               BY MR. AVENATTI: Now, when you were determining the
          legal costs that were due, the legal fees in connection with
       8
          Michelle Phan and Long Tran and Promise Phan's matter, what did
03:40PM 10
          you rely on in connection with that?
      11
                The fee agreement and the total repurchase amount.
      12
                And so when you were determining what the legal fees due
          the law firm for that transaction, what the amount would be,
      13
          you read the fee agreement, you interpreted the agreement, and
      14
03:40PM 15
          then you calculated the amount based on your interpretation of
      16
          the fee agreement; right?
      17
                I pulled the 7 and a half percent from the legal agreement
      18
          and applied that to the total repurchase amount.
      19
                Sir, you read the fee agreement; correct? Let's go step
03:41PM 20
          by step. You read the fee agreement?
      21
                I did.
      22
                You interpreted the fee agreement?
      23
                I saw that included a 7 and a half percent fee.
      2.4
                You interpreted the fee agreement; right? Part of your
03:41PM 25
          interpretation was this application of 7 and a half percent;
```

```
1
          right?
       2
                The fee agreement indicated that the law firm was entitled
          to 7 and a half percent of the settlement.
       3
       4
                The fee agreement indicated a lot of things, did it not,
03:41PM
      5
          sir? I mean, it's, like, three pages.
       6
                Yeah, there are other content.
       7
                And there's other terms; right?
                Yes, there are other terms.
       8
                Okay. So you read the fee agreement, you interpreted it,
          and your takeaway was that the fees due the firm were 7 and a
03:41PM 10
      11
          half percent. Do I have that correct?
                      MR. WYMAN: Objection. Vague as to "interpret."
      12
      13
                      THE COURT: Overruled.
                      THE WITNESS: I used the 7 and a half percent that
      14
03:42PM 15
          was indicated in the fee agreement and applied it, yes.
      16
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Sir, there were other numbers in the
      17
          agreement. You applied 7 and a half percent; am I right?
      18
                I applied 7 and a half percent, yes.
      19
                Okay. And then you took the 7 and a half percent times
03:42PM 20
          the amount, and that's how you came to this number; right?
      21
                Yes.
          Α
      22
                Now, do you have any legal training?
      23
                I do not.
      24
                Would you agree with me that if your interpretation of
03:42PM 25
          the fee agreement was wrong, that your calculation as it
```

relates to the legal fees would also be wrong? 1 2 If an interpretation of the fee agreement suggested a different amount, my amount would be wrong. 3 And the total due to client amount would also be wrong; 4 correct? 03:43PM 5 6 That's how the math works. 7 And the total -- well, the total clients receive number would remain the same, even if your interpretation was wrong; 8 correct? 03:43PM 10 That number would remain the same on the timetable. Yes. 11 Did you ever ask any lawyer to interpret the fee 12 agreement for you in connection with your analysis? 13 I discussed my analysis with the Government, and part of that would have been the application of 7 and a half percent. 14 03:44PM 15 So the Government told you to apply 7 and a half percent? 16 I pulled the 7 and a half percent from the fee agreement and discussed it with the Government. 17 18 And they said, "Yes, John, use the 7 and a half percent"? 19 They agreed with that application. Yes. 03:44PM 20 I just have a few more questions. I just want to ask you some general questions. 21 22 We've been talking about Exhibits 420 through 450 23 and 456; right? 2.4 Yes. 03:45PM 25 Are all of these charts in these exhibits that you and

your colleagues prepared, do they all have the same degree of 1 2 accuracy, to the best of your knowledge? To the best of my knowledge, yes. 3 They are all, to the best of your knowledge, 100 percent 4 03:45PM 5 accurate; is that right? They are -- yes, to the best I could do with the 6 7 information that was provided me. They're all, according to you, 100 percent accurate; 8 right? I mean, we're not talking about the best you can do. 03:45PM 10 We're talking about a federal criminal case. 11 THE COURT: We're talking about asking a question. 12 Please give your question to the witness without further comment. 13 BY MR. AVENATTI: Mr. Drum, are they 100 percent 14 03:46PM 15 accurate, to the best of your knowledge? 16 To the best of my knowledge, they're 100 percent accurate. 17 And did you take the same degree of carefulness and 18 thoroughness when you were preparing each of the exhibits? 19 Yes. 03:46PM 20 Sir, you were asked a question about whether any of the 21 clients had received any amount of money from any of their 22 settlements. Do you remember that? 23 Yes, I remember that. 2.4 You would agree, would you not, that if the clients were 03:46PM 25 advanced money before the settlement was paid or received money

```
1
          after the settlement was paid, it would be inaccurate to say
       2
          that they had not received any money from any of their
          settlements, if that were true. You would agree with that,
       3
          would you not?
       4
03:47PM
                No, I would not agree with that.
      5
                Sir, do you know if any of the clients received any of
       6
       7
          the advances before their settlement was paid? Yes or no?
                No, I don't know.
       8
                Do you know if any of the clients received any of the
03:47PM 10
          money from their settlements after the initial settlement
      11
          payment was made?
      12
                For Geoffrey Johnson, Alexis Gardner, Greg Barela, none of
      13
          the money that was deposited into the trust accounts.
      14
                      MR. AVENATTI: Move to strike. That's not my
03:47PM 15
          question.
      16
                      THE COURT: Be stricken.
      17
                      MR. AVENATTI: Can I have it read back please.
      18
                      THE COURT: Yes.
      19
                      MR. AVENATTI: Please listen to my question, sir.
03:47PM 20
                      (The record was read as follows:
      21
                      "Do you know if any of the clients received
      22
                any of the money from their settlements after the
      23
                initial settlement payment was made?")
      2.4
                BY MR. AVENATTI: Yes or no? I'm talking about receiving
03:48PM 25
          money. I'm not talking about what account it came from.
```

```
talking about receiving money. That's my question.
       1
       2
                The clients received money after the initial settlement
       3
          was paid, yes.
       4
                      MR. AVENATTI: One moment, please.
03:48PM
      5
                      Nothing further, Your Honor.
                      THE COURT: Mr. Wyman.
       6
       7
                      MR. WYMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
                                REDIRECT EXAMINATION
       8
          BY MR. WYMAN:
03:48PM 10
             Good afternoon, Mr. Drum.
      11
                      During cross-examination, the defendant asked you to
      12
          assume a cost of about $100,000 for an expert. Do you recall
          that?
      13
               I recall that.
      14
03:49PM 15
                And I believe it was in the context of asking you, if
          that amount wasn't reflected on Tabs or QuickBooks, would that
      16
          make your calculation wrong. Is that generally right?
      17
      18
                Yes, I recall that.
                And I think you then said something to the effect of you
      19
03:50PM 20
          don't know if there are examples of that. Do you remember
      21
          that?
      22
                Yes.
      23
                Did he provide you with any examples of that?
      2.4
                No, he did not.
03:50PM 25
               He just provided you with a hypothetical?
```

```
1
                That was a hypothetical, yes.
          Α
       2
                      MR. WYMAN: Can we please pull up Exhibit 439.
       3
                What is the amount of costs and the case-related expenses
          that you listed for Mr. Barela's matter?
       4
                180,797.
03:50PM
      5
          Α
       6
                      MR. WYMAN: Can we please pull up what is already in
       7
          evidence as Exhibit 193.
       8
                Do you see an amount listed here?
                I do.
          Α
03:51PM 10
                What is the amount?
      11
                111,113.
      12
                And what does it say for the remitter at the top?
      13
               "Case costs."
      14
                And what is the account -- the last four digits of the
03:51PM 15
          account listed at the bottom?
      16
                5566.
          Α
      17
                Is that the trust account that the Greg Barela settlement
      18
          money was deposited into?
      19
                Yes.
                The amount listed here, 111,000 and some change, is that
03:52PM 20
      21
          more or less than the amount you gave the defendant credit for
      22
          in your chart?
      23
                That is less.
      24
                      MR. WYMAN: Can we please pull up Exhibit 48 and
03:52PM 25
          page 2 of the exhibit.
```

```
1
                Do you recall a number of questions from the defendant
       2
          about this exhibit?
       3
                Yes.
                And I think he asked you a bunch of questions about how
       4
          you don't know whether this Tabs printout was accurate; is that
03:52PM
      5
       6
          right?
       7
          Α
                Yes.
                I think he pointed out that it had it and said the
       8
          big-old "Draft" mark on it; is that right?
03:52PM 10
                That's correct.
      11
                      MR. WYMAN: If we could go to page 8.
      12
                What is the total listed there of expenses and advances?
                736,884, rounded.
      13
      14
                      MR. WYMAN: And then if we could go to page 1 of
03:53PM 15
          this exhibit, please. If we could pull up the date.
      16
                What is the date that this e-mail with this spreadsheet
          was sent?
      17
      18
                February 4th, 2015.
      19
                Now, if we could please pull up Exhibit 420, the chart
          that you prepared -- I'm sorry. I got my charts mixed up.
03:53PM 20
      21
          422, please.
      22
                      What is the amount withdrawn there on February 4th
      23
          of 2015?
                736,884.
      24
03:54PM 25
               Is that the same amount on the spreadsheet with the
```

```
1
          big-old "Draft" on it?
       2
                Yes, it is.
       3
                Now, the defendant also asked you about that spreadsheet,
          about the other costs listed. I think he had Nationwide as an
       4
03:54PM
      5
          example. And I think you said that you weren't able to verify
       6
          whether or not those costs were paid; is that right?
       7
                That's right.
                In reaching your calculation on Exhibit 420, which I
       8
          think you calculated case-related expenses of about 543,000,
03:54PM 10
          did you give him credit for those costs that you weren't able
      11
          to verify?
      12
                Yes.
                      MR. WYMAN: Can we please pull up Exhibit 457.
      13
      14
                Do you remember the defendant asking you about this
03:55PM 15
          chart?
      16
                Yes, I do.
      17
                And specifically the first two rows only?
      18
                Yes.
      19
                Did he ask you about any of rows 3 through 10?
03:55PM 20
          Α
                No, he did not.
      21
                      MR. WYMAN: Can we blow up row 3, please.
      22
                What is the wire payment listed in this row?
      23
                It's a $2.5 million payment sent from account 8671 to The
      24
          X-Law Group.
03:55PM 25
                Do you recognize that wire transfer?
```

```
1
                I do.
          Α
       2
                      MR. AVENATTI: Objection, Your Honor. Asked and
       3
          answered. Outside the scope.
       4
                      THE COURT: Overruled.
03:55PM
      5
                      THE WITNESS: I recognize that.
       6
                BY MR WYMAN: Where did that money come from?
       7
                That came from the Alexis Gardner settlement.
                Let's take a look at row 4. What wire payment is
       8
          described in that row?
                $1.6 million sent from Brock USA to Account 5566.
03:56PM 10
      11
                And do you recognize that payment?
      12
                Yes.
                What does that payment represent?
      13
      14
                That represents the Greg Barela settlement.
03:56PM 15
                      MR. WYMAN: Can we please pull up Exhibit 441.
          Actually, before we move on, I'm sorry, can we please pull up
      16
          Exhibit 457 again.
      17
      18
                The first two rows there, do you recall the defendant
      19
          asked you about how the payments listed there came from the
          1.6 million that was withdrawn from the Geoffrey Johnson
03:57PM 20
      21
          settlement?
      22
                Yes, I remember that.
      23
                Of the remaining 2.4 million that was not withdrawn, at
          that $1.6 million payment time, how much of the 2.4 million
      24
03:57PM 25
          went to Geoffrey Johnson?
```

```
1
          Α
                None.
       2
                      MR. WYMAN: Now can we please pull up Exhibit 441.
       3
                Do you remember being asked questions about Dillanos
          Coffee Roasters?
                I do.
03:58PM
      5
          Α
       6
                And at each point he would ask you about a payment and
       7
          then ask you to confirm whether the balance was still more than
          the amount he was owed in attorney's fees. Do you remember
       8
          that?
03:58PM 10
                Yes.
      11
                Now, you testified on direct that these red entries in
          parentheticals, those are withdrawals?
      12
      13
                That's correct.
      14
                I'm going to scroll through, and let me know if you see
03:58PM 15
          any deposits.
      16
                There are no deposits on this page.
      17
                Page 1.
      18
                There's one interest deposit.
      19
                Interest deposit, $13. Any others on page 2?
          Q
03:59РМ 20
          Α
                No.
      21
                On page 3?
      22
                One interest deposit of $2.
      23
                Now, the defendant asked you about this first payment to
          Dillanos Coffee Roasters; right?
      24
03:59PM 25
          Α
                Yes.
```

```
1
                And the balance after that was still a sizable amount,
       2
          $1.5 million and change?
       3
                Yes.
          Α
                Page 2, do you see -- well, there are a bunch of them,
       4
          but do you see a Dillanos Coffee Roasters transfer there for
03:59PM
      5
       6
          27,000 and change at the bottom of page 2?
       7
                Yes, I see that.
       8
                That's on February 12, 2018?
                Yes.
          Α
03:59РМ 10
                What is the balance after that transfer?
      11
                123,412.
      12
                And at the end of the chart, how much is left?
      13
                $610.
      14
                Are there any payments to Gregory Barela in this chart?
04:00PM 15
                No.
      16
                He also asked you about Ed Ricci in this chart. Do you
          remember that?
      17
      18
                Yes.
      19
                Do you know whether the defendant testified in public
          court that Ed Ricci was co-counsel on one of his cases?
04:00PM 20
      21
                I don't know what the defendant has testified.
      22
                Exhibit 441 that we've been looking at with all the
      23
          withdrawals and the payments to Dillanos and Alki Bakery and
      24
          Global Baristas, is that an attorney-client trust account?
04:00PM 25
          Α
                Yes.
```

```
MR. WYMAN: Can you please pull up Exhibit 444.
       1
       2
          you blow up the content. Thank you.
                During the end of your cross-examination, the defendant
       3
          asked you if -- a hypothetical, which is, if you were
       4
          interpreting the fee contract incorrectly, would the amount due
04:01PM
      5
          to the client be incorrect. Do you remember that?
       6
       7
                Yes, I remember that.
                If the -- if your interpretation of the fee contract was
       8
          incorrect, would the number that you list on this chart for
          legal fees be incorrect?
04:01PM 10
      11
                Yes.
      12
                Are you aware that the defendant withdrew that precise
      13
          amount on or about September 18, 2017?
                I think I recall that. Yes, I see that in Exhibit 446.
      14
04:02PM 15
                If we can please pull up Exhibit 430 now, your similar
          chart for Alexis Gardner.
      16
      17
                     Now, the defendant asked you about your inclusion of
      18
          the $250,000 payment from November of 2020 in this chart. Do
          you recall that?
      19
04:02PM 20
               I recall that.
      21
                There are two payments reflected on this chart. One
      22
          January 25th, 2017, and one November 2020; is that right?
                That's right.
      23
      24
                When you calculated the legal fee owed to the defendant,
04:02PM 25
          did you use the total of both of those two payments?
```

```
1
                I did.
          Α
                I have the same question for you on Exhibit 439.
       2
       3
                      Can we please pull that up?
                      He asked you about the three $100,000 payments in
       4
          later years on that chart; is that right?
04:03PM
      5
       6
                Yes. The three amounts in later years.
       7
                And when you calculated the legal fees owed to the
          defendant for Mr. Barela's matter, did you include that
       8
          $300,000 in the amount?
04:03PM 10
                I did.
      11
                The last row in this chart and the related charts for the
          other clients, it says, "Total client received from
      12
          settlement." And with the exception of Michelle Phan and Long
      13
          Tran, the other three charts all have that dash mark for zero;
      14
04:03PM 15
          is that right?
      16
                That's correct.
      17
                Now, does that mean that they got zero dollars from the
          defendant?
      18
      19
                No, it does not.
04:04PM 20
                What does it mean?
      21
                It means that none of the amounts that were deposited into
      22
          the client trust account were transferred to the clients.
      23
                That's the settlement money?
      2.4
                That's correct.
04:04PM 25
                Thank you, Mr. Drum.
          0
```

1	No further questions.					
2	THE COURT: Mr. Avenatti.					
3	RECROSS-EXAMINATION					
4	BY MR. AVENATTI:					
04:04PM 5	Q Mr. Drum, is it your position that the law firm was not					
6	entitled to deduct its legal fees and costs from the settlement					
7	amounts? Is that your position, yes or no?					
8	A That is not my position.					
9	Q And the only way that you would have been able to					
04:04PM 10	determine what legal fees and costs were owed was based on the					
11	information the Government provided you; right?					
12	A That's correct. They provided me the fee agreements, and					
13	they discussed it.					
14	Q But your analysis was confined, as it related to					
04:05PM 15	determining the fees and costs, to whatever the Government					
16	provided you; right?					
17	A Correct. I have no other source of information.					
18	Q And you didn't ask for any?					
19	MR. WYMAN: Objection. Asked and answered. Beyond					
04:05PM 20	the scope.					
21	THE COURT: Sustained.					
22	Q BY MR. AVENATTI: Now, you were just asked about 193. Do					
23	you remember that?					
24	A Yes, I remember that.					
04:06PM 25	Q Sir, you have no idea whether this was an interim draw on					

```
costs or a final deduction for cost, do you?
       1
                I only know what's depicted on this sheet.
       2
       3
                Sir, please answer my question.
                      Can I have it read back, Your Honor?
       4
04:06PM
      5
                      THE COURT: You may.
                      (The record was read as follows:
       6
       7
                      "Sir, you have no idea whether this was an
                interim draw on costs or a final deduction for
       8
                cost, do you?")
04:06PM 10
                      THE WITNESS: I don't know whether it was interim or
      11
          final.
      12
                BY MR AVENATTI: And who would be more knowledgeable
          about whether this was an initial withdraw of cost or a final
      13
          withdraw of cost, you or Judy Regnier?
      14
04:06PM 15
                Judy Regnier.
      16
                You were asked about Exhibit 48.
      17
          Α
                Yes.
      18
                Do you know if this was an interim amount or a final
      19
          amount for cost in the Barela matter? I'm sorry, it's on the
04:07PM 20
          Johnson matter.
      21
                Can I see the top of this in the e-mail?
      22
                (Indicating.)
      23
                Nothing indicates whether it's interim or final.
      2.4
                Who would be more knowledgeable if it was interim or
04:08PM 25
          final, you or Judy Regnier?
```

```
1
          Α
                Judy Regnier.
       2
                Now, you're certain that you read the -- well, strike
       3
          that.
                      Mr. Wyman asked you about the Michelle Phan fee
       4
          agreement. Do you remember that?
04:09PM
      5
       6
                Yes, I remember that.
       7
                And you're certain you read that before you did your
          analysis; right?
       8
                Yes.
          Α
04:09PM 10
                And you're certain that the firm was only entitled to
      11
          7.5 percent of the money from IPSY; right?
      12
                I'm sorry, what is IPSY?
                I'm sorry, did you just ask me "what is IPSY"?
      13
      14
                Yes.
04:10PM 15
                      MR. AVENATTI: No further questions. Ask that he
      16
          remain on recall.
                      THE COURT: Sir, you may be excused but you're
      17
      18
          subject to recall. Thank you.
      19
                                  Subject to verifying the exhibits that
                      MR. SAGEL:
04:10PM 20
          have come into evidence, Your Honor, the Government rests.
      21
                      THE COURT: Okay.
      22
                      Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to stop here for
      23
          the day. We'll resume Tuesday, 9:00 o'clock, regular day.
      2.4
                      Please remember the admonition not to discuss the
04:10PM 25
          case with anyone, not to form any opinions on the issues in the
```

```
case until it's submitted to you, and please don't do any
       1
       2
          research.
       3
                     Thanks very much. Have a good weekend.
                     THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
       4
04:11PM
      5
                      (Out of the presence of the jury.)
                     THE COURT: Anything for the record before we
       6
       7
          adjourn?
                     MR. SAGEL: I don't believe from the Government,
       8
          Your Honor.
04:11PM 10
                     THE COURT: Mr. Avenatti.
      11
                     MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, the defense would move
      12
          pursuant to Rule 29. What I would propose is that I -- if it's
          acceptable, obviously, to the Court, that I be permitted to
      13
          arque it either on Monday sometime that's convenient to the
      14
04:12PM 15
          Court or on Tuesday morning. I know I still need to provide a
          proffer to Your Honor for the two agents as well.
      16
      17
                     THE COURT: Well, we're going to do that today.
      18
                     MR. AVENATTI: Understood.
      19
                     THE COURT: That's fine. 8:00 o'clock Tuesday. If
04:12PM 20
          you want to make a written submission as well, that's fine.
      21
                     MR. AVENATTI: We probably will file something in
      22
          advance, Your Honor.
      23
                     THE COURT: Okay.
      24
                      If there's nothing else, let's adjourn to an
04:12PM 25
          in-camera session.
```

```
MR. AVENATTI: Well, I have a couple things, but go
       1
       2
          ahead if the Government has something.
       3
                     THE COURT: Go ahead.
                     MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, the other request that I
       4
04:13PM
      5
          would make is, right now the terms of my temporary release
       6
          expire on Tuesday, the 17th. Judge Gardephe has ordered me to
       7
          self-surrender on September 15th. I'm waiting for my
          designation. I expect to have it probably within the next
       8
          week. So I would ask that the temporary release be extended to
04:13PM 10
          September 15th.
      11
                     THE COURT: Any -- well, I know the Government's
      12
          position.
      13
                     MR. AVENATTI: On the same terms and conditions,
      14
          Your Honor, obviously.
04:13PM 15
                     MR. SAGEL: I mean, I think our position is --
      16
          subject to all of our prior objections, Your Honor, our
          position would be until the end of this trial. The last
      17
      18
          request he made for temporary release was for preparation of
      19
          trial. There's nothing between the end of this trial and
04:13PM 20
          September 15th that would require any further temporary
      21
          release.
      22
                     MR. AVENATTI: Well, actually, Your Honor, we don't
      23
          know what's going to happen with the other counts yet. So
      2.4
          there would be a preparation issue.
04:14PM 25
                     THE COURT: Well, let's address that. I think our
```

```
trial date for the second phase is October 12.
       1
       2
                     MR. AVENATTI: But, in any event, Your Honor, I'm
       3
          not --
                     THE COURT: Let's deal with the immediate question
       4
          you posed. I'll continue you on the same terms and conditions
      5
04:14PM
       6
          until Friday, September 3.
       7
                     MR. AVENATTI: September?
                     THE COURT: 3.
       8
                     MR. AVENATTI: 3?
04:14PM 10
                     THE COURT: 3.
      11
                     MR. AVENATTI: Thank you, Your Honor.
      12
                     THE COURT: Okay. If there's nothing further, let's
      13
          adjourn to the in-camera session.
      14
                     MR. SAGEL: Your Honor, one quick question, just for
          timing or practicalitywise.
04:14PM 15
      16
                     With regards to what we said we would submit in
      17
          camera related to Mr. Drum, I can send that via e-mail to your
      18
          CRD probably over the weekend or after.
      19
                     THE COURT: That's fine.
04:15PM 20
                     MR. SAGEL: I didn't know if it would get to you
          over the weekend.
      21
      22
                     THE COURT: It will.
      23
                     MR. SAGEL: Thank you. We'll do that then.
      24
                     THE COURT: Okay.
04:15PM 25
                     MR. AVENATTI: And then, Your Honor, we have five
```

```
witnesses that we intend on calling on Tuesday. I'll provide
       1
       2
          that list to the Government now.
                     THE COURT: Okay.
       3
                     MR. AVENATTI: Along with the time estimates.
       4
04:15PM
      5
                     THE COURT: Okay. I think they're listening.
                     MR. AVENATTI: Well, I'm not going to do it orally.
       6
       7
          I'll hand it to them once Your Honor is done.
                     THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you hand it to them.
       8
       9
                     MR. AVENATTI: I'm just going to write the time
          estimates. It will be two minutes, Your Honor. Thank you.
04:15PM 10
      11
                      (Pause in proceedings.)
      12
                     MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, one other issue, in
          looking through the list and providing the time estimates.
      13
      14
          anticipate that Attorney Evan Jenness will testify on Tuesday.
04:16PM 15
          Ms. Jenness previously represented me in connection with the --
      16
          with the arrest on the domestic violence allegation for which
      17
          there were never any charges.
      18
                     We're going to have to figure out some fencing or
      19
          parameters relating to the cross-examination. Obviously, I
04:17PM 20
          don't believe that that should go before the jury. I'm happy
          to deal with it on Tuesday morning, but I want --
      21
      22
                                 Well, you structure your direct such
                     THE COURT:
      23
          that that topic is not opened.
      24
                     MR. AVENATTI: Understood, Your Honor. I just
04:17PM 25
          wanted to raise it for the Court, alert the Government. We can
```

```
1
          talk about it on Tuesday morning.
                     THE COURT: Fine.
       2
                     MR. AVENATTI: I anticipate her testimony is going
       3
          to be very brief. She's going to address this claim by
       4
          Mr. Barela, the phone call in which she was never on.
04:17PM
      5
       6
                      THE COURT: Okay.
                                         What's your current estimate for
       7
          your case-in-chief? I'd like to be able to tell the jurors
          something Tuesday.
       8
                     MR. AVENATTI: I will most likely be done with the
04:17PM 10
          case-in-chief, Your Honor, by the close of court on Thursday.
      11
          So two to three days.
      12
                     THE COURT: Okay.
                     MR. SAGEL: Your Honor, obviously, seeing this for
      13
          the first time, our only comment would be, is there's a very
      14
04:18PM 15
          good chance that two hours of what he just provided us is
      16
          inappropriate. That witness wouldn't be able to testify are
          not a basis for him to call for two hours. He would need to
      17
      18
          have -- and that being someone who worked at the expert's firm,
      19
          which he hasn't noticed up as an expert, there's no basis for a
04:18PM 20
          two-hour calling of someone who worked for the firm who has no
      21
          percipient fact knowledge in this case.
                     So if he's planning on filling up two of his hours
      22
      23
          on Tuesday with a witness that probably couldn't be called --
      2.4
                     THE COURT: Well, has she been served? Ms. Carter?
04:19PM 25
                     MR. AVENATTI: Yeah. She dodged service for a week,
```

```
but we finally got her served because we got ahold of an
       1
       2
          attorney who agreed to accept service. So she's served.
       3
                     MR. SAGEL: That's only part one of the equation,
          but T...
       4
04:19PM
                     THE COURT: You'd better have enough witnesses to
      5
          fill up the day, Mr. Avenatti.
       6
       7
                     MR. AVENATTI: Understood, Your Honor.
       8
                     THE COURT: Okay.
       9
                     MR. AVENATTI: I've already indicated to the Court
          that I'm sensitive to that issue, because I understand the
04:19PM 10
      11
          Court's sensitive to the issue.
      12
                      THE COURT: Okay. I think you committed by noon
          Monday to provide your additional comments on the jury
      13
      14
          instructions.
04:19PM 15
                     MR. AVENATTI: If I didn't, I have now.
     16
                     THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I think that's what you
      17
          meant.
      18
                     MR. AVENATTI: I think you're right, Your Honor. No
      19
          problem.
04:19PM 20
                     THE COURT: Okay. Then probably at the end of the
          day on Tuesday we'll spend some time on the jury instructions.
      21
      22
          If you conclude your case on Thursday, I'll instruct, and
      23
          you'll argue on Friday.
      2.4
                     MR. AVENATTI: Understood.
04:20PM 25
                     THE COURT: Okay. Estimate for closing? Just
```

1	preliminary.					
2	MR. WYMAN: About an hour half to hour 45.					
3	MR. SAGEL: And that's just for opening-close.					
4	MR. WYMAN: Yeah. I'm sorry.					
04:20PM 5	MR. SAGEL: Not including rebuttal.					
6	THE COURT: Say, again, your estimate.					
7	MR. WYMAN: Estimate for the opening-close is about					
8	an hour 30 to an hour 40.					
9	THE COURT: What's rebuttal?					
04:20PM 10	MR. SAGEL: Very hypothetical, but I'm assuming 30					
11	minutes or less.					
12	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Avenatti.					
13	MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, is the Government					
14	planning on splitting the closing and the rebuttal?					
04:20PM 15	MR. WYMAN: Yes, Your Honor.					
16	MR. AVENATTI: I'll contemplate how I feel about					
17	that, whether I have any objection.					
18	But, in any event, in answer to your question,					
19	Your Honor, my estimate for the close is two and a half to					
04:21PM 20	three hours.					
21	THE COURT: Okay.					
22	MR. SAGEL: Unless we're needed, we will leave.					
23	THE COURT: You're excused.					
24	MR. SAGEL: Thank you, Your Honor.					
04:21PM 25	MR. WYMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.					

```
1
                THE COURT: As is everyone else.
                 (The following proceedings were sealed by
 2
 3
                the Court and transcribed under separate cover.)
                    (Proceedings concluded at 4:21 p.m.)
 4
 5
                                   --000--
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
                     CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER
 2
 3
    COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
    STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 4
                             )
 5
                   I, DEBBIE HINO-SPAAN, FEDERAL OFFICIAL REALTIME
 6
    COURT REPORTER, in and for the United States District Court for
 7
    the Central District of California, do hereby certify that
 8
    pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code that the
 9
    foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the
10
    stenographically reported proceedings held in the
11
    above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in
12
    conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of
13
    the United States.
14
15
    Date: August 13, 2021
16
17
18
19
                                    /S/ DEBBIE HINO-SPAAN
20
                                  Debbie Hino-Spaan, CSR No. 7953
                                  Federal Official Court Reporter
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

\$	1-053 [1] - 1:24 1.5 [2] - 61:17, 74:2	2851 [4] - 34:12, 35:2, 35:4, 37:11	5566 [2] - 69:16, 72:10	38:21, 52:8, 71:5, 71:10, 77:9, 84:7,
\$1,600,000 [1] - 57:22	1.6 [21] - 35:10, 35:13,	29 [2] - 42:15, 80:12	6	84:16
\$1,944,385 [1] - 48:8	35:19, 35:25, 36:7,	29th [1] - 43:3	600,000-plus [1] -	above-entitled [1] - 88:11
\$100,000 [12] - 29:23,	37:19, 38:25, 45:1, 45:25, 46:9, 46:12,	2:54 [1] - 50:16	23:19	accept [4] - 43:22,
30:16, 31:1, 31:3, 31:8, 37:11, 38:18,	45.25, 46.9, 46.12, 57:10, 59:8, 59:16,	3	659,000 [1] - 61:9	51:9, 51:15, 85:2
38:23, 56:3, 56:6,	59:25, 60:2, 60:13,	<u> </u>	659,203 [2] - 60:23,	acceptable [1] - 80:13
68:12, 76:4	61:13, 72:10, 72:20,	3 [8] - 45:4, 71:19,	60:24	accepted [1] - 52:11
\$13 [1] - 73:19	72:24	71:21, 73:21, 82:6,		according [5] - 57:9,
\$15,637 [1] - 38:16	10 [1] - 71:19	82:8, 82:9, 82:10	7	58:1, 58:2, 59:10,
\$18 [1] - 41:5	100 [11] - 4:20, 19:16,	30 [5] - 11:16, 12:6,		66:8
\$180,797 [2] - 51:24,	19:17, 20:16, 21:1,	50:18, 86:8, 86:10	7 [13] - 63:17, 63:23,	accordingly [1] - 5:1
57:15	21:3, 43:20, 66:4,	30th [2] - 33:18, 36:6	63:25, 64:3, 64:10,	account [30] - 30:16,
\$2 [1] - 73:22	66:8, 66:14, 66:16	312 [1] - 2:11	64:14, 64:17, 64:18, 64:19, 65:14, 65:15,	31:8, 34:18, 34:24,
\$2,776 [1] - 37:22	10th [2] - 36:15, 37:2	31st [1] - 45:4	65:16, 65:18	35:11, 36:18, 36:19,
\$200,000 [1] - 34:19	1100 [1] - 2:11	3:14 [1] - 50:16	7.5 [1] - 79:11	38:19, 41:25, 43:10, 44:23, 45:16, 45:25,
\$25,000 [1] - 46:12	111,000 [1] - 69:20 111,113 [1] - 69:11	4	708,031 [1] - 38:20	58:11, 58:20, 58:24,
\$250,000 [19] - 33:14, 34:4, 34:6, 34:8,	111,113 [1] - 09.11 12 [2] - 74:8, 82:1	"	714-338-3598 [1] - 2:7	59:1, 59:15, 59:25,
34:4, 34:6, 34:8, 34:11, 34:18, 34:24,	123,412 [1] - 74:11	4 [3] - 40:21, 40:23,	736,884 [2] - 70:13,	60:13, 60:17, 61:7,
35:10, 35:24, 36:6,	13 [3] - 1:15, 4:1,	72:8	70:24	67:25, 69:14, 69:15,
38:24, 38:25, 46:3,	88:15	40 [1] - 86:8	753 [1] - 88:8	69:17, 71:23, 74:24,
48:5, 49:7, 49:9,	15 [1] - 50:10	403 [3] - 8:19, 10:15,	760,000 [2] - 57:11,	76:22
49:12, 50:4, 75:18	15th [3] - 81:7, 81:10,	16:25	57:15	Account [1] - 72:10
\$300,000 [3] - 56:16,	81:20	411 [2] - 1:24, 2:6	7953 [2] - 1:23, 88:20	accounted [4] - 44:14,
56:21, 76:9	17 [1] - 2:18	420 [5] - 32:8, 32:9,	797 [1] - 58:8	44:16, 53:9, 55:14
\$350,000 [1] - 45:18	17th [1] - 81:6	65:22, 70:19, 71:8	0	accounting [4] - 15:4,
\$41,885 [1] - 58:15	18 [1] - 75:13	422 [4] - 35:16, 35:19, 44:20, 70:21	8	19:7, 21:9, 21:19 accounts [5] - 46:23,
\$5,000 [2] - 46:7,	180,797 [2] - 57:11,	423 [4] - 35:7, 35:16,	8 _[1] - 70:11	46:25, 47:2, 49:3,
46:11 \$50,000 [2] - 36:17,	69:5 193 _[2] - 69:7, 77:22	37:13, 45:24	8000 [1] - 2:6	67:13
\$50,000 [2] - 36:17, 38:21	1:27 [2] - 09.7, 77.22	424 [3] - 34:14, 36:7,	8541 [4] - 35:5, 35:14,	accuracy [3] - 38:3,
\$600,000 [4] - 20:13,	. . [2] 1.10, T.2	36:25	37:18, 37:19	52:9, 66:2
25:21, 39:7, 48:2	2	430 [5] - 47:7, 47:8,	8671 [1] - 71:23	accurate [24] - 20:2,
\$610 [1] - 74:13		51:6, 51:16, 75:15	8:00 [1] - 80:19	21:1, 21:4, 28:9,
\$617,000 [1] - 61:10	2 [8] - 1:9, 36:9, 36:10,	439 [5] - 51:20, 57:7,	•	28:14, 28:16, 28:21,
\$617,840 [1] - 61:4	45:12, 69:25, 73:19,	69:2, 76:2	9	28:23, 29:1, 30:22,
\$65,615 [1] - 47:10	74:4, 74:6	441 [3] - 72:15, 73:2, 74:22	9 [2] - 40:21, 40:24	37:24, 38:6, 38:9, 47:12, 47:13, 52:7,
\$659,203 [1] - 61:8	2.4 [2] - 72:23, 72:24 2.5 [1] - 71:23	444 [3] - 62:9, 62:10,	90012 [1] - 2:12	52:16, 56:25, 57:7,
\$72 [1] - 41:7	2.5 [1] - 7 1.23 20 [1] - 1:8	75:1	92660 [1] - 2:19	66:5, 66:8, 66:15,
\$760,000 [1] - 55:19 \$940,797 [4] - 57:16,	2015 [8] - 33:18, 36:7,	446 [1] - 75:14	92701 [2] - 1:25, 2:7	66:16, 70:5
59:10, 60:21, 61:23	36:15, 37:2, 42:15,	45 [1] - 86:2	93 [1] - 22:18	Act [1] - 6:13
\$959,203 [1] - 56:6	43:3, 70:18, 70:23	450 [1] - 65:22	940,747 [1] - 58:4	actual [4] - 16:23,
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2017 [2] - 75:13, 75:22	456 [1] - 65:23	940,797 [2] - 59:2,	17:7, 27:19, 39:10
1	2018 [1] - 74:8	457 [4] - 33:10, 36:10,	62:2	additional [1] - 85:13
	2020 [6] - 48:5, 48:8,	71:13, 72:17	949-481-4900 [1] - 2:20	address [2] - 81:25,
/ S [1] - 88:19	50:1, 50:5, 75:18,	48 [9] - 39:17, 39:20,	9962 [1] - 34:8	84:4 adjourn [3] - 80:7,
	75:22	40:22, 41:19, 42:4, 53:18, 53:25, 69:24,	9:00 [1] - 79:23	80:24, 82:13
0	2021 [3] - 1:15, 4:1, 88:15	78:16 78:16	.,	adjournment [2] -
0061 [1] - 34:8	213-894-2435 [1] -	4:21 [1] - 87:4	Α	4:13, 6:1
0661 [1] - 36:19	2:12	4th [3] - 2:6, 70:18,	A9 A (4) 24.0	admonition [2] -
	250,000 [1] - 49:25	70:22	A&A [1] - 34:8 AA [4] - 34:10, 34:24,	50:11, 79:24
1	254 [1] - 2:19	4TH [1] - 1:24	35:4, 35:9	advance [3] - 42:15,
1 [2] - 70:14, 73:17	25th [1] - 75:22	F	ability [4] - 11:17,	54:14, 80:22 advanced [1] - 66:25
1,558,100 [1] - 58:22	26.2 [2] - 4:18, 6:13	5	11:18, 11:20, 12:12	advances [18] - 42:19,
1,600,000 [1] - 60:21	27,000 [1] - 74:6	543,000 [1] - 71:9	able [10] - 5:16, 5:21,	42:20, 42:24, 48:13,
1,000,000 [ii] 00.E1	28 [1] - 88:8	, , ,		.,,,

aside [1] - 57:13

assignment [3] -

began [1] - 4:25

best [11] - 10:13, 14:7,

48:19, 52:25, 53:9, 53:12, 53:15, 53:16, 53:19, 53:20, 54:8, 54:12, 54:21, 67:7, 70.12 advise [1] - 13:6 affect [1] - 54:16 afternoon [2] - 7:1, 68:10 Agent [1] - 13:5 agents [7] - 6:6, 7:20, 7:24, 10:13, 11:6, 11:10, 80:16 ago [1] - 9:14 agree [8] - 22:8, 42:18, 51:9, 52:15, 64:24, 66:24, 67:3, 67:5 agreed [3] - 51:15, 65:19, 85:2 agreement [19] -63:11, 63:14, 63:16, 63:17, 63:19, 63:20, 63:22, 63:24, 64:2, 64:4, 64:9, 64:15, 64:17, 64:25, 65:2, 65:12, 65:16, 79:5 agreements [1] -77:12 ahead [3] - 50:24, 81:2, 81:3 ahold [1] - 85:1 aim [2] - 19:17, 20:2 alert [1] - 83:25 Alex [1] - 10:8 alex.wyman@usdoj. gov [1] - 2:13 ALEXANDER [1] -2:10 Alexis [4] - 47:14, 67:12, 72:7, 75:16 Alki [1] - 74:23 allegation [1] - 83:16 allege [1] - 33:20 alleges [1] - 33:23 allow [3] - 27:12, 49:16, 49:20 America [1] - 36:19 **AMERICA** [1] - 1:5 amount [54] - 36:16, 37:24, 38:11, 40:16, 45:11, 45:14, 46:8, 46:9, 47:22, 47:24, 48:4, 49:9, 49:12, 49:17, 51:16, 51:23, 52:16, 52:24, 53:1, 55:19, 56:5, 57:10, 58:3, 58:5, 58:23, 59:1, 61:6, 61:7, 61:9, 63:11, 63:13,

63:15, 63:18, 64:20, 65:3, 65:4, 66:21, 68:16, 69:3, 69:8, 69:10, 69:20, 69:21, 70:22, 70:25, 73:8, 74:1, 75:5, 75:13, 76:9, 78:18, 78:19 amounts [10] - 31:18, 41:12, 41:16, 41:22, 56:2, 56:6, 59:15, 76:6, 76:21, 77:7 **Ana** [1] - 2:7 ANA [3] - 1:17, 1:25, 4.1 analyses [4] - 15:14, 17:3, 18:1, 21:12 Analysis [3] - 8:10, 9:4, 15:12 analysis [23] - 14:24, 17:19, 19:3, 21:8, 23:20, 29:3, 29:9, 29:16, 30:15, 30:18, 30:19, 30:22, 31:7, 34:7, 39:8, 43:21, 46:17, 54:16, 57:9, 65:12, 65:13, 77:14, 79:8 analyze [1] - 49:16 analyzed [1] - 14:13 **ANGELES** [1] - 88:3 Angeles [1] - 2:12 answer [6] - 20:19, 34:23, 52:10, 53:23, 78:3, 86:18 **ANSWER** [6] - 22:24, 23:2, 23:5, 23:9, 23:13, 23:16 answered [10] - 7:18, 8:19, 9:16, 16:25, 21:20, 21:25, 23:22, 60:19, 72:3, 77:19 anticipate [2] - 83:14, 84:3 apologize [1] - 5:4 APPEARANCES [1] -2:1 application [3] -63:25, 65:14, 65:19 applied [4] - 63:18, 64:15, 64:17, 64:18 apply [1] - 65:15 area [1] - 13:11 argue [2] - 80:14, 85:23 Argumentative [2] -19:25, 39:4 argumentative [5] -9:16, 21:25, 25:12,

33:25, 36:3

arrest [1] - 83:16

31:19, 31:23, 39:12 Assistant [2] - 2:5, 2.10 associated [5] -12:13, 14:25, 27:25, 35:21, 62:24 Associates [7] - 43:9, 43:16, 43:19, 44:1, 44:2, 44:3, 44:10 assume [7] - 29:22, 29:23, 30:2, 30:10, 31:1, 31:3, 68:12 assumed [7] - 28:9, 28:10, 28:14, 28:16, 28:20, 28:23, 29:3 assuming [1] - 86:10 assumption [3] -29:25, 30:5, 30:13 attempted [3] - 53:6, 55:5, 55:13 attorney [2] - 74:24, 85:2 Attorney [5] - 2:4, 2:5, 2:9, 2:10, 83:14 attorney's [2] - 52:24, attorney-client [1] -74:24 attorneys [1] - 4:20 audit [1] - 14:25 audits [1] - 15:2 August [1] - 88:15 **AUGUST** [2] - 1:15, 4:1 **AUSAs** [2] - 11:5, 11:9 authority [1] - 6:13 automatic [1] - 11:14 available [1] - 29:7 Avenatti [28] - 3:3, 3:4, 4:8, 7:2, 21:10, 24:17, 36:20, 41:11, 42:15, 43:4, 43:9, 43:16, 43:19, 44:1, 44:2, 44:3, 44:10, 45:12, 45:13, 46:25, 51:4, 58:2, 59:9, 77:2, 80:10, 85:6, 86:12 **AVENATTI** [106] - 1:8, 2:15, 2:16, 4:6, 4:9, 5:4, 6:3, 6:18, 7:5, 7:20, 8:16, 8:22, 9:3, 9:18, 9:24, 10:18, 12:22, 12:25, 17:4, 18:21, 18:23, 20:4, 20:22, 21:14, 21:16, 21:22, 22:2, 24:3, 24:19, 25:1, 25:4,

25:14, 28:13, 28:18, 28:20, 30:7, 30:15, 32:23, 32:25, 34:3, 34:15, 34:20, 34:23, 36:9, 39:6, 40:21, 42:22, 42:24, 49:4, 49:7, 50:8, 50:17, 51:5, 51:14, 54:17, 54:19, 59:9, 59:17, 59:24, 60:21, 61:18, 61:21, 62:1, 62:18, 62:19, 62:22, 63:7, 64:16, 66:14, 67:14, 67:17, 67:19, 67:24, 68:4, 72:2, 77:4, 77:22, 78:12, 79:15, 80:11, 80:18, 80:21, 81:1, 81:4, 81:13, 81:22, 82:2, 82:7, 82:9, 82:11, 82:25, 83:4, 83:6, 83:9, 83:12, 83:24, 84:3, 84:9, 84:25, 85:7, 85:9, 85:15, 85:18, 85:24, 86:13, 86:16 Avenatti's [1] - 58:25 aware [10] - 44:9, 44:11, 44:12, 44:15, 59:12, 59:21, 60:4, 60:9, 61:10, 75:12

В

Bakery [1] - 74:23 balance [10] - 38:19, 46:12, 58:23, 59:25, 61:13, 61:17, 73:7, 74:1, 74:10 Bank [1] - 36:19 bank [7] - 40:5, 41:11, 41:19, 41:24, 41:25, 46:25, 58:12 banking [2] - 40:23, 46:18 Barela [21] - 28:6, 47:17, 51:20, 55:18, 55:24, 56:5, 56:16, 56:21, 59:13, 59:23, 60:1, 60:6, 60:9, 61:7, 61:12, 67:12, 69:17, 72:14, 74:14, 78:19, 84:5 Barela's [4] - 59:4, 60:17, 69:4, 76:8 Baristas [1] - 74:24 based [2] - 63:15, 77:10 basis [2] - 84:17, 84:19

Beach [1] - 2:19

14:9, 19:6, 66:2, 66:3, 66:4, 66:6, 66:9, 66:15, 66:16 better [2] - 27:17, 85:5 between [3] - 54:14, 62:5, 81:19 beyond [2] - 31:17, 77:19 **big** [4] - 14:16, 54:1, 70:9, 71:1 big-old [3] - 54:1, 70:9, 71:1 billed [2] - 25:21, 40:15 billing [1] - 20:13 blow [4] - 32:9, 40:25, 71:21, 75:2 bottom [4] - 40:25, 56:13, 69:15, 74:6 break [6] - 4:10, 4:15, 5:9, 7:6, 50:9, 51:6 breakdown [1] - 5:18 **BRETT** [1] - 2:5 Brett [1] - 10:8 brett.sagel@usdoj. **gov** [1] - 2:8 brief [1] - 84:4 **bring** [4] - 6:24, 15:19, 15:23, 16:1 Brock [3] - 57:23, 58:3, 72:10 broken [1] - 40:17 brought [1] - 16:17 building [1] - 19:4 built [1] - 17:22 bunch [2] - 70:4, 74:4 business [3] - 43:13, 62:14, 62:24 buy [2] - 55:5, 55:7 **BY** [49] - 2:5, 2:18, 3:3, 7:5, 7:20, 8:16, 8:22, 9:3, 9:18, 9:24, 10:18, 12:25, 17:4, 18:23, 20:4, 20:22, 21:16, 21:22, 22:2, 24:3, 25:4, 28:13, 28:20, 30:15, 32:25, 34:3, 34:23, 39:6, 40:21, 42:24, 49:7, 51:14, 54:19, 59:9, 59:24, 60:21, 61:21, 62:1, 62:18, 62:22, 63:7, 64:16, 66:14, 67:24, 68:9, 72:6, 77:4, 77:22, 78:12

C

CA[1] - 1:25

calculated [5] - 56:5, 63:15, 71:9, 75:24, 76.7 calculation [18] -23:14, 47:22, 47:24, 48:1, 50:2, 50:6, 52:16, 52:23, 56:22, 56:23, 56:24, 59:10, 60:2, 60:7, 61:22, 64:25, 68:17, 71:8 calculations [2] -17:25, 18:15 **CALIFORNIA** [4] - 1:2, 1:17, 4:1, 88:4 California [5] - 2:7, 2:12, 2:19, 15:23, 88:7 **CALLED** [1] - 3:3 Calvert [4] - 45:5, 45:8, 45:15, 45:16 camera [5] - 5:21, 5:24, 80:25, 82:13, 82:17 capacity [1] - 29:22 carefulness [1] -66:17 CareMeridian [3] -41:15, 41:22, 42:3 Carlos [1] - 13:5 Carter [13] - 7:7, 7:15, 10:10, 10:12, 11:9, 13:16, 13:21, 14:7, 14:10, 14:12, 18:6, 42:6, 84:24 case [47] - 4:16, 4:18, 6:7, 6:9, 7:14, 10:23, 11:22, 12:1, 14:5, 14:8, 14:12, 15:9, 17:11, 17:19, 18:7, 19:13, 22:11, 23:4, 23:25, 24:16, 24:21, 27:25, 32:13, 32:18, 33:1, 33:6, 42:21, 47:10, 47:13, 50:12, 50:13, 51:23, 52:15, 55:1, 55:2, 62:23, 66:10, 69:3, 69:13, 71:9, 79:25, 80:1, 84:7, 84:10, 84:21, 85:22 Case [1] - 1:7 case-in-chief [2] -84:7, 84:10 case-related [11] -14:12, 23:25, 32:13, 32:18, 42:21, 47:10, 47:13, 51:23, 52:15,

69:3, 71:9 cases [5] - 16:5, 19:22, 40:15, 42:1, 74:20 categories [1] - 55:14 category [1] - 54:8 CB&T [1] - 36:19 **CENTRAL** [1] - 1:2 Central [1] - 88:7 certain [6] - 18:15, 38:8, 79:2, 79:7, 79:10 certainly [1] - 8:4 CERTIFICATE [1] -88:1 **CERTIFIED** [1] - 1:6 certify [1] - 88:7 chance [2] - 5:12, 84:15 change [4] - 41:21, 69:20, 74:2, 74:6 charged [1] - 39:2 charges [5] - 33:6, 33:19, 35:24, 39:9, 83:17 charging [1] - 46:4 chart [20] - 39:16, 49:10, 49:12, 51:7, 55:10, 55:15, 56:17, 60:22, 69:22, 70:19, 71:15, 74:12, 74:14, 74:16, 75:9, 75:16, 75:18, 75:21, 76:5, 76:11 charts [7] - 20:25, 32:8, 56:13, 65:25, 70:20, 76:11, 76:14 check [1] - 41:11 checking [1] - 41:19 chief [2] - 84:7, 84:10 claim [3] - 37:24, 61:7, 84:4 clarify [2] - 41:15, 53:23 clear [3] - 4:23, 6:8, 27:22 client [23] - 21:24, 22:3, 27:17, 27:20, 29:4, 29:24, 31:8, 32:13, 42:9, 46:18, 46:20, 48:7, 48:10, 53:1, 53:22, 54:11, 56:9, 65:4, 74:24, 75:6, 76:12, 76:22 client's [1] - 29:24 clients [16] - 21:23, 31:11, 31:17, 53:10, 53:15, 53:20, 53:21, 65:7, 66:21, 66:24,

67:6, 67:9, 67:21,

68:2, 76:12, 76:22 close [7] - 19:23, 20:7, 20:15, 84:10, 86:3, 86:7, 86:19 closer [1] - 17:14 closing [2] - 85:25, 86:14 **co** [1] - 74:20 co-counsel [1] - 74:20 Code [1] - 88:8 Coffee [15] - 57:18, 57:19, 57:21, 58:7, 58:15, 58:21, 59:3, 59:13, 59:14, 59:22, 60:5, 60:11, 73:4, 73:24, 74:5 colleagues [2] -20:12, 66:1 **collective** [1] - 4:20 comment [2] - 66:13, 84:14 comments [1] - 85:13 committed [2] - 39:10, 85:12 communicating [1] -6:8 communications [12] -6:5, 6:10, 7:23, 8:2, 10:12, 10:25, 11:2, 12:4, 12:7, 12:16, 13:25, 14:4 company [3] - 43:20, 43:23. 44:13 compared [1] - 24:25 complete [2] - 27:7, 27.9 complying [1] - 4:17 **Compound** [1] - 28:11 conclude [1] - 85:22 concluded [1] - 87:4 conclusion [2] - 34:1, 59.6 conclusions [2] -21:8, 21:17 conditions [2] - 81:13, 82.5 conducted [1] - 7:7 Conference [1] -88:12 conferred [1] - 32:24 confined [1] - 77:14 confirm [2] - 41:23, 73.7 confirmation [2] -42:3. 42:5 confirmed [8] - 40:2, 40:4, 40:9, 40:23, 41:13, 41:15, 41:18, 41.22 conformance [1] -

88:12 connection [34] -7:14, 10:14, 11:10, 11:21, 12:1, 14:5, 14:8, 15:1, 15:8, 15:23, 17:11, 17:19, 19:19, 19:21, 20:6, 20:15, 20:23, 23:19, 27:20, 29:16, 30:17, 33:6, 36:1, 39:6, 46:17, 48:2, 51:10, 51:15, 57:22, 62:23, 63:8, 63:10, 65:12, 83:15 contain [2] - 17:22, 17:25 contemplate [1] -86.16 content [2] - 64:6, 75:2 context [1] - 68:15 continue [2] - 4:14, contract [2] - 75:5, 75:8 convenient [1] - 80:14 conversation [2] -9:19, 9:23 converse [2] - 14:10, 14:22 conversed [2] - 14:12, 14:20 conversing [2] - 8:23, 8:24 copied [2] - 5:19, 10:25 copies [1] - 11:8 **copy** [2] - 14:14, 24:13 **Corporate** [1] - 2:18 corporation [1] -44:13 correct [55] - 10:23, 12:20, 12:23, 13:13, 13:14, 15:17, 16:24, 19:16, 19:18, 23:16, 24:11, 24:15, 25:19, 25:24, 28:21, 29:5, 30:24, 31:21, 32:14, 32:21, 33:8, 35:8, 37:20, 40:1, 42:12, 43:6, 43:7, 46:10, 47:11, 48:8, 49:1, 49:4, 52:11, 55:2, 55:22, 56:1, 56:8, 56:11, 56:22, 57:3, 59:11, 60:15, 60:25, 63:2, 63:19, 64:11, 65:5, 65:9, 70:10, 73:13, 76:16, 76:24, 77:12, 77:17, 88:9

correctly [3] - 23:17, 52:3, 58:5 cost [17] - 24:20, 27:16, 27:17, 31:8, 37:22, 37:24, 38:11, 38:13, 47:22, 47:24, 57:14, 68:12, 78:1, 78:9, 78:13, 78:14, 78:19 costs [18] - 23:3, 23:10, 24:16, 40:11, 60:6, 60:10, 60:16, 63:8, 69:3, 69:13, 71:4, 71:6, 71:10, 77:6, 77:10, 77:15, 78:1, 78:8 Counsel [1] - 32:24 counsel [3] - 6:13, 18:5, 74:20 COUNSEL [2] - 2:1, 2:16 Count [2] - 33:11, 35:24 count [1] - 39:16 counted [1] - 38:8 counts [6] - 33:1, 33:2, 33:3, 33:5, 33:9, 81:23 **COUNTY** [1] - 88:3 couple [2] - 22:11, 81:1 course [2] - 34:6, 60:3 COURT [97] - 1:1, 1:24, 4:8, 5:2, 5:7, 5:25, 6:16, 6:22, 7:1, 7:19, 8:14, 8:21, 9:1, 9:17, 9:21, 10:16, 12:24, 17:1, 20:1, 20:18, 21:15, 21:21, 22:1, 23:24, 24:17, 25:3, 25:13, 28:12, 28:19, 30:8, 34:2, 34:22, 36:5, 39:5, 40:19. 42:23. 49:6. 50:9. 50:22. 51:2. 51:12, 54:18, 59:7, 59:19, 60:20, 61:20, 61:25, 62:17, 62:21, 63:4, 64:13, 66:11, 67:16, 67:18, 68:6, 72:4, 77:2, 77:21, 78:5, 79:17, 79:21, 80:6, 80:10, 80:17, 80:19, 80:23, 81:3, 81:11, 81:25, 82:4, 82:8, 82:10, 82:12, 82:19, 82:22, 82:24, 83:3, 83:5, 83:8, 83:22, 84:2, 84:6, 84:12, 84:24, 85:5,

85:8, 85:12, 85:16, 85:20, 85:25, 86:6, 86:9, 86:12, 86:21, 86:23, 87:1, 88:6 court [3] - 18:19, 74:20, 84:10 Court [10] - 5:24, 6:4, 80:13, 80:15, 83:25, 85:9, 87:3, 88:6, 88:20 Court's [2] - 50:17, 85:11 COURTROOM [3] -50:15, 50:25, 80:4 cover [1] - 87:3 covered [7] - 8:4, 8:7, 8:9, 8:12, 8:24, 9:4 covering [2] - 10:1, 37:6 CRD [1] - 82:18 credit [2] - 69:21, 71:10 crime [2] - 39:3, 39:10 criminal [9] - 4:20, 13:1, 13:8, 19:20, 20:7, 20:15, 33:1, 33:6, 66:10 critically [2] - 22:5, 22:8 Cross [1] - 3:3 CROSS [1] - 7:4 cross [23] - 4:12, 4:14, 4:25. 5:1. 5:6. 6:20. 7:7, 7:10, 7:13, 7:21, 8:3, 8:5, 8:8, 8:9, 8:12, 8:18, 8:24, 9:5, 9:25, 50:18, 68:11, 75:3, 83:19 **Cross-Examination** [1] - 3:3 CROSS-**EXAMINATION**[1] cross-examination [13] - 4:12, 4:14, 4:25, 5:1, 5:6, 6:20, 7:13, 8:3, 8:5, 8:8, 68:11, 75:3, 83:19 cross-examinations [3] - 7:7, 7:10, 7:21 CRR [1] - 1:23 CSR [2] - 1:23, 88:20 current [3] - 26:25, 52:2, 84:6 D

dash [1] - 76:14 data [20] - 14:21, 23:21, 24:5, 24:7,

73:22

24:8, 24:9, 25:5, 25:9, 25:15, 25:17, 25:23, 25:25, 26:2, 26:22, 27:5, 27:7, 27:9, 30:20, 37:25, 47:19 database [1] - 26:14 date [6] - 33:17, 36:14, 37:1, 70:15, 70:16, 82:1 Date [1] - 88:15 **DAY** [1] - 1:8 days [4] - 9:14, 11:16, 12:6, 84:11 deal [2] - 82:4, 83:21 **DEAN** [2] - 2:17, 2:18 deansteward7777@ gmail.com [1] - 2:20 **Debbie** [1] - 88:20 **DEBBIE** [3] - 1:23, 88:5, 88:19 **debt** [3] - 44:3, 44:5, 44:7 deduct [1] - 77:6 deducted [2] - 46:8, deduction [4] - 58:24, 59:15, 78:1, 78:8 DEFENDANT [1] -2.14 defendant [15] -68:11, 69:21, 70:1, 71:3, 71:14, 72:18, 73:23, 74:19, 74:21, 75:3, 75:12, 75:17, 75:24, 76:8, 76:18 **Defendant** [1] - 1:9 defense [2] - 5:20, 80:11 degree [2] - 66:1, 66:17 delete [1] - 13:2 deleted [4] - 11:13, 11:24, 12:8, 12:13 deletes [1] - 11:14 deleting [1] - 13:7 deletion [3] - 11:19, 12:3, 13:18 **Delivery** [2] - 41:4, 41:7 demonstrate [2] -16:23, 17:3 denied [1] - 21:15 depicted [1] - 78:2 depiction [1] - 47:13 deposit [11] - 34:18, 34:19, 34:24, 37:11, 37:18, 45:4, 45:16, 46:13, 73:18, 73:19,

deposited [6] - 35:11, 45:14, 45:25, 67:13, 69:18, 76:21 deposition [1] - 16:11 depositions [1] -16:13 deposits [4] - 37:17, 37:19, 73:15, 73:16 **DEPUTY** [3] - 50:15, 50:25, 80:4 describe [1] - 10:20 described [1] - 72:9 designation [1] - 81:8 detail [1] - 6:23 details [4] - 9:19, 9:22, 9:24, 17:25 determine [3] - 12:15, 21:24, 77:10 determined [2] -31:22, 60:15 determining [3] -63:7, 63:12, 77:15 dhinospaan@yahoo. com [1] - 1:25 differences [1] - 54:14 different [10] - 11:25, 20:5, 24:25, 40:15, 42:1, 47:22, 47:24, 47:25, 48:1, 65:3 digits [1] - 69:14 Dillanos [16] - 57:18, 57:19, 57:21, 58:7, 58:15, 58:21, 59:3, 59:12, 59:14, 59:22, 60:5, 60:11, 73:3, 73:24, 74:5, 74:23 direct [7] - 5:3, 6:4, 18:19, 36:24, 40:2, 73:11, 83:22 directed [2] - 11:5, 53:22 direction [3] - 10:22, 10:24, 11:2 directly [2] - 35:25, 53:21 disable [1] - 12:5 disabling [1] - 12:3 disclosed [1] - 5:23 disclosure [1] - 5:20 discover [1] - 29:17 discuss [3] - 9:10, 50:11, 79:24 discussed [6] - 8:17, 9:14, 18:4, 65:13, 65:17. 77:13 discussing [1] - 9:4 **discussion** [1] - 6:22 **distinction** [3] - 54:16, 60:12, 61:16

1:2, 1:3 District [2] - 88:6, 88:7 **DIVISION** [1] - 1:2 document [1] - 15:14 documents [3] -14:14, 15:17, 17:21 dodged [1] - 84:25 dollars [1] - 76:17 domestic [1] - 83:16 done [9] - 11:2, 15:8, 16:7, 16:20, 31:17, 55:17, 55:24, 83:7, 84:9 double [1] - 38:8 double-counted [1] -38:8 doubt [1] - 7:17 down [6] - 24:17, 39:25, 40:17, 41:17, 55:8 dozen [1] - 16:9 Draft [5] - 14:16, 14:18, 54:1, 70:9, 71:1 draft [3] - 24:13, 39:22, 52:3 drafts [1] - 5:14 draw [2] - 77:25, 78:8 **Drum** [15] - 4:11, 4:14, 5:11, 6:1, 6:4, 7:6, 20:22, 25:15, 32:25, 57:5, 66:14, 68:10, 76:25, 77:5, 82:17 **DRUM** [2] - 3:3, 7:3 due [15] - 32:13, 48:5, 48:7, 49:13, 49:17, 53:1, 56:5, 60:5, 61:8, 61:11, 63:8, 63:12, 64:10, 65:4, 75:5 during [6] - 4:15, 13:7, 18:10, 40:1, 68:11, 75:3

Ε

e-mail [8] - 6:9, 10:12, 11:3, 11:23, 38:1, 70:16, 78:21, 82:17 e-mailed [1] - 25:8 e-mails [15] - 4:10, 5:10, 5:16, 11:9, 11:14, 12:12, 12:13, 12:15, 12:17, 12:19, 13:2, 13:7, 13:10, 13:16, 13:21 **EA** [8] - 34:12, 34:18, 35:2, 35:4, 35:5, 35:14, 37:18, 44:23 EA's [1] - 35:11

61:21

estimate [9] - 16:9,

17:12, 18:9, 23:25,

84:6, 85:25, 86:6,

Eagan [10] - 21:9, 41:11, 42:14, 43:4, 45:12, 45:13, 46:25, 58:2, 58:24, 59:9 Ed [2] - 74:16, 74:20 Edward [1] - 61:1 effect [1] - 68:19 effectively [1] - 15:15 effort [6] - 26:25, 27:11, 29:16, 30:17, 31:13, 31:16 efforts [1] - 23:20 either [5] - 32:12, 38:7, 52:6, 55:5, 80:14 electronic [14] - 14:21, 23:21, 24:9, 25:5, 25:9, 25:15, 25:17, 25:23, 26:5, 26:8, 26:11, 26:13, 26:19, 47:19 elicit [1] - 6:19 eliminate [1] - 50:19 Elmo [1] - 22:12 encompassed [1] -23:10 end [5] - 74:12, 75:3, 81:17, 81:19, 85:20 engage [1] - 39:8 engaged [1] - 63:1 engagement [1] -17:10 engagements [1] -15:4 entire [1] - 60:2 entitled [19] - 4:10, 4:11, 4:24, 5:2, 6:10, 36:1, 55:18, 55:24, 57:11, 58:2, 59:9, 60:1, 60:10, 61:22, 62:2, 64:2, 77:6, 79:10, 88:11 entries [2] - 41:1, 73:11 envelope [2] - 41:4, 41:7 equals [1] - 53:1 equation [1] - 85:3 equity [1] - 44:10 especially [2] - 19:19, 21:1 **ESQ** [2] - 2:15, 2:18 essentially [2] - 53:6, established [5] - 16:4, 28:13, 37:20, 54:5,

DISTRICT [3] - 1:1,

77:10, 77:15

fencing [1] - 83:18

86:7, 86:19 estimates [3] - 83:4, 83:10, 83:13 Evan [4] - 14:7, 14:10, 18:5, 83:14 event [2] - 82:2, 86:18 evidence [7] - 59:12, 59:21, 60:4, 60:9, 61:10, 69:7, 79:20 **exactly** [1] - 12:10 **EXAMINATION** [3] -7:4, 68:8, 77:3 examination [13] -4:12, 4:14, 4:25, 5:1, 5:6, 6:20, 7:13, 8:3, 8:5, 8:8, 68:11, 75:3, 83:19 Examination [3] - 3:3, 3:4, 3:4 examinations [3] -7:7, 7:10, 7:21 example [5] - 5:14, 8:9, 12:20, 27:23, 71:5 examples [3] - 31:10, 68:20, 68:23 Excel [1] - 17:20 exception [3] - 5:15, 6:12, 76:13 **exchange** [1] - 5:14 excused [2] - 79:17, 86:23 exempts [1] - 4:17 exercise [1] - 11:21 exhibit [8] - 22:6, 33:11, 35:6, 35:15, 44:23, 69:25, 70:2, 70:15 **Exhibit** [29] - 32:8, 34:14, 36:10, 37:13, 39:17, 39:20, 40:22, 45:24, 47:7, 47:8, 51:6, 57:7, 62:9, 62:10, 69:2, 69:7, 69:24, 70:19, 71:8, 71:13, 72:15, 72:17, 73:2, 74:22, 75:1, 75:14, 75:15, 76:2, 78:16 **EXHIBITS** [1] - 3:14 Exhibits [1] - 65:22 exhibits [7] - 18:4, 22:4, 36:21, 36:22, 65:25, 66:18, 79:19 exist [1] - 4:22 existed [2] - 25:6, 25:18 expect [2] - 42:20, 81:8 expense [8] - 27:25,

29:4, 29:8, 30:19, 51:23, 52:15, 54:15, 54:20 expenses [27] - 14:13, 21:23, 22:3, 23:25, 28:10, 32:13, 32:18, 38:8. 40:3. 41:14. 41:19, 41:24, 42:4, 42:21, 43:9, 43:14, 44:14, 47:10, 47:13, 48:17, 48:18, 52:25, 54:3, 58:25, 69:3, 70:12, 71:9 experience [2] - 4:21, 17:8 expert [9] - 4:16, 5:13, 16:17, 16:22, 29:21, 29:23, 68:12, 84:19 expert's [1] - 84:18 expertise [1] - 13:11 expire [1] - 81:6 explain [1] - 62:14 extended [1] - 81:9 extent [1] - 5:18

F

fact [2] - 23:1, 84:21

fair [8] - 18:8, 19:10,

fairly [3] - 10:18,

10:20, 41:3

19:11, 19:24, 39:13,

53:11, 55:15, 55:16

falls [1] - 5:14 February [5] - 36:15, 37:2, 70:18, 70:22, 74:8 FEDERAL [2] - 1:24, 88:5 Federal [1] - 88:20 federal [5] - 13:1, 13:7, 39:3, 46:4, 66:10 fee [27] - 35:21, 35:25, 37:20, 45:1, 46:9, 58:12, 63:11, 63:14, 63:16, 63:19, 63:20, 63:22, 63:23, 63:24, 64:2, 64:4, 64:9, 64:15, 64:25, 65:2, 65:11, 65:16, 75:5, 75:8, 75:24, 77:12, 79.4 fees [22] - 8:10, 8:17, 9:4, 10:1, 32:12, 36:8, 52:24, 52:25, 53:11, 58:25, 60:6, 60:10, 63:8, 63:12, 64:10, 65:1, 73:8, 75:10, 76:7, 77:6,

few [3] - 9:12, 17:14, 65:20 figure [5] - 23:3, 26:11, 49:13, 49:18, 83:18 file [8] - 26:8, 26:11, 26:13, 26:19, 28:9, 28:20, 52:1, 80:21 files [2] - 17:20, 52:5 fill [1] - 85:6 filling [1] - 84:22 final [9] - 38:11, 38:13, 78:1, 78:8, 78:11, 78:13, 78:18, 78:23, 78:25 finally [1] - 85:1 financial [3] - 19:4, 21:9, 21:19 fine [5] - 30:8, 80:19, 80:20, 82:19, 84:2 finger [1] - 37:6 fingernail [1] - 37:4 finish [1] - 33:22 finishes [1] - 5:3 firm [27] - 14:4, 15:7, 21:9, 21:19, 21:23, 25:21, 27:24, 29:4, 29:12, 35:25, 39:7, 40:14, 45:21, 46:8, 46:9, 55:18, 55:24, 57:10, 58:2, 61:22, 63:13, 64:2, 64:10, 77:5, 79:10, 84:18, 84:20 firm's [1] - 62:4 first [8] - 53:25, 61:22, 62:3, 62:5, 71:17, 72:18, 73:23, 84:14 five [2] - 17:13, 82:25 flip [2] - 55:10, 55:15 following [2] - 4:9, 87.2 follows [4] - 30:9, 59:20, 67:20, 78:6 FOR [3] - 2:3, 2:14,

FRIDAY [2] - 1:15, 4:1 full [1] - 16:18 function [3] - 11:19, 12:3, 14:25 funds [1] - 45:17

G

garbage [4] - 18:24, 19:1 Gardephe [1] - 81:6 Gardner [11] - 28:7, 47:14, 48:13, 48:19, 48:22, 49:13, 51:9, 51:14, 67:12, 72:7, 75:16 gather [1] - 14:3 **GB**[1] - 34:8 general [2] - 43:10, 65:21 generally [5] - 10:22, 13:18, 28:25, 62:14, 68:17 gentlemen [5] - 7:1, 13:6, 50:10, 50:22, 79:22 Geoff [2] - 35:21, 45:21 Geoffrey [5] - 38:22, 47:17, 67:12, 72:20, 72:25 given [2] - 6:21, 27:1 Global [1] - 74:24 Google [1] - 55:3 GOVERNMENT [1] -3:3 Government [42] -4:16, 4:17, 6:5, 6:9, 6:20, 8:23, 9:15, 12:4, 12:7, 12:16, 14:4, 18:5, 24:14, 26:2, 26:3, 26:7, 27:3, 27:5, 27:23, 31:5, 31:22, 31:23, 33:8, 33:11, 33:20, 33:23, 39:8, 47:4, 47:6, 52:13, 52:14, 65:13, 65:15, 65:17, 77:11, 77:15, 79:20, 80:8, 81:2, 83:2, 83:25, 86:13 government [5] - 7:20, 7:24, 10:13, 11:6, 46:4 Government's [3] -49:10, 56:14, 81:11 greater [2] - 61:7, 61:9 Greg [9] - 47:17, 59:13, 59:23, 60:1,

60:5, 61:11, 67:12,

69:17, 72:14

Gregory [1] - 74:14

gritty [1] - 62:10

Group [1] - 71:24

Group's [3] - 8:10,
9:4, 15:12

guess [1] - 10:20

guy [1] - 61:1

Н

half [23] - 6:8, 7:8, 12:6, 17:23, 19:13, 20:11, 20:24, 40:25, 63:17, 63:23, 63:25, 64:3, 64:11, 64:14, 64:17, 64:18, 64:19, 65:14, 65:15, 65:16, 65:18, 86:2, 86:19 hand [2] - 83:7, 83:8 HANNA [2] - 2:4, 2:9 happy [3] - 5:21, 5:23, 83:20 hard [2] - 14:14, 24:13 heard [8] - 4:21, 18:23, 18:25, 22:11, 55:1, 55:2, 57:19, 61:1 hearsay [1] - 8:20 held [1] - 88:10 help [1] - 18:14 helped [2] - 17:2, 18:18 hereby [1] - 88:7 Hernandez [2] - 34:15, 36:9 Hills [1] - 46:7 HINO [3] - 1:23, 88:5, 88:19 Hino [1] - 88:20 HINO-SPAAN [3] -1:23, 88:5, 88:19 Hino-Spaan [1] -88:20 hold [1] - 24:17 Honor [47] - 4:9, 4:24, 5:5, 5:9, 6:3, 6:7, 6:19, 18:21, 23:23, 24:19, 28:18, 32:23, 49:5. 50:17. 51:5. 61:18, 62:20, 68:5, 68:7, 72:2, 78:4, 79:20, 80:9, 80:11, 80:16, 80:22, 81:4, 81:14, 81:16, 81:22, 82:2, 82:11, 82:14, 82:25, 83:7, 83:10, 83:12, 83:24, 84:10, 84:13, 85:7, 85:18, 86:13, 86:15, 86:19,

2.16

33:25

40:18

69:14

85:23

foregoing [1] - 88:9

form [3] - 17:18,

50:12, 79:25

format [1] - 88:11

foundation [2] - 26:6,

Foundation [2] - 36:3,

four [3] - 9:14, 13:24,

Friday [2] - 82:6,

86:24, 86:25 HONORABLE [1] - 1:3 hour [7] - 10:4, 50:23, 84:20, 86:2, 86:8 hours [4] - 84:15, 84:17, 84:22, 86:20 hundred [1] - 17:14 hypothetical [7] -31:9, 57:1, 57:4, 68:25, 69:1, 75:4, 86:10

ı

I.. [1] - 85:4 i.e [1] - 42:1 idea [13] - 27:7, 38:4, 38:6, 39:2, 49:9, 49:10, 49:11, 56:12, 56:14, 56:15, 77:25, 78.7 ideas [2] - 51:3, 56:13 identical [1] - 24:21 identified [1] - 37:18 identifying [3] - 26:4, 26:10, 26:12 immediate [1] - 82:4 immediately [1] - 4:13 important [3] - 22:2, 22:5, 22:8 improper [3] - 33:24, 44:12, 44:15 improperly [1] - 33:21 IN [1] - 2:14 in-camera [2] - 80:25, 82:13 inaccurate [1] - 67:1 inappropriate [1] -84:16 include [2] - 8:2, 76:8 included [3] - 31:5, 53:12, 63:23 including [5] - 13:5, 21:5, 21:6, 56:6, inclusion [1] - 75:17 incomplete [3] - 22:6, 27:7, 27:9 incorrect [3] - 75:6, 75:9, 75:10 incorrectly [1] - 75:5 incurred [3] - 29:4, 29:8, 40:3 indicated [4] - 64:2, 64:4, 64:15, 85:9 indicates [1] - 78:23 indicating [2] - 58:9, 78:22 individuals [1] - 16:10 information [26] -

4:24, 4:25, 21:11, 22:6, 24:12, 26:4, 26:10, 26:12, 26:18, 26:19, 27:12, 28:22, 28:25, 29:19, 30:22, 32:21, 47:5, 49:20, 49:22, 49:25, 50:18, 52:4, 52:7, 66:7, 77:11, 77:17 initial [4] - 67:10, 67:23, 68:2, 78:13 input [3] - 29:8, 30:2, 30.11 inputs [3] - 19:4, 19:9, 22:4 inputted [1] - 29:19 inquiry [1] - 31:25 instance [3] - 16:16, 29:21, 41:4 instruct [4] - 13:21, 13:23, 13:24, 85:22 instructions [2] -85:14, 85:21 intend [1] - 83:1 interest [7] - 43:18, 44:10, 52:25, 53:10, 73:18, 73:19, 73:22

73:18, 73:19, 73:22 interim [6] - 77:25, 78:8, 78:10, 78:18, 78:23, 78:24 interpret [2] - 64:12, 65:11 interpretation [10] -20:8, 20:10, 20:21, 20:23, 63:15, 63:25,

75:8 interpreted [4] -63:14, 63:22, 63:24, 64:9 interpreting [1] - 75:5 invoice [8] - 27:17,

64:24, 65:2, 65:8,

29:23, 30:16, 31:1, 31:3, 31:8, 40:15, 40:16
invoices [7] - 27:16, 27:19, 27:24, 27:25, 28:1, 28:3, 29:13
involved [1] - 13:1

involved [1] - 13:1 IPSY [3] - 79:11, 79:12, 79:13 ironically [1] - 51:1 irrelevant [1] - 19:25 issue [6] - 4:6, 5:13, 81:24, 83:12, 85:10, 85:11

issues [5] - 50:12, 50:20, 57:13, 57:15, 79:25

item [2] - 42:9, 44:21

items [1] - 54:20

James [1] - 10:8 **JAMES** [1] - 1:3 January [5] - 33:18, 36:6. 42:15. 43:3. 75:22 Jencks [2] - 4:18, 6:13 Jenness [2] - 83:14, 83:15 **job** [2] - 6:19, 16:6 JOHN [4] - 1:8, 2:15, 3:3, 7:3 John [2] - 36:20, 65:18 Johnson [13] - 28:6, 35:21, 36:1, 38:22, 42:13, 42:14, 45:22, 46:14, 47:17, 67:12, 72:20, 72:25, 78:20 Johnson's [1] - 27:25 Judge [1] - 81:6 **JUDGE** [1] - 1:3 Judicial [1] - 88:12 Judy [13] - 22:16, 24:1, 25:8, 29:6, 38:1, 38:3, 38:5, 52:5, 53:13, 78:14, 78:15, 78:25, 79:1 jurors [1] - 84:7 jury [21] - 4:5, 6:17, 6:24, 6:25, 16:23, 18:3, 21:18, 22:10, 34:13, 36:24, 38:21, 40:8, 41:20, 50:21, 56:24, 57:3, 62:14, 80:5, 83:20, 85:13, 85:21

K

keep [1] - 34:16 kept [1] - 40:11 Kim [1] - 10:8 kinds [1] - 43:8 knowing [2] - 31:12, 31:15 knowledge [11] -10:14, 14:7, 14:9, 19:7, 36:4, 66:2, 66:3, 66:4, 66:15, 66:16, 84:21 knowledgeable [2] -78:12, 78:24

L

lacks [1] - 36:4

ladies [4] - 7:1, 50:10, 50:22, 79:22 lag [1] - 29:18 largely [1] - 45:14 last [17] - 7:8, 10:19, 12:6, 16:8, 17:22, 18:8, 18:14, 19:12, 19:23, 20:11, 20:24, 26:20, 62:3, 62:5, 69:14, 76:11, 81:17 Law [1] - 71:24 LAW [1] - 2:17 law [12] - 4:16, 4:20, 21:9, 21:19, 21:23, 27:24, 29:12, 40:14, 57:10, 63:13, 64:2, 77:5 lawyer [1] - 65:11

lay [1] - 26:5 least [2] - 30:3, 30:11 leave [1] - 86:22 led [1] - 55:8 leeway [1] - 62:19 left [2] - 58:20, 74:12 legal [28] - 20:8, 20:10, 20:21, 20:22, 31:17, 32:12, 34:1, 35:21, 35:25, 36:8, 37:20, 46:9, 53:10, 55:17, 55:21, 55:23, 59:5, 63:8, 63:12, 63:17. 64:22. 65:1. 75:10. 75:24. 76:7. 77:6, 77:10 less [6] - 58:23, 61:8,

86:11 letter [1] - 5:20 letters [1] - 14:17 likely [1] - 84:9 line [3] - 42:9, 44:21, 53:16 list [3] - 75:9, 83:2,

61:14, 69:21, 69:23,

83:13 **listed** [14] - 42:10, 49:8, 55:14, 55:19, 56:2, 56:10, 69:4, 69:8, 69:15, 69:20, 70:12, 71:4, 71:22, 72:19 **listen** [2] - 25:10,

67:19 listening [1] - 83:5 lists [1] - 54:20 loaded [1] - 26:16 look [19] - 4:15, 23:4, 23:5, 23:7, 23:12,

23:20, 25:5, 25:16, 26:4, 26:10, 32:20, 33:11, 35:19, 47:7,

51:20, 53:17, 53:25, 58:9, 72:8

looked [9] - 5:10, 24:7, 24:8, 24:9, 24:13, 25:4, 40:5, 58:1, 60:17

looking [5] - 34:14, 36:10, 36:23, 74:22, 83:13

looks [1] - 37:11

LOS [1] - 88:3

М

Los [1] - 2:12

lunch [1] - 5:9

mail [8] - 6:9, 10:12, 11:3, 11:23, 38:1, 70:16, 78:21, 82:17 mailed [1] - 25:8 mails [15] - 4:10, 5:10, 5:16, 11:9, 11:14, 12:12, 12:13, 12:15, 12:17, 12:19, 13:2, 13:7, 13:10, 13:16, 13:21 maintained [2] - 11:8, 15:11 March [1] - 45:4 Mark [3] - 45:5, 45:8, 45:16 mark [3] - 45:15, 70:9, 76:14 marks [1] - 17:15 materials [1] - 4:22 math [5] - 32:15, 52:18, 53:4, 55:9, 65:6 matter [23] - 11:10, 12:8, 12:14, 13:1, 13:8, 14:1, 19:13, 19:20, 19:21, 20:7, 20:15, 21:2, 35:22, 36:1. 45:22. 47:20. 60:17. 63:9. 69:4. 76:8, 78:19, 78:20, 88:11 matters [1] - 29:24 McNicholas [2] -45:19 McNicholas's [1] -37:22 mean [12] - 8:8, 14:14, 19:2, 26:12, 27:22, 41:13, 64:5, 66:9, 76:17, 76:20, 81:15, 85:16

meaning [1] - 23:21

means [2] - 19:3,

meaningful [1] - 61:16

29:23, 30:3, 30:12,

32:23, 33:19, 37:3,

37:4, 37:5, 37:6,

76:21 meant [2] - 53:20, 85:17 meeting [1] - 10:6 mention [2] - 8:11, 25:10 mentioned [2] - 13:19, 13:24 merely [1] - 41:24 met [2] - 9:12, 10:4 Michael [2] - 36:20, 46:25 MICHAEL [2] - 1:8, 2:15 Michelle [4] - 62:24, 63:9, 76:13, 79:4 Microsoft [2] - 17:20 midafternoon [1] -50:9 **might** [10] - 7:25, 8:3, 8:4, 8:7, 8:9, 8:12, 8:24, 12:17, 22:23 million [29] - 35:10, 35:13, 35:19, 35:25, 36:7, 37:19, 38:25, 45:1, 45:4, 45:12, 45:25, 46:9, 46:12, 57:10, 58:11, 59:8, 59:16, 59:25, 60:2, 60:13, 61:13, 61:17, 71:23, 72:10, 72:20, 72:23, 72:24, 74:2 million-six [1] - 58:11 mind [5] - 29:25, 30:5, 30:13, 33:7, 34:16 minus [7] - 50:18, 52:24, 52:25, 60:21 minute [1] - 14:19 minutes [4] - 50:10, 50:18, 83:10, 86:11 misspoke [1] - 5:4 misstates [1] - 61:24 mix [1] - 43:13 mixed [1] - 70:20 mock [3] - 7:7, 7:10, 7.13 model [2] - 19:4 moment [3] - 18:21, 32:23, 68:4 momentarily [3] -24:5, 38:15, 39:16 Monday [6] - 9:12, 9:15, 9:19, 10:6, 80:14, 85:13 money [40] - 33:20, 34:10, 35:4, 36:21, 37:9, 37:16, 38:22, 43:3, 43:25, 45:11, 45:14, 46:19, 49:14, 49:19, 49:23, 50:2,

53:22, 55:25, 57:10, 58:20, 58:23, 59:13, 59:22, 60:5, 61:11, 62:4, 66:21, 66:25, 67:2, 67:10, 67:13, 67:22, 67:25, 68:1, 68:2, 69:18, 72:6, 76:23, 79:11 monies [7] - 42:13, 42:14, 42:25, 43:6, 48:22, 60:10, 60:11 month [3] - 18:8, 18:10, 18:14 monthly [2] - 51:9, 51:15 months [1] - 10:19 morning [5] - 6:15, 6:18, 80:15, 83:21, 84:1 most [1] - 84:9 move [12] - 12:22, 21:14, 25:1, 28:18, 34:20, 42:22, 49:4, 54:17, 61:18, 67:14, 72:16, 80:11 MR [163] - 2:16, 4:6, 4:9, 5:4, 5:9, 6:3, 6:18, 7:5, 7:18, 7:20, 8:13, 8:16, 8:19, 8:22, 8:25, 9:3, 9:16, 9:18, 9:20, 9:24, 10:15, 10:18, 12:22, 12:25, 16:25, 17:4, 18:21, 18:23, 19:25, 20:4, 20:17, 20:22, 21:14, 21:16, 21:20, 21:22, 21:25, 22:2, 23:22, 24:3, 24:19, 25:1, 25:4, 25:12, 25:14, 28:11, 28:13, 28:18, 28:20, 30:7, 30:15, 32:23, 32:25, 33:25, 34:3, 34:15, 34:20, 34:23, 36:3, 36:9, 39:4, 39:6, 40:18, 40:21, 42:22, 42:24, 49:4, 49:7, 50:8, 50:17, 51:5, 51:11, 51:14, 54:17, 54:19, 59:5, 59:9, 59:17, 59:24, 60:19, 60:21, 61:18, 61:21, 61:24, 62:1, 62:16, 62:18, 62:19, 62:22, 63:3, 63:7, 64:12, 64:16, 66:14, 67:14, 67:17, 67:19, 67:24, 68:4, 68:7, 68:9, 69:2, 69:6, 69:24, 70:11, 70:14, 71:13,

71:21, 72:2, 72:6, 72:15, 73:2, 75:1, 77:4, 77:19, 77:22, 78:12, 79:15, 79:19, 80:8, 80:11, 80:18, 80:21, 81:1, 81:4, 81:13, 81:15, 81:22, 82:2, 82:7, 82:9, 82:11, 82:14, 82:20, 82:23, 82:25, 83:4, 83:6, 83:9, 83:12, 83:24, 84:3, 84:9, 84:13, 84:25, 85:3, 85:7, 85:9, 85:15, 85:18, 85:24, 86:2, 86:3, 86:4, 86:5, 86:7, 86:10, 86:13, 86:15, 86:16, 86:22, 86:24, 86:25

Ν

36:19

80:15, 84:17

21:12, 86:22

needs [1] - 6:1

83:17, 84:5

next [1] - 81:8

None [1] - 3:15

54:17, 61:19

North [1] - 2:11

notebooks [1] - 16:18

76:21

60:10

notes [2] - 17:16, 17:22 nothing [12] - 5:17, 5:22, 32:19, 42:10, 48:11, 49:18, 56:10, 68:5, 78:23, 80:24, 81:19, 82:12 notice [1] - 48:4 noticed [2] - 43:9, 84:19 November [6] - 48:5, 48:7, 50:1, 50:4, 75:18, 75:22 number [12] - 33:7, 38:14, 38:16, 42:1, 42:19, 47:12, 57:25, 64:20, 65:7, 65:10, 70:1, 75:9 numbers [2] - 41:3, 64:16

0 name [2] - 22:22, o'clock [2] - 79:23, namely [1] - 33:20 80:19 Nationwide [4] - 41:1, O661 [1] - 35:9 41:12, 42:1, 71:4 objection [16] - 7:18, need [3] - 50:23, 8:13, 19:25, 20:17, 25:12, 28:11, 33:25, needed [3] - 21:11, 39:4, 51:11, 59:5, 61:24, 62:16, 64:12, 72:2, 77:19, 86:17 net [3] - 53:1, 60:6, **Objection** [2] - 36:3, 40.18 never [21] - 4:21, **objections** [3] - 8:25, 14:20, 16:20, 16:22, 9:20, 81:16 24:9, 25:4, 25:5, obviously [5] - 50:19, 25:16, 25:20, 25:22, 80:13, 81:14, 83:19, 28:4, 31:13, 46:20, 84:13 46:21, 55:1, 55:5, occasion [1] - 4:15 55:21, 55:23, 58:1, occurred [1] - 7:13 **occurrence** [1] - 10:19 Newport [1] - 2:19 occurring [1] - 7:11 October [1] - 82:1 NICOLA [2] - 2:4, 2:9 **OF** [7] - 1:2, 1:5, 1:14, **nitty** [1] - 62:10 2:1, 88:1, 88:3, 88:4 nitty-gritty [1] - 62:10 offered [1] - 3:15 nobody [4] - 7:12, offhand [1] - 43:22 13:3, 25:6, 51:18 **OFFICES** [1] - 2:17 Official [1] - 88:20 none [6] - 4:23, 8:16, OFFICIAL [3] - 1:24, 43:6, 67:12, 73:1, 88:1, 88:5 often [1] - 41:25 nonresponsive [8] old [3] - 54:1, 70:9, 12:23, 21:14, 25:2, 71.1 34:21, 42:22, 49:5, omit [1] - 30:19 omitted [1] - 30:19 **noon** [1] - 85:12 once [1] - 83:7

one [35] - 18:21, 21:5,

21:6, 22:4, 23:11,

37:7, 37:18, 38:23, 40:22, 41:4, 41:7, 41:12, 42:8, 51:18, 52:6, 55:20, 57:2, 68:4, 73:18, 73:22, 74:20, 75:21, 75:22, 82:14, 83:12, 85:3 One [2] - 33:11, 35:24 ones [1] - 14:16 oOo[1] - 87:5 opened [1] - 83:23 opening [2] - 86:3, 86:7 opening-close [2] -86:3, 86:7 opinion [1] - 62:3 opinions [3] - 21:18, 50:12, 79:25 orally [1] - 83:6 order [2] - 21:12, 21:17 ordered [1] - 81:6 originated [1] - 56:15 otherwise [2] - 23:14, 28:17 out-of-pocket [1] -52:24 output [4] - 19:10, 24:2. 38:1. 38:2 outputs [2] - 19:5, 25:7 outside [2] - 32:1, 72:3 overruled [16] - 8:14, 9:1, 9:21, 10:16, 17:1, 20:1, 20:18, 23:24, 36:5, 40:19, 51:12, 59:7, 61:25, 63:4, 64:13, 72:4 owed [8] - 21:24, 43:25, 59:13, 59:22, 73:8, 75:24, 76:7, 77:10 owned [4] - 43:16, 43:20, 44:10, 44:13

P

p.m [3] - 50:16, 87:4 P.M [2] - 1:16, 4:2 page [18] - 14:16, 22:18, 40:21, 40:23, 43:6, 53:25, 54:1, 57:25, 69:25, 70:11, 70:14, 73:16, 73:17, 73:19, 73:21, 74:4, 74:6, 88:11

15:17, 16:10, 16:16,

PAGE [1] - 3:2 pages [3] - 17:13, 64:5 paid [16] - 41:14, 41:16, 41:22, 45:12, 48:17, 48:20, 48:23, 49:23, 53:10, 55:18, 55:22, 66:25, 67:1, 67:7, 68:3, 71:6 papers [13] - 14:23, 14:24, 15:6, 15:7, 15:13, 15:19, 16:18, 17:5, 17:10, 17:16, 17:18, 18:11, 18:13 parameters [1] - 83:19 parentheticals [1] -73:12 part [11] - 10:22, 12:11, 16:6, 22:4, 29:3, 34:20, 39:12, 49:14, 63:24, 65:13, particular [6] - 22:3, 24:20, 27:17, 27:20, 31:18, 44:21 partners [3] - 44:1, 44:8, 44:9 party [2] - 28:1, 28:3 pass [1] - 29:14 pasted [1] - 5:19 **path** [1] - 55:8 Pause [2] - 18:22, 83:11 pay [2] - 37:9, 44:13 payable [1] - 41:12 payment [35] - 5:18, 5:19, 35:10, 37:10, 38:18, 39:3, 41:25, 45:18, 46:3, 46:5, 46:6, 46:7, 46:11, 50:4, 58:7, 58:15, 58:21, 59:13, 59:22, 60:5, 60:11, 61:4, 61:6, 61:11, 67:11, 67:23, 71:22, 71:23, 72:8, 72:11, 72:13, 72:24, 73:6, 73:23, 75:18 payments [13] - 40:5, 40:9, 40:23, 51:9, 51:15, 53:21, 54:11, 72:19, 74:14, 74:23, 75:21, 75:25, 76:4 payor [1] - 45:5 PDFs [2] - 14:15, 17:20 pendency [1] - 13:7 people [5] - 7:14, 13:24, 42:7, 44:1, 44.9 percent [24] - 19:16,

19:17, 20:16, 21:1, 21:3, 43:20, 63:17, 63:23, 63:25, 64:3, 64:11, 64:14, 64:17, 64:18, 64:19, 65:14, 65:15, 65:16, 65:18, 66:4, 66:8, 66:14, 66:16, 79:11 percipient [1] - 84:21 perform [4] - 17:3, 21:7, 21:12, 21:13 performed [2] - 15:14, **performing** [1] - 19:3 period [1] - 49:15 permitted [1] - 80:13 personal [4] - 36:4, 43:13, 44:13, 44:14 Phan [7] - 28:6, 62:10, 62:11, 62:24, 63:9, 76:13, 79:4 Phan's [1] - 63:9 phase [1] - 82:1 phone [1] - 84:5 phrase [3] - 18:24, 18:25, 19:1 placed [1] - 4:19 Plaintiff [1] - 1:6 **PLAINTIFF** [1] - 2:3 planning [2] - 84:22, 86:14 Plaza [1] - 2:18 **plus** [4] - 48:4, 50:18, 57:11, 57:15 pocket [1] - 52:24 point [4] - 6:18, 59:14, 60:12, 73:6 pointed [2] - 36:7, 70:8 policy [1] - 13:18 portion [2] - 56:16, 56:21 posed [1] - 82:5 position [6] - 77:5, 77:7, 77:8, 81:12, 81:15, 81:17 possible [3] - 8:17, 20:3, 47:2 potentially [1] - 20:21 practicalitywise [1] -82:15 practice [2] - 29:2, 29.12 precise [1] - 75:12 preliminary [1] - 86:1 preparation [2] -81:18, 81:24 prepare [4] - 16:4, 17:2, 18:3, 18:14 prepared [8] - 15:8,

16:22, 51:7, 66:1, preparing [2] - 18:7, 66:18 presence [4] - 4:5, 6:25, 50:21, 80:5 present [3] - 7:10, 7:14, 16:13 preserve [2] - 13:21, 13:25 pretty [3] - 32:16, 52:19, 53:4 prevent [1] - 11:23 previously [1] - 83:15 principle [1] - 19:6 printout [3] - 39:22, 54:5, 70:5 printouts [1] - 24:13 privy [1] - 7:21 PRO [1] - 2:14 problem [1] - 85:19 procedures [1] - 15:14 proceedings [4] -18:22, 83:11, 87:2, 88:10 PROCEEDINGS [1] -1:14 Proceedings [1] -87.4 produced [3] - 4:12, 4:24, 48:2 product [4] - 5:14, 5:15, 6:12, 17:9 proffer [1] - 80:16 program [5] - 11:18, 12:2, 12:9, 14:21, 22:21 Promise [2] - 62:11, properly [1] - 44:16 **propose** [1] - 80:12 proposition [1] - 6:14 proprietor [1] - 44:17 prosecutors [4] - 6:6, 7:21, 7:24, 10:13 protocol [1] - 29:17 provide [8] - 5:21, 5:23, 6:4, 21:18, 68:23, 80:15, 83:1, 85.13 provided [20] - 21:11, 24:14, 26:2, 27:6, 28:15, 29:20, 30:20, 30:23. 31:5. 31:23. 47:5, 49:20, 52:11, 56:24, 66:7, 68:25, 77:11, 77:12, 77:16, 84:15 provides [1] - 4:16

providing [1] - 83:13 public [2] - 19:7, 74:19 publicly [1] - 43:23 pull [13] - 39:18, 69:2, 69:6, 69:24, 70:15, 70:19, 71:13, 72:15, 72:16, 73:2, 75:1, 75:15, 76:3 **pulled** [2] - 63:17, 65:16 purpose [1] - 15:13 pursuant [2] - 80:12, put [11] - 5:20, 17:18, 29:4, 29:14, 49:9, 49:12, 49:17, 51:16, 56:12, 56:17, 57:3 putting [1] - 57:13 Q

QUESTION [5] -22:25, 23:3, 23:7, 23:11, 23:14 questions [14] - 7:24. 8:3. 8:6. 22:12. 25:13, 32:7, 57:6, 65:20, 65:21, 70:1, 70:4, 73:3, 77:1, 79:15 quick [1] - 82:14 QuickBooks [33] -23:6, 23:8, 23:20, 24:1, 24:7, 24:8, 24:21, 26:1, 26:14, 26:16, 26:22, 28:9, 28:10, 28:14, 28:15, 28:20, 28:22, 29:5, 29:7, 29:9, 29:14, 29:20, 30:3, 30:11, 31:4, 32:20, 40:11, 40:16, 47:14, 52:1, 52:4, 60:17, 68:16

R

raise [2] - 4:6, 83:25 raised [1] - 4:10 reach [2] - 21:8, 21:17 reaching [1] - 71:8 read [15] - 23:17, 30:7, 30:9, 59:17, 59:20, 63:14, 63:19, 63:20, 64:9, 67:17, 67:20, 78:4, 78:6, 79:2, 79:7 **REALTIME** [1] - 88:5

reason [8] - 12:20, 16:1, 25:4, 25:16,

54:23 reasonable [1] - 58:14 rebuttal [3] - 86:5, 86:9, 86:14 receipt [1] - 58:12 receive [10] - 42:13, 42:14, 42:18, 43:3, 48:13, 48:19, 48:22, 50:2, 56:16, 65:7 received [19] - 26:13, 42:10, 42:20, 42:24, 42:25, 46:19, 48:10, 48:14, 50:4, 56:9, 56:21, 66:21, 66:25, 67:2, 67:6, 67:9, 67:21, 68:2, 76:12 receiving [2] - 67:24, 68:1 recess [2] - 6:23, 50:10 Recess [1] - 50:16 recognize [3] - 71:25, 72:5, 72:11 recollection [6] - 8:22, 12:2, 18:15, 18:18, 22:25, 40:10 record [7] - 14:24, 30:9, 32:24, 59:20, 67:20, 78:6, 80:6 recorded [1] - 47:14 records [9] - 40:5, 40:23, 41:11, 41:19, 41:24, 41:25, 46:18, 46:20, 49:15 recover [1] - 13:10 Recross [1] - 3:4 **RECROSS** [1] - 77:3 Recross-Examination [1] -**RECROSS-EXAMINATION** [1] -

28:17, 31:13, 42:2,

red [5] - 42:9, 50:5, 56:9, 56:12, 73:11 **REDIRECT** [1] - 68:8 Redirect [1] - 3:4 Redirect-Examination [1] -3.4 refer [4] - 12:17, 12:21, 17:7, 17:9 referred [3] - 18:10, 18:13, 53:19 referring [1] - 53:15 reflect [4] - 15:15, 29:9, 36:21, 50:5 reflected [7] - 24:21, 24:22, 34:13, 37:12, 63:1, 63:5, 72:3,

scroll [1] - 73:14

sealed [1] - 87:2

second [2] - 34:20,

Section [1] - 88:8

see [52] - 22:13, 22:14,

26:25, 27:16, 27:19,

27:23, 32:10, 33:12,

77:20

82.1

SE [1] - 2:14

48:25, 68:16, 75:21 reflects [2] - 24:1, 46:12 refresh [2] - 18:14, 18:18 refreshed [1] - 23:1 regard [1] - 5:15 regards [1] - 82:16 Regnier [14] - 22:16, 24:2, 24:4, 25:8, 29:6, 38:1, 38:3, 38:5, 52:5, 53:13, 78:14, 78:15, 78:25, regular [3] - 10:18, 10:20, 79:23 regulations [1] - 88:12 relate [1] - 27:24 related [16] - 14:12, 23:25, 32:13, 32:18, 37:22, 42:21, 46:14, 47:10, 47:13, 51:23, 52:15, 69:3, 71:9, 76:11, 77:14, 82:17 relates [6] - 12:3, 12:16, 32:18, 45:7, 51:20, 65:1 relating [11] - 5:10, 6:6, 6:9, 12:7, 13:25, 14:10, 29:13, 57:14, 62:10, 62:23, 83:19 release [4] - 81:5, 81:9, 81:18, 81:21 relevance [1] - 63:3 Relevance [2] - 8:13, 62:16 reliable [1] - 19:9 relied [4] - 27:1, 28:15, 29:6, 29:19 rely [3] - 19:9, 23:11, 63:10 remain [3] - 65:8, 65:10, 79:16 remaining [1] - 72:23 remember [20] -22:19, 22:22, 22:24, 46:1, 50:11, 66:22, 66:23, 68:20, 71:14, 72:22, 73:3, 73:8, 74:17, 75:6, 75:7, 77:23, 77:24, 79:5, 79:6, 79:24 remitter [1] - 69:12 repeat [3] - 6:16, 30:6, 60.8 repeating [1] - 6:15 reported [1] - 88:10 **REPORTER** [3] - 1:24, 88:1, 88:6 Reporter [1] - 88:20

REPORTER'S [1] -1.14 represent [4] - 4:19, 22:15, 33:9, 72:13 represented [1] -83:15 represents [1] - 72:14 repurchase [2] -63:11, 63:18 request [2] - 81:4, 81:18 require [1] - 81:20 research [3] - 5:12, 50:13, 80:2 reserving [1] - 50:19 rests [1] - 79:20 resume [1] - 79:23 resumed [2] - 3:3, 7:4 **RESUMED** [1] - 7:3 review [4] - 4:14, 14:8, 24:23, 28:3 reviewed [3] - 18:4, 25:25, 47:16 Ricci [5] - 61:1, 61:6, 61:11, 74:16, 74:20 rights [1] - 50:19 rise [2] - 50:15, 80:4 Roasters [3] - 73:4, 73:24, 74:5 ROOM [1] - 1:24 rounded [1] - 70:13 row [7] - 36:9, 36:10, 71:21, 71:22, 72:8, 72:9, 76:11 rows [3] - 71:17, 71:19, 72:18 Rule [2] - 6:13, 80:12

S

SACR-19-00061-JVS [1] - 1:7 Sagel [2] - 10:8, 13:5 **SAGEL** [14] - 2:5, 79:19, 80:8, 81:15, 82:14, 82:20, 82:23, 84:13, 85:3, 86:3, 86:5, 86:10, 86:22, 86:24 **SANTA** [3] - 1:17, 1:25, 4:1 Santa [1] - 2:7 save [4] - 12:12, 12:15, 12:17, 12:19 saved [4] - 11:23, 12:13, 12:14, 13:16 saw [5] - 24:25, 34:8, 46:20, 62:11, 63:23 scope [8] - 31:19, 31:20, 31:22, 32:1,

33:13, 33:14, 34:6, 36:12, 39:10, 40:5, 41:1, 41:4, 41:6, 41:8, 41:11, 44:23, 44:25, 45:5, 45:6, 45:13, 45:15, 45:19, 45:20, 46:18, 47:7, 51:21, 51:24, 52:21, 52:22, 53:2, 53:3, 54:1, 54:11, 54:13, 56:3, 57:23, 58:17, 61:2, 61:3, 61:5, 69:8, 73:14, 74:4, 74:5, 74:7, 75:14, 78:21 seeing [1] - 84:13 self [1] - 81:7 self-surrender [1] -81:7 **SELNA** [1] - 1:3 send [2] - 35:4, 82:17 sensitive [2] - 85:10, 85:11 sent [4] - 11:9, 70:17, 71:23, 72:10 separate [1] - 87:3 **September** [6] - 75:13, 81:7, 81:10, 81:20, 82:6, 82:7 serious [6] - 13:1, 19:13, 19:20, 20:7, 20:15, 21:1 served [3] - 84:24, 85:1, 85:2 servers [1] - 15:12 service [2] - 84:25, session [2] - 80:25, 82:13 setting [1] - 21:3 settings [1] - 15:3 settlement [30] -31:18, 42:10, 42:16, 42:25, 48:4, 48:10, 48:14, 48:20, 48:22, 51:10, 51:16, 56:2, 56:10, 57:23, 58:3, 59:4, 64:3, 66:25, 67:1, 67:7, 67:10,

67:23, 68:2, 69:17, 72:7, 72:14, 72:21, 76:13, 76:23, 77:6 Settlement [1] - 52:24 settlements [4] -66:22, 67:3, 67:10, 67:22 several [1] - 23:22 **share** [1] - 59:4 sheet [3] - 48:25, 55:10, 78:2 shortcut [1] - 60:22 show [5] - 6:20, 22:10, 33:10, 34:13, 36:24 showing [1] - 26:19 **shown** [1] - 43:6 similar [2] - 47:16, 75:15 simple [5] - 20:14, 32:16, 52:19, 53:4, 55:9 **six** [2] - 10:19, 58:11 sizable [1] - 74:1 slightly [1] - 61:13 **small** [2] - 41:3, 41:12 software [8] - 11:18, 12:2, 12:8, 14:20, 21:19, 55:5, 55:7, 57:14 sole [1] - 44:17 someone [3] - 53:22, 84:18, 84:20 **sometime** [1] - 80:14 **sometimes** [1] - 40:14 somewhere [1] -62:11 sorry [14] - 20:19, 24:19, 30:6, 32:23, 44:5, 55:22, 58:8, 60:8, 70:20, 72:16, 78:19, 79:12, 79:13, 86:4 source [3] - 38:25, 46:11, 77:17 sources [3] - 32:21, 52:4, 52:6 **SOUTHERN** [1] - 1:2 **Spaan** [1] - 88:20 SPAAN [3] - 1:23, 88:5, 88:19 Special [1] - 13:5 specific [2] - 8:5, 30.25 specifically [2] - 10:2, 71:17 speculation [2] -10:15, 51:11 spend [1] - 85:21

splitting [1] - 86:14

spot [2] - 19:16, 19:17

spot-on [2] - 19:16, 19:17 spreadsheet [9] -29:9, 47:16, 52:3, 52:5. 53:12. 60:18. 70:16, 70:25, 71:3 spreadsheets [11] -24:1, 24:5, 25:7, 25:10, 29:6, 30:4, 30:12, 31:5, 32:20, 53:14, 53:16 Spring [1] - 2:11 stand [6] - 4:7, 8:23, 14:3, 16:23, 17:8, 18:2 **STAND** [1] - 7:3 standard [1] - 20:21 **STANDBY** [1] - 2:16 started [2] - 4:12, 5:5 **STATE** [1] - 88:4 statement [1] - 42:25 statements [3] - 4:11, 6:11, 15:15 **STATES** [2] - 1:1, 1:5 States [7] - 2:4, 2:5, 2:9, 2:10, 88:6, 88:8, 88:13 **statute** [2] - 4:18, 5:3 stay [1] - 6:1 stenographically [1] -88:10 step [2] - 63:19, 63:20 steps [1] - 27:13 **STEWARD** [2] - 2:17, 2:18 still [3] - 73:7, 74:1, 80:15 stolen [1] - 38:22 stop [1] - 79:22 Street [2] - 2:6, 2:11 STREET [1] - 1:24 stretch [1] - 50:24 stricken [9] - 12:24, 25:3, 28:19, 34:22, 42:23, 49:6, 54:18, 61:20, 67:16 strike [21] - 11:17, 12:22, 15:6, 16:3, 21:14, 24:6, 25:1, 26:5, 27:2, 27:18, 28:18, 33:22, 34:20, 42:13, 42:22, 49:4, 49:8, 54:17, 61:18, 67:14, 79:2 **structure** [1] - 83:22 stuff [1] - 5:17 subject [6] - 11:10, 12:7, 13:18, 79:18, 79:19, 81:16 submission [1] -

tick [1] - 17:15

Timeslips [1] - 22:23

timetable [1] - 65:10

80:20 submit [1] - 82:16 submitted [2] - 50:13, 80.1 substance [1] - 5:10 substantive [2] - 5:13, 5:16 sufficient [1] - 45:17 suggested [1] - 65:2 Suite [3] - 2:6, 2:11, **summaries** [1] - 17:23 summarized [1] -28:22 summary [1] - 30:22 Sunrise [1] - 46:7 **supporting** [1] - 17:23 **supposed** [1] - 62:4 surrender [1] - 81:7 suspend [1] - 11:19 sustained [11] - 7:19, 8:21, 9:17, 21:21, 22:1, 28:12, 34:2, 39:5, 60:20, 62:17, 77:21 switch [1] - 34:16 system [2] - 11:14, 12:13

T

Tabs (33) - 14:11. 14:22. 23:1. 23:4. 23:5, 23:8, 23:21, 24:2, 24:9, 24:12, 24:22, 25:5, 25:15, 25:17, 25:23, 29:14, 37:25, 39:23, 40:12, 40:17, 47:15, 47:19, 54:5, 54:14, 54:20, 54:24, 55:1, 55:2, 57:14, 58:1, 68:16, 70:5 tailor [1] - 5:1 takeaway [1] - 64:10 team [1] - 13:23 temporary [4] - 81:5, 81:9, 81:18, 81:20 ten [3] - 16:8, 33:5, 33:9 term [1] - 15:3 terms [5] - 64:7, 64:8, 81:5, 81:13, 82:5 testified [8] - 29:24, 38:3, 38:5, 41:13, 41:19, 73:11, 74:19, 74:21 testify [9] - 16:5, 16:11, 16:17, 18:3,

18:7, 18:14, 18:19,

testimony [17] - 4:23, 5:10, 6:6, 6:19, 7:15, 10:14, 11:11, 12:8, 14:8, 15:24, 22:10, 22:16, 40:6, 41:10, 41:21, 61:24, 84:3 **THE** [118] - 2:3, 2:14, 3:3, 4:8, 5:2, 5:7, 5:25, 6:16, 6:22, 7:1, 7:3, 7:19, 8:14, 8:15, 8:21, 9:1, 9:2, 9:17, 9:21, 9:22, 10:16, 10:17, 12:24, 17:1, 17:2, 20:1, 20:2, 20:18, 20:19, 21:15, 21:21, 22:1, 23:24, 23:25, 24:17, 25:3, 25:13, 28:12, 28:19, 30:8, 30:14, 34:2, 34:22, 36:5, 36:6, 39:5, 40:19, 40:20, 42:23, 49:6, 50:9, 50:15, 50:22, 50:25, 51:2, 51:12, 51:13, 54:18, 59:7, 59:8, 59:19, 60:20, 61:20, 61:25, 62:17, 62:21, 63:4, 63:5, 64:13, 64:14, 66:11, 67:16, 67:18, 68:6, 72:4, 72:5, 77:2, 77:21, 78:5, 78:10, 79:17, 79:21, 80:4, 80:6, 80:10, 80:17, 80:19, 80:23, 81:3, 81:11, 81:25, 82:4, 82:8, 82:10, 82:12, 82:19, 82:22, 82:24, 83:3, 83:5, 83:8, 83:22, 84:2, 84:6, 84:12, 84:24, 85:5, 85:8, 85:12, 85:16, 85:20, 85:25, 86:6, 86:9, 86:12, 86:21, 86:23, 87:1 therefore [1] - 27:13 third [2] - 28:1, 28:3 third-party [2] - 28:1, 28:3 thoroughness [1] -66:18 thousand [1] - 17:13 three [12] - 4:19, 13:6, 37:17, 37:19, 56:2, 56:6, 64:5, 76:4, 76:6, 76:14, 84:11,

86:20

85:22

Thursday [2] - 84:10,

83:14, 84:16

timing [1] - 82:15 Title [1] - 88:8 today [2] - 15:19, 80:17 together [1] - 17:18 took [5] - 6:23, 8:23, 18:2, 39:9, 64:19 top [3] - 52:23, 69:12, 78:21 topic [4] - 8:9, 10:1, 31:25, 83:23 topics [11] - 8:4, 8:7, 8:11, 8:17, 8:18, 8:24, 9:3, 9:7, 9:8, 9:10, 9:25 total [13] - 32:13, 40:16, 48:7, 48:10, 56:5, 57:10, 63:11, 63:18, 65:4, 65:7, 70:12, 75:25 Total [3] - 42:9, 56:9, 76:12 tough [1] - 17:12 toughest [1] - 50:23 traced [1] - 39:9 tracked [1] - 21:23 traded [1] - 43:23 training [1] - 64:22 Tran [4] - 28:7, 62:11, 63:9, 76:14 transaction [1] - 63:13 transcribed [1] - 87:3 TRANSCRIPT [2] -1:6, 1:14 transcript [3] - 22:13, 88:9, 88:11 transfer [10] - 33:14, 34:4, 34:6, 34:8, 35:9, 35:24, 45:17, 71:25, 74:5, 74:10 transferred [2] -34:10, 76:22 trial [6] - 14:8, 16:11, 81:17, 81:19, 82:1 TRIAL [1] - 1:8 trials [1] - 16:14 true [21] - 18:11, 21:22, 25:6, 25:18, 25:21, 28:6, 28:14, 31:8, 31:14, 36:2, 40:14, 40:17, 47:23, 49:19, 49:23, 50:3, 50:6, 55:25, 56:25, 67:3. 88:9 trust [5] - 44:23, 67:13, 69:17, 74:24, 76.22

try [3] - 26:11, 26:18, 50:19 Tuesday [11] - 79:23, 80:15, 80:19, 81:6, 83:1, 83:14, 83:21, 84:1, 84:8, 84:23, 85.21 **two** [26] - 6:8, 7:8, 7:14, 12:6, 17:23, 19:12, 19:23, 20:11, 20:24, 24:25, 32:21, 52:4, 52:6, 62:19, 71:17, 72:18, 75:21, 75:25, 80:16, 83:10, 84:11, 84:15, 84:17, 84:20, 84:22, 86:19 two-and-a-half [4] -6:8, 7:8, 12:6, 17:23 two-hour [1] - 84:20 two-question [1] -62:19 type [1] - 39:8 typically [2] - 14:24, 15:1

truthful [1] - 40:8

U

U.S [1] - 1:3 unable [1] - 9:18 under [10] - 31:9, 54:12, 54:20, 57:1, 57:4, 60:2, 60:6, 61:22, 87:3 underlie [1] - 17:25 underlying [1] - 40:5 understood [19] -19:6, 19:12, 19:15, 19:19, 19:21, 19:23, 20:6, 20:11, 20:14, 20:25, 21:7, 31:24, 43:19, 43:21, 46:14, 80:18, 83:24, 85:7, 85:24 undertook [1] - 27:11 **UNITED** [2] - 1:1, 1:5 United [7] - 2:4, 2:5, 2:9, 2:10, 88:6, 88:8, 88:13 unless [1] - 86:22 unreliable [2] - 19:5 up [24] - 4:9, 32:9, 34:16, 39:18, 40:25, 44:16, 69:2, 69:6, 69:24, 70:15, 70:19, 70:20, 71:13, 71:21, 72:15, 72:16, 73:2, 75:1, 75:2, 75:15, 76:3, 84:19, 84:22, 85:6

updated [4] - 26:20, 56:23, 57:1, 57:2 upwards [1] - 20:13 USA [1] - 72:10

V

Vague [2] - 20:17, 64:12 valuation [4] - 62:12, 62:15, 62:22 value [1] - 50:5 various [1] - 29:22 vendors [1] - 27:20 verify [5] - 27:9, 32:19, 52:9, 71:5, 71:11 verifying [1] - 79:19 version [3] - 30:3, 30:11, 31:4 versus [1] - 40:12 via [1] - 82:17 violence [1] - 83:16 **virtually** [2] - 5:16, 5:22 voice [1] - 24:17 **VOLUME** [1] - 1:9 voluminous [1] -17:10 vs [1] - 1:7

W

waiting [3] - 6:17, 22:12, 81:7 week [3] - 50:23, 81:9, 84:25 weekend [4] - 6:2, 80:3, 82:18, 82:21 weeks [2] - 7:8, 9:12 West [2] - 2:6, 46:7 WEST [1] - 1:24 Whiteside [1] - 51:10 wholly [1] - 44:13 widely [1] - 19:6 wire [4] - 58:12, 71:22, 71:25, 72:8 wish [1] - 41:21 withdraw [3] - 36:6, 78:13, 78:14 withdrawal [3] -37:17, 38:25, 45:13 withdrawals [2] -73:12, 74:23 withdrawn [3] - 70:22, 72:20, 72:23 withdrew [1] - 75:12 witness [6] - 6:4, 6:7, 6:11, 66:12, 84:16, 84:23

WITNESS [18] - 7:3,

8:15, 9:2, 9:22, 10:17, 17:2, 20:2, 20:19, 23:25, 30:14, 36:6, 40:20, 51:13, 59:8, 63:5, 64:14, 72:5, 78:10 witness's [1] - 4:23 witnesses [5] - 6:19, 16:5, 17:2, 83:1, 85:5 **WITNESSES** [1] - 3:2 Word [1] - 17:21 works [3] - 32:15, 52:18, 65:6 worth [2] - 39:7, 48:2 write [2] - 58:5, 83:9 written [7] - 6:5, 6:10, 12:3, 14:4, 14:24, 39:25, 80:20 wrote [3] - 39:25, 41:17, 53:19 WYMAN [47] - 2:10, 5:9, 7:18, 8:13, 8:19, 8:25, 9:16, 9:20, 10:15, 16:25, 19:25, 20:17, 21:20, 21:25, 23:22, 25:12, 28:11, 33:25, 36:3, 39:4, 40:18, 51:11, 59:5, 60:19, 61:24, 62:16, 63:3, 64:12, 68:7, 68:9, 69:2, 69:6, 69:24, 70:11, 70:14, 71:13, 71:21, 72:6, 72:15, 73:2, 75:1, 77:19, 86:2, 86:4, 86:7, 86:15, 86:25 Wyman [13] - 3:4, 5:8, 10:8, 13:5, 39:19, 40:2, 41:18, 43:11, 44:20, 46:24, 58:18, 68:6, 79:4

X

X-Law [1] - 71:24

Υ

years [11] - 4:20, 6:8, 12:6, 16:8, 17:23, 19:13, 19:23, 20:12, 20:24, 76:5, 76:6 yesterday [3] - 15:21, 18:2, 22:19 yourself [1] - 6:16

Ζ

zero [2] - 76:14, 76:17

Exhibit 3

1 1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 SOUTHERN DIVISION 7 8 THE HONORABLE JAMES V. SELNA, JUDGE PRESIDING 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT Plaintiff,) 10 VS. 11) SACR-19-00061-JVS MICHAEL JOHN AVENATTI, 12 Defendant.) TRIAL DAY 26 13 14 15 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 16 Santa Ana, California 17 August 24, 2021 18 19 SHARON A. SEFFENS, RPR United States Courthouse 20 411 West 4th Street, Suite 1-1053 Santa Ana, CA 92701 21 (714) 543-0870 22 23 24 25

```
1
     APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
 2
     For the Plaintiff:
 3
     NICOLA T. HANNA
     United States Attorney
 4
     BRANDON D. FOX
     Assistant United States Attorney
 5
     Chief, Criminal Division
     ALEXANDER WYMAN
 6
     Assistant United States Attorney
     Major Frauds Section
 7
     1100 United States Courthouse
     312 North Spring Street
 8
     Los Angeles, CA 90012
     (213) 894-6683
 9
     BRETT A. SAGEL
10
     Assistant United States Attorney
     Ronald Reagan Federal Building
     411 West Fourth Street, Suite 8000
11
     Santa Ana, CA 92701
12
     (714) 338-3598
13
     For the Defendant:
14
     MICHAEL JOHN AVENATTI, PRO SE
15
     H. DEAN STEWARD, ADVISORY COUNSEL
     H. DEAN STEWARD LAW OFFICES
16
     107 Avenida Miramar, Suite C
     San Clemente, CA 92672
     (949) 481-4900
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, AUGUST 24, 2021; 8:05 A.M. 09:25 1 09:25 2 (Jury not present) THE CLERK: Item 1, SACR-19-00061-JVS, United 08:05 3 08:05 4 States of America versus Michael John Avenatti. 5 MR. SAGEL: Good morning, Your Honor. Brett Sagel 08:05 and Alexander Wyman on behalf of the United States and the 08:05 6 7 08:05 Prosecution Team. And at counsel table is Patrick 8 08:05 Fitzgerald with the Privilege Review Team of the United 9 States. 08:05 10 08:05 THE COURT: Good morning. 11 MR. AVENATTI: Good morning, Your Honor. Michael 08:05 08:05 12 Avenatti, present with Mr. Steward and Ms. Cummings-Cefali. 13 THE COURT: Good morning. 08:06 14 I received last night Mr. Avenatti's status 08:06 08:06 15 report, Re: Search of Service for Financial Data at Docket No. 775. 16 08:06 17 Any further supplement on the findings yesterday? 08:06 18 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to 08:06 19 provide further information to the Court and counsel on 08:06 20 three issues: one, a small what I believe point of 08:06 21 clarification to the defense status report; two, memorialize 08:06 08:06 22 certain agreements that the Prosecution Review Team and the 23 defense reached yesterday; and, third --08:06 24 THE COURT: The Privilege Review Team. 08:06 25 MR. FITZGERALD: Excuse me. Thank you, Your 08:06

Honor, the Privilege Review Team. And third, provide a brief supplement for events that happened yesterday after the conclusion of the meeting with the defense at our office.

08:06

08:06

08:06

08:07

08:07

08:07

08:07

08:07

08:07

08:07

08:07

08:07

08:07

08:07

08:08

08:08

08:08

08:08

08:08

08:08

08:08

08:08

08:08

08:08

08:08

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First, as far as the clarifications go -- and once I'm finished, I'll invite the defense to respond.

On lines 4 through 6 on page 2, there is a reference to the number of Tabs files and QuickBooks files. My understanding is that at the moment we are not able to determine which of these files relate to the clients at issue in this case and which relate to all the other clients in the case. It may be that we will need the license and the software from the vendor to be able to do that.

The second clarification is on lines 12 through 14 about the discovery that we and the defense made at about 6:00 p.m. I believe this refers to the assessment that we made that there is probably information on the virtual system that was not captured by the forensic searches that Mr. Varani made for us yesterday.

Then in regard to the agreements of the parties, first, the Privilege Review Team allowed the defense to take iPhone photographs of certain screens on their review of the virtual system, and we're trying to get screenshots. They said that they would provide those to the Privilege Review Team.

08:09

08:09

08:09

08:09

08:09

08:09

08:09

08:09

08:09

08:09

08:09

08:09

08:09

08:10

08:10

08:10

08:10

08:10

08:10

08:10

08:10

08:10

08:10

08:10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

Second, all of this information that was produced yesterday the parties agreed would be produced pursuant to a modified Protective Order, which I would like to memorialize now.

It is largely based on the applicable Protective Order in this case, Document No. 74, that was filed on December 31st, 2019, with certain changes.

First, in paragraph three, all of the material that was produced yesterday will be deemed sensitive information as that is defined in paragraph three.

Second, the permission in paragraph eight that defendant and his counsel may provide this information in other matters relating to Mr. Avenatti is stricken. The information that was provided by the Privilege Review Team over the weekend and then yesterday is for use only in this case and not for any other criminal, bankruptcy, or civil proceeding absent further permission from the Court.

And then finally there is a new provision. The defense and the government agreed that in accessing this material, the defense and anyone working on behalf of the defense would in no way review or look at or attempt to access any information relating to the clients that were listed in the search warrant, which I believe is in paragraph 17, which I will just say collectively is the Clifford litigation, clients which they should not be

accessing which is set out in paragraph 17 of the search warrant that was filed on March 25th, 2019.

And then finally as a supplement to what happened last night after the defense finished reviewing the virtual system, which is in a conference room in the United States Attorney's Office, the case agent for the Prosecution Team was given access to it under my supervision.

He looked at an overall list of clients to determine which of the clients were applicable to this case. Then he clicked on the information for those clients and, after exploring the software, he found material that he believed was relevant to the Court's inquiry.

He was allowed to put that on a hard drive and have it exported from the virtual system. And my understanding is that that material then was sent to the Prosecution Team, and it has been produced to the defense.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Avenatti.

08:11

08:11

08:11

08:11

08:11

08:11

08:11

08:11

08:11

08:11

08:11

08:11

08:11

08:12

08:12

08:12

08:12

08:12

08:12

08:12

08:12

08:12

08:12

08:12

08:12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, a couple points. The defense agrees with the modifications to the Protective Order relating to this information as Mr. Fitzgerald stated them in their entirety. So I wanted to put that on the record.

THE COURT: Well, let's follow up when a formal document gets filed.

MR. AVENATTI: Agreed, Your Honor.

08:12

08:12

08:12

08:12

08:13

08:13

08:13

08:13

08:13

08:13

08:13

08:13

08:13

08:13

08:13

08:13

08:13

08:13

08:13

08:14

08:14

08:14

08:14

08:14

08:14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As it related to lines 4 through 6 of the status report, the number of files that were listed, each of those Tabs files, Your Honor "relates" to the clients in this case, because when the files were produced, they were not produced by client. All of the Tabs data and files on the server were produced. By their very nature, they include information relating to the clients in this case. So these file numbers are correct because each Tabs file, electronic file, will have some relevance to the clients in this case. They are not client specific.

We are still in the process -- we got an enormous amount of data, six gigabytes. It's going to take us a while to go through this.

Number two, Your Honor, at lines 12 through 14, as to this discovery at 6:00 p.m., I want the Court to understand exactly what happened. Mr. Varani sent over six gigabytes of data from Washington, D.C. While I was in the offices of the U.S. Attorney's Office in L.A., I said to Mr. Tashchyan is there a way for us to confirm that everything that is on this virtual machine is included within Mr. Varani's files that he just sent over?

We then began a process by which we attempted to do that. It became apparent to Mr. Tashchyan and us that all of the data files on the virtual machine were not

included in what Mr. Varani sent over, and it's unclear that those data files were actually accessible on the virtual machine for a technical reason.

Rather than -- well, actually it was impossible at that time because of -- in the interest of time to do a full comparison of the two data sets. It was agreed that that would be done depending on what happens today, this morning, and at a later date. That still needs to be done. But there's no question that we don't have data files that were on the virtual machine that are not within Mr. Varani's data set.

I'm aware of the production of the government late last night of various reports relating to these clients.

I'm going to reserve comment to later as it relates to what those reports show and don't show, and I will leave it at that.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

08:14

08:14

08:14

08:14

08:14

08:14

08:14

08:14

08:14

08:15

08:15

08:15

08:15

08:15

08:15

08:15

08:15

08:15

08:15

08:15

08:15

08:15

08:15

08:15

08:15

MR. AVENATTI: We are in the process of -- due to a computer malfunction, we weren't able to look at any of this data until 4:00 last night at the IRS Office due to a computer misfunction on their end. But needless to say, it's a lot of information, and there's a lot of different reports that are available now that we have access to the actual date and the software. There's audit reports.

There's entry reports. There's a bunch of information that

we now have that we did not have from the cold hard page.

THE COURT: I believe the parties have done what I requested yesterday. You've provided me with a sampling of what's in the collection of documents held by the Privilege Review Team. I think that sufficiently informs me to rule on Mr. Avenatti's motion at 706.

I don't think I need an exhaustive presentation of exactly what's there. I think I have enough information to intelligently rule on 706.

With that said, Mr. Avenatti, would you like to be heard?

MR. AVENATTI: I would, Your Honor. Can I use the podium?

THE COURT: Sure.

08:15

08:16

08:16

08:16

08:16

08:16

08:16

08:16

08:16

08:16

08:16

08:16

08:16

08:16

08:16

08:16

08:16

08:17

08:17

08:17

08:17

08:17

08:17

08:17

08:17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, there has obviously been a fair amount of briefing on this issue and a fair amount of exhibits. I'm not going to rehash the entire timeline of what has gone on over this odyssey of the last two-plus years, but I do want to bring the Court's attention to a few important what I would call guideposts.

Number one, on March 25th, 2019, a search warrant was executed at Ms. Regnier's home. According to her trial testimony, she claims that she "probably" told Special Agent Karlous and AUSA Sagel at that interview on that date about Tabs.

08:17

08:17

08:17

08:17

08:18

08:18

08:18

08:18

08:18

08:18

08:18

08:18

08:18

08:18

08:18

08:18

08:19

08:19

08:19

08:19

08:19

08:19

08:19

08:19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Indictment in this case was issued in April of 2019. In May of 2019 after I retained Mr. Steward as counsel, he requested all Rule 16 and Brady information. He specifically identified the servers as a location where such information could be found. That's Exhibits A and B to our reply on the pending motion at Docket 745.

Assistant U.S. Attorney Julian Andre responded to those requests on May 24th, 2019, and here is what he said in particular, Your Honor. And it's Exhibit C to our reply at 745. I've provided a copy for the benefit of the Court. There should be a packet there.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. AVENATTI: It should be the first document,
May 24th, 2019. And here is what he said under EA LLP
server: "In connection with the government's investigation,
the Court-appointed Receiver for EA LLP consented to IRS-CI
creating a forensic image of the six digital devices that
comprise the EA LLP server, which were being stored by
MixinIT, a company in Orange County that stored and managed
computer servers. After creating a forensic image of the EA
LLP server, the EA LLP server was returned to the EA
Receiver. The government has since obtained a warrant to
search the forensic copy of the EA LLP server for relevant
evidence. We will produce any relevant evidence seized from
the EA LLP server once the government completes the review

protocols set forth in the search warrant."

That was the representation made by Mr. Andre on May 24th, 2019, very early on in this case, well over two

years ago. When he mentione

08:19

08:19

08:19

08:20

08:20

08:20

08:20

08:20

08:20

08:20

08:20

08:20

08:20

08:20

08:20

08:20

08:20

08:21

08:21

08:21

08:21

08:21

08:21

08:21

08:21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When he mentioned these forensic images, these are the forensic images that Mr. Varani testified to during the trial as having been in the possession of the Department of Justice computer lab in Washington, D.C. These are the same forensic images that Mr. Varani searched yesterday for the first time for the Tabs data and produced it yesterday through the Privilege Review Team to the defense. Those are the exact same forensic images.

Following this representation by Mr. Andre, the defense continued to demand copies of the servers and all Brady information in the case to no avail.

On July 25th, 2019, an interview was conducted of Ms. Regnier.

THE COURT: Your Exhibit 1084.

MR. AVENATTI: 1084. Correct, Your Honor. The four most senior individuals from the Prosecution Team were present for this interview -- Special Agent Karlous, Special Agent Kim, and both Assistant U.S. Attorneys, Mr. Sagel and Mr. Andre.

The entire purpose of this call according to the memorandum was to ask questions regarding the Eagan

08:21

08:21

08:22

08:22

08:22

08:22

08:22

08:22

08:22

08:22

08:22

08:22

08:23

08:23

08:23

08:23

08:23

08:23

08:23

08:23

08:24

08:24

08:24

08:24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Avenatti, LLP, server and how client files are created and maintained on the server. During this call, Your Honor, Ms. Regnier informed all four members of Tabs, not once but twice. Most importantly, she informed them that the client billing and client accounting was handled through Tabs, quote, "Tabs would be used." That was July 25th, 2019.

A month later -- and this timing is important. A month after that interview Your Honor denied our motion to compel a copy of the servers. You only did so after you had been provided repeated assurances by the government that they were going to comply with Brady.

In fact, Your Honor's order specifically states:
"The government has acknowledged its obligation to produce
all documents within the scope of the search warrants, as
well as its Brady and Giglio obligations."

As of that date that Your Honor made that statement and as of the date of the representations made by the Assistant U.S. Attorneys, they were well aware of the existence and importance of the Tabs data. Despite that, it was not produced. Nothing was done to look for it.

On November 19, 2019, Special Agent Roberson,
Special Agent Karlous, and Assistant U.S. Attorney Sagel had
another interview lasting over eight hours with Ms. Regnier.

THE COURT: For the record, that's your Exhibit 1085.

08:24

08:24

08:24

08:24

08:24

08:25

08:25

08:25

08:25

08:25

08:25

08:25

08:25

08:25

08:25

08:25

08:25

08:26

08:26

08:26

08:26

08:26

08:26

08:26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. AVENATTI: Correct, Your Honor, 1085.

Paragraph 14 reflects that each of those gentlemen were advised that there were two systems that were used to track expenses, QuickBooks and Tabs. The data was still not produced to the defense, and there does not appear to have been any effort whatsoever made to produce it.

Your Honor, to be clear, the Tabs data could only be exculpatory. It could never be inculpatory. It could never increase the amount of money that was owed to the client. It could only decrease the amount of money that was owed to each of the clients by its very nature because it did not track income or revenue. It only tracked case client expenses.

I would submit, Your Honor, that is why the government never made an effort to produce it or to provide it, because by its very nature it was exculpatory.

THE COURT: Well, there could have been other reasons why it was exculpatory, but certainly it could be exculpatory on that basis.

MR. AVENATTI: It could be exculpatory on that basis. It could be exculpatory on Giglio. It could be exculpatory based on who was working on the case and who had costs on the case. I mean, there's a myriad of reasons why or how it could be exculpatory. I agree.

Meanwhile, Your Honor, time and time again we

08:26

08:26

08:26

08:26

08:26

08:26

08:26

08:26

08:27

08:27

08:27

08:27

08:27

08:27

08:27

08:27

08:27

08:27

08:27

08:28

08:28

08:28

08:28

08:28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

complained that all Brady and Giglio information had not been produced. And time and time again we were told that all of it had been produced. I'm not going to go through each and every time we have put it in the papers, but the representations were made to us and this Court repeatedly that all Brady and Giglio information had been produced.

In fact, I think on one call, a conference that we had sometime in 2020, Mr. Sagel made the representation there was no Brady. There was no exculpatory information in the entire case, something that I found to be rather remarkable in light of how much discovery had already been produced in the case.

But in any event, after the passage of the Due Process Protection Act, we moved for an order before this Court, and we asked the Court to issue an order directing the government to produce all information required under the DPPA.

On January 25th, this Court issued an order at Docket 408, and this order directed the government to produce to the defendant in a timely manner all information or evidence known to the government that is either, one, relevant to the defendant's guilt or punishment; or, two, favorable to the defendant on the issue of guilt or punishment. The order went on to talk about the consequences for noncompliance.

08:28

08:28

08:28

08:28

08:29

08:29

08:29

08:29

08:29

08:29

08:29

08:29

08:29

08:29

08:29

08:30

08:30

08:30

08:30

08:30

08:30

08:30

08:30

08:30

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the event there was any question in the government's mind as to whether the Tabs data and all QuickBooks data needed to be produced, this order made it clear as to what had to be produced, crystal clear. No Tabs data was produced following this order.

The defense subsequently moved for contempt. One of the items that the defense claimed had not been produced in response to the order was all financial data relating to the expenses of the clients. The government claimed everything had been produced and that we didn't know what we were talking about. I'm paraphrasing.

We continued to complain that we were missing information, including up through the beginning of the trial. I believe our status report immediately -- final status report referenced our concerns in this regard.

Then in the middle of trial, it was established through cross-examination that the Tabs data was an integral part of proving what was owed to the client. And it's important to recognize in my view, Your Honor, how this came about.

The government admitted Exhibits 48 and 174. Exhibit 48 is the cost listing for Johnson, and 174 is the cost listing for Barela, at least interim statements.

THE COURT: Draft statements.

MR. AVENATTI: Draft statements. Correct, Your

08:30 1 08:30 2 3 08:30 08:30 4 5 08:30 08:30 6 08:30 7 08:31 8 9 08:31 08:31 10 11 08:31 08:31 12 13 08:31 14 08:31 08:31 15 16 08:31 17 08:31 18 08:31

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

08:31

08:31

08:31

08:31

08:31

08:31

08:31

Honor.

The defense did not admit those. The defense did not seek to use them. It was the government that interjected this issue of the costs and expenses first into the case.

THE COURT: Well, whether they did it or not, weren't you entitled to bring that up either in cross-examination or in your case-in-chief if you decided to put one on?

MR. AVENATTI: Absolutely, Your Honor, we would have been entitled to do that, and we would have been entitled to use the data. The only point that I make is that it was the government that first went down this road of putting this cost and expense data before the jury. So there can be little question at this point that the government considered it relevant and material. That's why they used it.

Now, they then proceeded to use 48 and 174 with a number of witnesses. And we attached it as Exhibit A to our filing last night. I think there's five or maybe six witnesses at minimum that they used these two exhibits with, including Special Agent Karlous, Ms. Regnier, Mr. Barela, Mr. Johnson, Mr. Arden. I think that may be all five. I'm not certain.

We again demanded the production of the data on

08:32

08:32

08:32

08:32

08:32

08:32

08:32

08:32

08:32

08:32

08:32

08:32

08:32

08:33

08:33

08:33

08:33

08:33

08:33

08:33

08:33

08:33

08:33

08:33

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

August 12th at the latest. Nothing happened for eight days, literally nothing. The government made no effort to find out if this data existed and, if so, where it was or how it could be produced to the defense.

It was not until last Friday when the Court issued its directive -- its clear directive -- that we started to get traction and actually get the data produced. It was finally produced to the defense yesterday as outlined in the status report.

We explained to the Court the very limited review that we have been able to do in the last I guess it's 16 hours or so. That review continues. There is a lot of information to go through, a lot of Tabs information to go through and a lot of QuickBooks information to go through.

We cited the Court to the Bundy case from the Ninth Circuit. I'm sure Your Honor has read the case. For the court reporter, it's at 968 F.3d 1019 (Ninth Circuit 2020).

There are many parallels, Your Honor, between this case and the Bundy case. But what the Ninth Circuit made clear in Bundy is the materiality standard is a post-conviction appellate standard. Now, even under that standard, I think this information qualifies easily. But in any event, we don't have to meet that standard. The question is was this information relevant? It most

certainly was. And the question is was it favorable? It most certainly was.

08:34

08:34

08:34

08:34

08:34

08:34

08:34

08:34

08:34

08:34

08:34

08:34

08:34

08:34

08:35

08:35

08:35

08:35

08:35

08:35

08:35

08:35

08:35

08:35

08:35

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bundy makes clear -- and I will cite Olson and Price as well, two other Ninth Circuit cases -- that indeed even if information is not favorable, if it would cause the defense to change the trial strategy or to use a different strategy or to abandon a strategy even, that it is required to be produced pursuant to Rule 16 and Brady and Giglio.

There is no question, Your Honor, this data should have been produced pursuant to Brady and Giglio. The prejudice is substantial as outlined in our report of last night.

THE COURT: I think you have very credibly outlined what use you could have made of that information.

MR. AVENATTI: Then at this point I will not go further except to say, Your Honor, we still don't know the full extent of the prejudice because we haven't been able to review all of the data.

There is little question, Your Honor, we cannot proceed with this trial as it stands. We need time to review this data. It changes the entire approach of the case from the defense perspective. We would have to recall at least five, if not seven, witnesses. The government's expert would have to be struck.

Again, I'm not going to get into how prejudicial

1 this has been. It is beyond substantial.
08:35
2 THE COURT: You're not suggesting that this trial

could proceed in some fashion?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

08:35

08:35

08:36

08:36

08:36

08:36

08:36

08:36

08:36

08:36

08:36

08:36

08:36

08:36

08:36

08:36

08:37

08:37

08:37

08:37

08:37

08:37

08:37

MR. AVENATTI: No, Your Honor, I do not believe that it is possible for this trial to proceed in this fashion for many, many reasons.

This information should have been produced two years ago. It was not. There are exhibits now in the case that are demonstrably false. There are opinions that have been put before the jury that are false, that are not accurate, namely, because the government never had the expert look at any of the Tabs data.

The expert, despite claiming to be an expert, never asked for the actual Tabs data, never asked for the cost information, which is frankly shocking.

For each of these reasons, Your Honor, I believe that the motion for relief requested in the motion at 706 should be granted.

Now, Brady speaks of the fact that whether the government acted in good faith or bad faith is really not a consideration when the Court decides motions such as 706.

I'm not going to spend time casting aspersions on the government, et cetera, because I don't think it's relevant --

THE COURT: I agree.

08:37

08:37

08:37

08:37

08:37

08:38

08:38

08:38

08:38

08:38

08:38

08:38

08:38

08:38

08:38

08:38

08:38

08:38

08:38

08:38

08:38

08:39

08:39

08:39

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. AVENATTI: -- frankly. What I will say is this. This was not an isolated, one-time failure. This was not one oversight that occurred in the heat of trial or in the heat of preparing for trial. There was a repeated failure to comply with basic constitutional requirements and the basic requirements of Bundy, Price, and Olson, and others by the government over a period of years.

THE COURT: Well, I want to focus on the Tabs. As you recall, you've made a number of motions for a mistrial with respect to allegedly missing documents. On a number of those, I found that the claim wasn't substantiated when the government made a full showing. So I prefer to concentrate on Tabs and QuickBooks.

MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, I didn't mean to suggest otherwise. When I was speaking of a repeated failure, I'm speaking strictly in the context of Tabs and QuickBooks. I'm not dealing with the other issues that we have talked about, Jencks and things of that nature.

As to the interview notes that I placed before the Court, Your Honor is clearly familiar with them. You know them by exhibit numbers. They demonstrate how long this problem has gone on and the repeated failures of the government.

I think for all of those reasons, Your Honor, I would ask that the Court grant defendant's motion at 706.

```
Unless the Court has any further questions --
08:39
         1
08:39
         2
                       THE COURT: No. Thank you.
                       Mr. Sagel, wouldn't you agree that the financial
         3
08:39
08:39
         4
             data goes to the heart of this case?
         5
                       MR. SAGEL: Partially, and I say that in the
08:39
08:39
         6
             sense --
         7
08:39
                       THE COURT: Well, if we look to the way you've
             summed up the case with Mr. Drum, if we go to Exhibits 430
08:39
         8
         9
             to 457, and 457 especially, he has analyzed the financial
08:39
             data available to him to establish the losses on the part of
08:39
        10
             each of the client victims, and that's almost pure financial
        11
08:39
08:40
        12
             data.
                       MR. SAGEL: That's why I say partially. I will
08:40
        13
        14
             start with that in the sense of with three of the victims,
08:40
08:40
        15
             while he analyzes and does charts showing what probably
        16
             based on the finances should have been paid to them and what
08:40
        17
             they're entitled to and so forth, part of the analysis is
08:40
        18
             zero dollars of their settlement money goes to them, as well
08:40
             as $4 million of Ms. Phan's money does not go to her.
        19
08:40
        20
                       And before anything ever happens with determining
08:40
             the costs, expenses, and so forth --
08:40
        21
08:40
        22
                       THE COURT: Are you suggesting I grant relief with
             respect to the claims of some victims but not as to others,
08:40
        23
        24
             for example, Ms. Phan?
08:40
        25
                       MR. SAGEL: No, Your Honor. And I can go through
08:40
```

08:40

08:40

08:41

08:41

08:41

08:41

08:41

08:41

08:41

08:41

08:41

08:41

08:41

08:41

08:41

08:41

08:41

08:41

08:41

08:41

08:41

08:42

08:42

08:42

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

some of the finances, too, with regards to what in a short period of time we were able to find, which I don't believe substantiates the claims that were made both last night and today. I think there's other issues, too, that I would like to address. But when it comes to the finances of the costs and the expenses, it's to put in perspective to the jury what was going on, how the defendant was doing it, and that these people were entitled to money.

THE COURT: But if that perspective were incorrect because not all of the data was taken into account, wouldn't that potentially provide a basis to question everything Mr. Drum did, to question across the board the accuracy of the government's financial presentation?

MR. SAGEL: To question, yes, but I guess I would point to several things that --

THE COURT: Well, doesn't the defendant have a right to examination, to question the financial analysis made by the government?

MR. SAGEL: He does, Your Honor, but I think we are skipping several steps in that process, including what the finances even show. I would like to address that, because what he's saying in broadbrush wasn't available to him is not accurate.

From a very quick review of what was in Tabs for these clients as of the last reports, they support exactly

what Mr. Drum testified to. And even more so --08:42 1 08:42 2 THE COURT: Support in a generalized fashion or support for the analysis he actually makes? If the costs 3 08:42 08:42 4 that he calculated were incorrect --MR. SAGEL: They were not. They were not, and I 08:42 5 08:42 6 have it right here, Your Honor, and I can walk through each 08:42 7 and every one of them. 08:42 8 THE COURT: I think the defendant was entitled, even if they are in fact correct -- if there is evidence out 08:42 9 there that would have allowed the defendant to make a 08:42 10 challenge under any theory, I think the defendant was 11 08:42 08:42 12 entitled to have the data to do that, even if in some other 13 fashion you can show prima facie that it was correct. 08:42 14 08:42 other words, I think he is entitled to make non-winning 08:43 15 challenges as well as winning challenges. The point is he 16 is entitled to that data to make the challenges. 08:43 17 08:43 MR. SAGEL: So let me go backwards to that first. 18 The defendant on two occasions that he took advantage of --08:43 19 and on more occasions, as many as he wanted, had access to 08:43 20 08:43 the virtual servers. THE COURT: Sir, that doesn't convince me. 08:43 21 08:43 22 the obligation of the government to produce Brady, Giglio, 08:43 23 et cetera. He is entitled to sit back and wait for you to 24 serve it up on a platter. Agreed? 08:43 25 MR. SAGEL: If it's in our possession, yes. 08:43

THE COURT: And for this discussion -- go ahead. 08:43 1 08:43 2 MR. SAGEL: That's the part when he talks about what Brady says or what he talks about Bundy says or Price 3 08:43 08:43 4 or Olson, any of the cases, is that it skips past what's in 5 our possession. 08:44 We cannot -- and I can focus on various different 08:44 6 08:44 7 times in the case, including when he starts talking about 08:44 8 August 12th to the present. We as the Prosecution Team do 9 not have authority to go into the server that the search 08:44 warrants -- we are beholden on what comes to us in the 08:44 10 11 process, what's in our possession. 08:44 08:44 12 At the earliest time --THE COURT: Well, I think you've made a good-faith 08:44 13 14 showing that the Prosecution Team produced all of the Tabs 08:44 data that it had at Docket No. 737 and the attachment. I 08:44 15 08:44 16 believe you put before the Court and the defendant the 17 08:44 totality of the Tabs data that the Prosecution Team had. 18 MR. SAGEL: Yes, Your Honor. And also to 08:44 19 basically put before the Court and the defendant over -- if 08:44 20 I'm doing my math right -- over a year ago of what was in 08:44 our possession with regard to costs and expenses, 08:45 21 08:45 22 including --23 THE COURT: Your possession, meaning the 08:45 24 Prosecution Team? 08:45 25 MR. SAGEL: The Prosecution Team's possession. 08:45

there was a question that there was something was missing -this was even discussed at the specific hearing where Your
Honor said if there is something specific, you file a Motion
to Compel.

Without us having access to the databases themselves and without having access to the servers, we are in a position where this is what we have. We have provided everything we have. This is what we have seen. If there is something missing, there is a mechanism for the defendant to say this is pertinent information, and I need it.

THE COURT: Don't the events of the last 72 hours suggest that there was a mechanism to determine whether the Privilege Review Team in its capture of the subpoenaed documents had Tabs data?

MR. SAGEL: By whom?

08:45

08:45

08:45

08:45

08:45

08:45

08:45

08:45

08:45

08:45

08:45

08:45

08:45

08:45

08:46

08:46

08:46

08:46

08:46

08:46

08:46

08:46

08:46

08:46

08:46

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: By the Prosecution Team. What do you make of Mr. Andre's statement in his letter of May 4th,

2019: "In connection with the government's investigation,
the Court-appointed Receiver for EA LLP consented to IRS-CI
creating a forensic image of the six digital devices that
comprise the EA LLP server, which were being stored by
MixinIT, a company in Orange County that stored and managed
computer servers. After creating a forensic image of the EA
LLP server, the EA LLP server was returned to the EA
Receiver. The government has since obtained a warrant to

08:46

08:46

08:46

08:47

08:47

08:47

08:47

08:47

08:47

08:47

08:47

08:47

08:47

08:47

08:47

08:47

08:47

08:48

08:48

08:48

08:48

08:48

08:48

08:48

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

search the forensic copy of the EA LLP server for relevant evidence. We will produce any relevant evidence seized from the EA LLP server once the government completes the review protocols set forth in the search warrant."

It sounds like this is the same forensic copy I think we've been talking about here.

MR. SAGEL: Correct. So to respond to both that statement and --

THE COURT: It seems that Mr. Andre was acknowledging an obligation to go beyond what you had and to investigate this forensic copy.

MR. SAGEL: I don't know that I read it that way.

"We will produce any relevant evidence seized once the
government completes the review protocols set forth in the
search warrant." The review protocols does it in a way
where it provides it to him.

I would also point out, Your Honor, if you turn to the following page of this same section which discusses the Eagan Avenatti servers, the last paragraph says: "We would also be glad to discuss alternative procedures to ensure that you are able to access any information on the EA LLP server that you believe may be relevant to your client's defense, such as providing you and your client an opportunity to review the forensic image of the EA LLP server at the IRS-CI's Office."

THE COURT: Would access free you of your 08:48 1 08:48 2 obligation under Brady to produce Brady material? Does access free you of your Brady obligation to produce Brady 3 08:48 08:48 4 material? MR. SAGEL: Under this circumstance, I have to 08:48 5 08:48 6 believe yes. And the reason why is because if it's not in 08:49 7 our possession, we -- I don't have -- I have a Brady 08:49 8 obligation to material that I am in the possession of that I 9 can provide. I'm not possessing --08:49 08:49 10 THE COURT: Well, suppose for whatever reason the 11 Taint Team, the Privilege Review Team, acting in absolute 08:49 08:49 12 good faith just misses a substantial volume of relevant data 08:49 13 that should have been passed over to the Prosecution Team. 14 Don't the sins of one part of the government, meaning the 08:49 08:49 15 Taint Team if my facts are accurate, fall upon the 16 Prosecution Team in terms of the Brady obligation? 08:49 17 08:49 MR. SAGEL: If it did not exist at all, I would 18 follow your analogy. 08:49 19 THE COURT: But hasn't it been established that 08:49 there does exist relevant Tabs material and potentially 08:49 20 QuickBooks materials that the Privilege Review Team had? 08:49 21 08:50 22 MR. SAGEL: I'm not sure I know the answer to that fully, but I don't believe that's correct. 08:50 23 24 THE COURT: Well, I believe the representations 08:50 25 Mr. Avenatti has made from an initial review citing the 08:50

```
specific pieces of evidence undermine that position.
08:50
         1
08:50
         2
                       MR. SAGEL: Well, to the specific -- that's why I
             would like to get into some of the specific -- I'm not sure
         3
08:50
             that there are specifics, and I have some examples of
08:50
         4
         5
             specifics that that does undermine.
08:50
08:50
         6
                       May I proceed with that?
08:50
         7
                       THE COURT: Please.
08:50
         8
                       MR. SAGEL: With regards to Exhibit 48, Your
         9
             Honor, defendant references that he believes -- we put this
08:50
             in through multiple witnesses, and it's demonstrably false.
08:50
        10
        11
                       Let me start with the fact that I think several of
08:50
08:50
        12
             the witnesses -- we never were saying it was accurate. It
             was the costs that he utilized to withdraw checks in the
08:50
        13
        14
             same amount. Whether it was interim, whether it was -- this
08:51
08:51
        15
             was what he was e-mailed and he utilized to withdraw money.
        16
                       THE COURT: Well, if he used inaccurate input, at
08:51
             a minimum isn't he -- you're saying never mind whether it's
08:51
        17
        18
             accurate or not. This is what he relied upon. Fine.
08:51
        19
             at a minimum would he not be subject to cross-examination if
08:51
        20
             it were shown that that data was inaccurate or outdated; or,
08:51
             alternatively, under an Daubert analysis, it wasn't
08:51
        21
08:51
        22
             reliable?
                       MR. SAGEL: You're talking about Mr. Drum now?
08:51
        23
        24
                       THE COURT: Right.
08:51
        25
                       MR. SAGEL: Correct. Mr. Drum specifically said
08:51
```

08:51

08:51

08:51

08:52

08:52

08:52

08:52

08:52

08:52

08:52

08:52

08:52

08:52

08:52

08:52

08:52

08:52

08:52

08:53

08:53

08:53

08:53

08:53

08:53

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and testified to that his analysis is based on the costs and expenses of the material up to February 5th after the settlement money came in based on all the records that were there at the time. He was cross-examined on whether or not he included -- everything that was included after that date. There was extensive cross-examination.

If you look -- and I can show you the Tabs reports that are the, quote/unquote "most current," which still show drafts where the defendant refers to what was done. They are all loan payments and payments to Mr. Johnson from four months and later after his settlement.

There are no costs and expenses to the case. They are payments for his living expenses, his \$1,900 payments to him, and FedEx expenses to send him his checks. There are no costs and expenses for the case.

And even the \$27,000 or \$37,000 he dropped in a footnote is even identified as basically the living expense or medical expense to his new living center. I would have to look it up, but it's seven months after the settlement when he moves into his new housing facility, Sunrise Living. These are not costs and expenses of the case. These are what he's paying Mr. Johnson after he lied to him.

There are no -- and I can provide Your Honor the Tabs data for Mr. Johnson. I can do it for Mr. Barela, and I can do it for Ms. Gardner. I would like to do that so

```
that Your Honor has the full --
08:53
         1
08:53
         2
                       THE COURT: Wait a wait. There is no Tabs data
             for Ms. Gardner in the record. You didn't offer any
08:53
         3
08:53
         4
             support --
         5
                       MR. SAGEL: We didn't have it. I agree. And what
08:53
             I'm saying is what he's finding --
08:53
         6
         7
08:53
                       THE COURT: Well, isn't that even more egregious
         8
             if it's out there --
08:53
         9
                       MR. SAGEL: No, because --
08:53
                       THE COURT: Not if it's perhaps outdated or
08:53
        10
        11
             mistaken but no Tabs data? And there's no question there
08:53
08:53
        12
             were costs for Ms. Gardner.
        13
                       MR. SAGEL: We used QuickBooks for her, and we
08:53
        14
             used e-mails from Filippo Marchino who said he paid all the
08:53
08:53
        15
             expenses in the case. And the Tabs data support is even
        16
             less than what we gave him credit for. But the expenses we
08:53
        17
             gave him credit for under QuickBooks and Filippo Marchino or
08:53
        18
             whatever are greater than what's in Tabs.
08:54
        19
                       With Johnson, there is nothing. I can walk
08:54
        20
             through each and every one of them. There is nothing after
08:54
        21
             February 3rd or 4th, which was before 2015 that relates to
08:54
08:54
        22
             the case. They are all payments to Mr. Johnson's for his
08:54
        23
             living expenses, which are even itemized as such in his
        24
             data.
08:54
        25
                       The Tabs data for the years of 2012 or '11 or '10
08:54
```

08:54

08:54

08:54

08:54

08:54

08:54

08:54

08:55

08:55

08:55

08:55

08:55

08:55

08:55

08:55

08:55

08:55

08:55

08:56

08:56

08:56

08:56

08:56

08:56

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or whatever the start date to February 4th, 2015, are identical. The only difference -- and when he talks about these new transactions, they are paying the loan payments and the living expenses for Mr. Johnson.

With regards to Mr. Barela, the only difference -- and I can show you this, too, and I have them printed out -- the only difference when you look at the two exhibits that the government introduced at trial, 174 and 193, 174 is the draft Barela bills as of 12/19/2017.

Exhibit 193 is Ms. Regnier's e-mail, which she says are the total costs of the Barela matter to take out a check for \$111,113.22. The updated Barela Tabs matches to the penny 193, \$111,113.22, exactly what Ms. Regnier testified to, that the reason for the difference was the costs that came through in those several weeks.

And the Tabs data supports exactly what was introduced at trial. There is nothing in this data that shows prejudice to the defendant. There is nothing in this data that shows anything different at this trial, especially as it relates to Mr. Drum and it relates Mr. Johnson. He even said (a) what his analysis was based on up through when the settlement comes because that's when the costs would be there.

He was cross-examined extensively as to whether or not there could have been costs after the case settled that

he didn't include. He said that's correct if it existed. There is nothing to show it existed, Your Honor.

08:56

08:56

08:56

08:56

08:56

08:56

08:56

08:56

08:56

08:56

08:56

08:57

08:57

08:57

08:57

08:57

08:57

08:57

08:57

08:57

08:57

08:57

08:57

08:57

08:57

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And we hear and we see broad statements about what this Tabs data is and how concerning they are and so forth. But the reason he did not show you the -- and I can provide them for the record -- is because they matched exactly what was presented at trial.

When it comes to Geoffrey Johnson, the new stuff doesn't change anything at trial. It actually supports what's already been introduced at trial.

Similarly, there was no Tabs data for Michelle

Phan and Long Tran for the very reasons that the evidence at

trial has also established.

THE COURT: That would delay their contracts a little bit.

MR. SAGEL: Correct. And the only other data in Tabs that he has referenced repeatedly and that he references how there are all these other transactions, some of his lawyers kept time sheets, but these weren't contingency cases. So the time sheets are irrelevant. And when you look at even some of the Tabs sheets, for example, with Mr. Barela, they will have John Arden's time and then how much he would charge if there was an hourly case. But then it's not there because it wasn't an hourly case. So they only used the expenses, costs, and so forth.

08:57

08:57

08:58

08:58

08:58

08:58

08:58

08:58

08:58

08:58

08:58

08:58

08:58

08:58

08:58

08:58

08:59

08:59

08:59

08:59

08:59

08:59

08:59

08:59

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He references at least one client who he did other work for. You now have Tabs data to see the work that was done on the other case. That other case was this Cafe Perche case with Mr. Barela. It was nine and ten months after Barela's settlement money came in. So it's hard to see how that relates to why he didn't get his money nine and ten months earlier for \$944. So all these other costs were from another case which was ten months after he should have provided Mr. Barela his money, \$900.

The numbers and the Tabs records do not support what is being told to the Court. I fully appreciate what Your Honor is saying. I'm not doubting any of the concerns Your Honor has. And I will say to you that every time along the way --

THE COURT: So your position is my concerns are baseless?

MR. SAGEL: No, I'm not saying that, Your Honor.

I am saying that with a review of what Your Honor has taken a very cautious approach to in allowing him to gain access to these reports -- with a review of those records, we can now see why he never asked for these things for two years, because they don't support any further costs and expenses on these cases, and they don't support any reduction.

And for the same reason that we put in the recommended jury instruction the dollar amounts, we don't

08:59

08:59

09:00

09:00

09:00

09:00

09:00

09:00

09:00

09:00

09:00

09:00

09:00

09:00

09:00

09:00

09:00

09:01

09:01

09:01

09:01

09:01

09:01

09:01

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have to prove that for wire fraud. What we have to prove is that the defendant obtained money and property by false statements, representations, and material omissions. That happened in each and every one of these cases. Whether he was entitled at the end to \$1,810,000, \$1,910,000, or a number in between, he has had the data for that.

His request for Brady and which we've responded every time -- everything in our possession we've provided. If we are missing something, please let us know. We have even provided the example. If there was data, we would extract QuickBooks for him. He has had QuickBooks since 2019.

If there was something from he thought from the electronic files were missing, he had two years to ask us what we didn't provide which he had access to. Again, what we don't know as we sit here is he was produced I think a million more files than we were, or whatever the number was, 400,000. We will never know what's in that delta either. I'm not saying it exists, or I'm assuming not because it hasn't been said, but we don't have access to that. He has had access to this information, and everything that's in our possession has been provided.

To use just one example, to compare this to Bundy, which he did again here today -- in Bundy, these were videotapes that were in the government's possession at all

09:01

09:01

09:01

09:01

09:01

09:01

09:01

09:01

09:01

09:02

09:02

09:02

09:02

09:02

09:02

09:02

09:02

09:02

09:02

09:02

09:02

09:02

09:02

09:02

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

times, and they didn't turn it over. It's night and day to what we're talking about here.

The Tabs data -- all we have were the printout sheets that came through the process. We provided them to him and let him know this is what we have for costs and expenses, along with QuickBooks.

In March of 2020 when we did the expert disclosure on John Drum, this is what he's relying upon. These are the documents that we have that we provided that he is relying upon. As to all these things, the government has been extremely transparent of what we have, what we're using, and what we've provided, not just to the defendant but by June of 2020 to the Court.

At no point were we hiding anything that we didn't believe -- and as it relates to the Tabs data, which Ms. Regnier testified to, QuickBooks versus Tabs.

QuickBooks was tied to the bank records when it was paid.

Tabs was not.

And you have seen that with regards to Mr. Johnson, they double counted a lot of things because they actually didn't pay. It was how they kept track of costs but didn't actually pay the costs.

So there's an extra level of why he could also scrutinize these records, which maybe would not change anything because they aren't tied automatically to bank

09:02 1 09:02 2 3 09:02 09:03 4 09:03 5 09:03 6 09:03 7 09:03 8 9 09:03 09:03 10 11 09:04 09:04 12 13 09:04 14 09:04 15 09:04 16 09:04 17 09:04 18 09:04 19 09:04 20 09:04 09:04 21 09:04 22 09:04 23 24 09:05

25

09:05

records.

But that's I think tertiary of what was beyond the I do believe -- one second, Your Honor.

(Government counsel conferring)

MR. SAGEL: I will take a cue from the Court, but I obviously have printouts with regards to Johnson, Barela, and Gardner. I would like to either provide them to the Court and go over it with Your Honor now or be afforded the opportunity to lodge it with the Court so that Your Honor can see what is there to make the relevant and proper inquiry to show the analysis that is needed under Brady, so that when making the Brady analysis or the Brady test for prejudice of what would be useful to the defendant, you would be able to see with this that nothing in this changes what did happen and what was provided to the defendant and what he could have used, whether it be cross-examination of Drum, whether it be for use with Ms. Regnier, which he did.

He cross-examined her that you can't know all the right things unless you have both. He fully did that. did that with several witnesses. He wants to call more for that very reason.

When you really do the prejudice analysis under the Brady prong -- again, there are other parts to the equation that I would not agree have been established either. But when you look at these -- that's why I am

asking the Court if you want me to go over some of them in examples now or to lodge with the Court --

THE COURT: I don't think that's necessary. If you want to file anything in addition, that's fine. I believe it's my intention to hear Mr. Avenatti in rebuttal and rule.

MR. SAGEL: If I could just cover a couple of other things very quickly or give me one second to make sure.

(Pause in proceedings)

09:05

09:05

09:05

09:05

09:05

09:05

09:05

09:05

09:05

09:05

09:05

09:05

09:05

09:05

09:05

09:05

09:06

09:06

09:06

09:06

09:06

09:06

09:06

09:06

09:06

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SAGEL: Your Honor, I've obviously been before Your Honor for a long time, so I know that you are not sitting there without knowing what I'm going to say. But I feel the need for the record that I want to make a couple of comments.

With regards to Your Honor on two occasions denying the request for full access to the server -- and I think the representation was it was only because of the government's representation -- I don't think that accurately reflects what happened in both 2019 and 2020.

Obviously we represented that anything that was Brady or Giglio in our possession we would turn over, as we did. But the servers didn't belong to him. Your Honor gave him the -- mentioned on multiple occasions his availability and access to them, and he either at one point took no

advantage of it and the other time saying what he chose on his own to do. He still had the mechanism.

09:06

09:06

09:06

09:06

09:06

09:06

09:06

09:07

09:07

09:07

09:07

09:08

09:08

09:08

09:08

09:08

09:08

09:08

09:08

09:08

09:08

09:08

09:08

09:09

09:09

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The comments about how Tabs could only be exculpatory, again, this is a statement that's made without referencing the Tabs because they are not exculpatory. If you want to say they could have been used and would have been used, fine. The best case scenario is at least to look for it, but they don't change the analysis, especially with regards to what the witnesses specifically testified to.

I'm going to conclude with two points, Your Honor. Our special agent was at the virtual server last night for I believe about two hours, maybe less. And through the virtual servers, he was able to find in there with no experience with Tabs any and all of the Barela items, the Johnson items, the Gardner items, and the lack thereof. It did not take very long at all to find each and every one of them specific to the client to be able to print out any and all of the related documents that show what they show.

It is not a voluminous, time-consuming process.

And when we mention the 6,000 files or whatever the numbers are, that's the entirety of the law firm. That's not Johnson, Barela, Gardner, Phan, and Tran. It will not require a review of 6,000 client files to see what is relevant for the specific clients.

With regards to -- one second, Your Honor.

(Government counsel conferring)

09:09

09:09

09:09

09:09

09:09

09:10

09:10

09:10

09:10

09:10

09:10

09:10

09:10

09:10

09:10

09:10

09:10

09:10

09:10

09:10

09:11

09:11

09:11

09:11

09:11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SAGEL: And then my final thought, comment, position, is he says what would be of value to him, what would be -- I think his comment was it was the government who interjected costs and expenses in this case. The government was the one who went down this road, and it's just now that he has to respond.

I will respond in this way, Your Honor, twofold.

One, his opening statements were when he created the chart where he showed how you need to calculate the expenses. He has known from -- his defense position from minute one in his opening statement is this is what is necessary. This is what is necessary to calculate the expenses, the total costs, and so forth.

So this isn't anything new. He has always known what it is and what was going on. These exhibits and documents that have been in our possession have been provided to him well long before this. So he still has that opportunity. He's still in his defense case-in-chief to do what he needs to do to utilize these, to call back John Drum to continue his cross-examination, to continue to do whatever he needs to do.

If Your Honor believes a short recess is necessary for that, Your Honor can grant him that. But there is no reason why this jury who has heard the evidence for as long

as they have heard the evidence with regards to what has 09:11 1 09:11 2 been on the table from the inception -- that anything other than a short recess is needed to provide him the opportunity 3 09:11 09:11 4 to do whatever he needs to do if Your Honor even believes a short recess is necessary. 09:11 5 09:11 6 Your Honor would need to have found an actual 7 violation I believe to get to some of the remedies he is 09:11 09:11 8 asking for. I believe that the record does not show that at all including, as Your Honor has mentioned, whether or not, 09:11 9 (a) it's in anybody's possession or anybody has acted in bad 09:11 10 11 faith or --09:11 09:11 12 THE COURT: Bad faith isn't necessary. 13 MR. SAGEL: It's not necessary --09:12 09:12 14 THE COURT: And I'm not prepared on this record to 15 find that you were inaccurate when you said that the 09:12 16 Prosecution Team has been transparent. To the extent of its 09:12 09:12 17 knowledge, I believe that's an accurate statement --18 accurate to the extent of its knowledge. 09:12 19 MR. SAGEL: I guess where I respond to Your Honor 09:12 09:12 20 in that regard is when you look at the cases that are being cited and what the remedies are being sought both by the 21 09:12 09:12 22 defendant or in the cases he references, they are --09:12 23 THE COURT: I think I have your position. Thank 24 09:12 you. 25 MR. SAGEL: Thank you, Your Honor. 09:12

THE COURT: Mr. Fitzgerald, do you want to say 09:12 1 09:12 2 something? MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, I do, Your Honor. 3 The Court 09:12 09:12 4 is going to rule. I need to have before it in the record 5 certain very important facts from the Privilege Review side 09:12 of the case. 09:13 6 7 09:13 The summary of that is to the extent that this 8 09:13 Court believes this is a classic Brady case, to the extent 9 that the Court believes that this case is controlled by 09:13 10 Bundy, with all due respect, the Court is wrong. It is 09:13 11 wrong on the facts, and it is wrong on the law. 09:13 09:13 12 Let me start with the facts because that informs why the Court is wrong on the law. The facts are --13 09:13 14 MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, I'm going to interpose 09:13 09:13 15 an objection because it's the government who has just 16 opposed the motion. If Mr. Fitzgerald is here, I don't 09:13 17 understand the context of these statements. This does not 09:13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

09:13

09:13

09:14

09:14

09:14

09:14

09:14

09:14

THE COURT: I agree. Mr. Fitzgerald is here to bring the facts to the Court, not argue the law.

be advocating for either side under these circumstances.

sound like this is a factual discussion about what happened

with the Privilege Review Team. Mr. Fitzgerald should not

MR. FITZGERALD: Very well, Your Honor. I will forego the legal part of it, but there are other facts as opposed to just what has happened in the last 36 hours that

I think bear directly on the legal issues that are before the Court.

THE COURT: Please.

09:14

09:14

09:14

09:14

09:14

09:14

09:14

09:14

09:14

09:15

09:15

09:15

09:15

09:15

09:15

09:15

09:15

09:15

09:15

09:15

09:15

09:16

09:16

09:16

09:16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FITZGERALD: Those are the Privilege Review

Team really had two tasks. The one that we have talked

about before -- for example, back in October with clawback

documents was taking the material that was found and

determining what the privilege consequences were and sending

it to the Prosecution Team or sending it to the defense.

But the other part that has turned out really in the long-run I think to be more important and also more difficult from my perspective is that we ended up on the Privilege Review side being responsible for a major part of the investigation of the case post-Indictment, namely, making sure that the search warrants were conducted correctly.

So we were responsible -- putting the digital things aside, it would be as if we were then responsible to send agents out to a house to seize things that were allowed under the search warrant. And it turned out in retrospect that the things in the attic weren't seized. And once they weren't seized, then they are not part of the case.

I don't mean that to make a distinction between what the Prosecution Team knew and what I knew. Obviously for purposes of Brady, we can't rely on that distinction,

09:16

09:16

09:16

09:16

09:16

09:16

09:16

09:17

09:17

09:17

09:17

09:17

09:17

09:17

09:17

09:17

09:17

09:17

09:17

09:17

09:18

09:18

09:18

09:18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and we are not doing that. But we can rely on the distinction between things that were seized and things that were not seized. The things that were seized under the search warrant were the things that went through filters, went to the relativity database, were presented to a team of agents who worked very diligently and as hard as they could to fulfill the Court's reasonable request about when the case would be ready for trial.

And it was through those two processes, the initial filtering and then the seizure by those agents, that the case material, the things that for legal purposes were in the possession of the government, that was created.

Now, again, was that search perfect? I'm the first to admit now that we know about the Tabs data, no, it was not. But that is still a difference between having something that was in the government's possession and having something that was not in the government's possession.

I may be treading on legal issues, but I would respectfully submit based on this factual analysis there is a legal argument on whether this Tabs data earlier was something that had been, quote/unquote, "seized" and was something that was therefore part of the case.

Now, could we have seized it? Well, obviously yes, because we have been able to do that now. Should we have seized it and should any consequences of the Privilege

09:18

09:18

09:18

09:18

09:18

09:18

09:18

09:18

09:19

09:19

09:19

09:19

09:19

09:19

09:19

09:19

09:19

09:19

09:19

09:19

09:19

09:19

09:20

09:20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Review Team's inability to find that or know about it or seize it -- should that be held on behalf of the government?

That respectfully without getting into the merits is a different argument than a pure Brady/Bundy argument.

In making that determination, the other fact that needs to be in the record is pursuant to the Court's concern in denying the defense full access to the servers in its August 2019 order, it did order the Privilege Review Team to make them available to the defense.

THE COURT: I believe that was voluntary on behalf of the government. I don't believe I ordered that. I thought that was a government offer to have them come in and sit down with the IRS rep.

MR. FITZGERALD: I wasn't here when that happened, but whether it was our suggestion as to a staff backlog that they got what they needed or whether it was at the direction or suggestion of the Court, certainly I thought we were acting under the authority of the Court in making that happen.

So in September 2019 and then in October 2019, defense counsel and the defendant came to the IRS Offices, and the same computer specialist who set up the system that now exists in our office and which both parties reviewed last night, a similar system, virtual system was set up in September and October. And based on those searches, certain

files were requested by the defense.

09:20

09:20

09:20

09:20

09:20

09:20

09:20

09:20

09:20

09:20

09:20

09:20

09:21

09:21

09:21

09:21

09:21

09:21

09:21

09:21

09:21

09:21

09:21

09:21

09:21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I went through and reviewed them. And based on the parameters of the search warrant -- because, again, this was something that was totally outside the search warrant. Obviously these weren't the protocols to let defense counsel and the defendant just grab things.

So once they made their requests, I sat down with my then colleague, and we determined that some of them were valid, and some of them we thought under the search warrant were not valid. There were six of those. So we told them no, and we told them why. And for all the others, we turned them over to the defense.

The first one on that list I now feel I can certainly say here in open court was a request for QuickBooks files, which we produced. And at that point after we produced it, we did not get another specific request from the defense.

So when the Court focuses on the issue of who knew what and who is responsible for the Tabs data not being available to the defense, it needs to take into account that it was the defendant and his counsel who had seen this virtual system which is now at issue. They've seen it twice.

The Prosecution Team has seen it zero. The Prosecution Team was not told about the files which were

09:22

09:22

09:22

09:22

09:22

09:22

09:22

09:22

09:22

09:22

09:22

09:22

09:22

09:22

09:22

09:22

09:22

09:22

09:23

09:23

09:23

09:23

09:23

09:23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

produced to the defense two years ago. And I think both under the law and basic fairness that should really make a difference.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this. If all this new Tabs data and new QuickBooks data had come to light, if you were aware of that at the beginning, would you have reviewed those materials, made a determination whether anything in those newly identified materials were subject to the subpoena, and reviewed them and passed on what was appropriate to the Prosecution Team?

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, Your Honor. A small correction. The Court said subpoena. Again, this was all through the search warrants.

THE COURT: Oh, search warrant. Yes, that's right.

MR. FITZGERALD: We understand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But because those materials identified in the past few days weren't identified by the Privilege Review Team at the time, they have not yet been reviewed by the Privilege Review Team to determine whether they are material under the search warrant that was appropriate to produce and would have been passed on to the Prosecution Team.

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, Your Honor. Of course, in

09:23

09:23

09:23

09:23

09:23

09:23

09:23

09:23

09:23

09:23

09:24

09:24

09:24

09:24

09:24

09:24

09:24

09:24

09:24

09:24

09:24

09:24

09:25

09:25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

looking at something whether it would have been judged to be relevant to the case and fall under the terms of the search warrant, that of course can be sometimes a close issue or a judgment call. But here I can definitely say it is not a close issue. It is not a judgment call.

If I had found out about the existence of the Tabs data through the defense request in September of 2019, it would certainly, like the QuickBooks data, have been included in what was produced. If any of the searching taint agents had seized it and then it had been reviewed by the Privilege Review Team, we would definitely have said that it (a) is not privileged; and (b) it is certainly relevant, and we would have passed it on to the Prosecution Team.

Again, this is not an issue where we are quibbling about its relevance or the fact that reasonable prosecutors and defense attorneys would like to have this. It's just the fact that it was -- again, in retrospect, certain things that I would like to have had seized were not seized.

But going back to my earlier analogy where searching agents went to a house and didn't search the attic when one is talking about five terabytes of data, that is more similar to having a search warrant for a small town. I think it is fair when you have the former mayor of the town on the other side that you can get guidance as to where you

48

```
need to look.
09:25
         1
09:25
         2
                        Thank you, Your Honor.
                        THE COURT: Thank you. We are going to take about
         3
09:25
09:25
         4
             a ten-minute break here.
         5
                               (Recess taken at 9:25 a.m.;
09:25
09:25
         6
                               proceedings resumed at 9:36 a.m.)
         7
10:10
        8
10:10
        9
10:10
        10
10:10
        11
10:10
10:10
        12
        13
10:10
        14
10:10
10:10
        15
        16
10:10
        17
10:10
        18
10:10
        19
10:10
        20
10:10
        21
        22
                        MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, can I approach, please?
09:35
        23
        24
                        THE COURT: Yes.
09:36
        25
                        MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, I would like to start
09:36
```

where Mr. Fitzgerald ended.

09:36

09:36

09:36

09:36

09:37

09:37

09:37

09:37

09:37

09:37

09:37

09:37

09:37

09:38

09:38

09:38

09:38

09:38

09:38

09:38

09:38

09:38

09:39

09:39

09:39

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Fitzgerald claimed that this data was not seized. There is no question the data was seized. It was contained within the forensic images of the servers. In fact -- and I have handed the Court a copy of the search warrant application, which is Document 4-1 on 8:19-MJ-00418. This is the search warrant application for the ten devices, which included the Eagan Avenatti servers.

This is the application that the Assistant U.S. Attorneys prepared and which was supported by the affidavit of Special Agent Karlous back in the spring of 2019. This warrant which was ultimately issued provides in detail as to what was to be seized and searched and what the government was to look for.

In particular, it enumerates a number of categories of information that the government was to search. This is what the government asked to search for. This is what the government claimed in the application they needed for the prosecution of the case.

I will direct the Court's attention to paragraphs -- page 7 of 330 at the top, paragraph F:

"Records, documents, programs, applications, or materials from January 2011 through March 25, 2019, relating to the accounting records for Avenatti or any of the subject entities" -- that's a defined term, Your Honor, to include

the law firm -- "including any Microsoft Dynamics NAV, 1 09:39 09:39 2 QuickBooks, or other electronic accounting data, files, or records" (G) -- I am going to shortcut it -- relating to any 3 09:39 financial transactions, including any proposed or potential 09:39 4 financial transactions, including any of the subject 5 09:39 entities and/or Avenatti. 09:39 6 09:39 7 If you fast-forward to letter (P) on page 9 of 330, this is even more specific. Paragraph P requires the 09:39 8 9 "search for documents, records, applications, materials 09:40 relating to attorneys' fees or costs, and client billing 09:40 10 11 records." 09:40 09:40 12 If you go on to letter T on page 10 of 330: "Records, documents, programs, applications, or materials 09:40 13 14 from April 1st, 2011, to March 25, 2019, relating to 09:40 Avenatti and/or EA LLP's representation of Client 1, 09:40 15 16 including the approximately \$4 million settlement payment." 09:40 17 09:41 If you go to U immediately underneath that, same 18 thing relating to Client 2. 09:41 If you go to W, same thing as it relates to Client 19 09:41 20 3. 09:41 So there is no question that this data was seized. 09:41 21 It was on our forensic copies. If it wasn't seized, 09:41 22 Mr. Varani could not have accessed it yesterday and provided 09:41 23 24 the data to the defense. There is no question it was 09:41 25 seized.

09:41

09:41

09:41

09:41

09:41

09:41

09:42

09:42

09:42

09:42

09:42

09:42

09:42

09:42

09:42

09:42

09:42

09:42

09:42

09:42

09:42

09:43

09:43

09:43

09:43

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor, they didn't leave the box behind in the attic. They took all of the boxes out of the attic, and they've been in the possession of the government -- the boxes from the attic -- for years.

There is discussion about what was made available by way of the virtual server, Your Honor. All of the data from Tabs and QuickBooks was not made available by way of the virtual server two years ago or in September/October of 2019.

On one occasion a certain e-mail was made available for us to review. And, in fact, we know all the data wasn't made available because the data from Mr. Varani came from the forensic copies held in Washington, D.C. We didn't have access to the forensic copies.

But putting aside the issue of access, as Your Honor rightfully noted, access does not relieve the government of its affirmative obligation to seek out exculpatory information or information favorable to the defense and to provide it to the defense. It also doesn't relieve the government from its obligations under your January 2021 order, which could not have been more clear.

There is discussion by Mr. Sagel about what this data shows and what it doesn't show, and I'm happy to get into some of those details, although our review continues. There is no question this data was relevant even under his

09:43

09:43

09:43

09:43

09:43

09:43

09:43

09:43

09:43

09:43

09:43

09:44

09:44

09:44

09:44

09:44

09:44

09:44

09:44

09:44

09:44

09:45

09:45

09:45

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

theory, and that was specifically one of the things that was ordered by Your Honor to be produced in January of 2021, relevant information.

As Your Honor rightfully noted in one of Your Honor's questions, even if it didn't show any differences, it would still be relevant. Your Honor also rightfully noted the existence now of data relating to Ms. Gardner in Tabs.

Whether the data is the same or not -- and I submit that it's not. Whether it's the same or not is of no consequence. The issue we now have is that there was evidence put before the jury that there was no Tabs data for Gardner. There was never any Tabs data for Gardner. We now know that that's not accurate.

The printouts that the government provided the defense last night, Your Honor, are not complete. They do not contain all of the data for the clients in the Indictment. There are unallocated costs within Tabs. There are other reports in Tabs. There's other information even in the short period of time that I was able to review the information last night that casts serious doubt as to the printouts by the government.

The information that was provided by way of the Tabs data and likely the QuickBooks data goes directly to motive and intent, Your Honor, among other things. While it

09:45

09:45

09:45

09:45

09:45

09:45

09:45

09:45

09:45

09:45

09:45

09:45

09:46

09:46

09:46

09:46

09:46

09:46

09:46

09:46

09:46

09:46

09:46

09:46

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

might be true that I in my opening statement referenced the calculations on the chart and talked about what was required, I'm perplexed as to how that helps the government.

If the government was aware by way of my opening statement that that was my defense, to the extent that they did not understand the importance of that cost data, the Tabs data and the QuickBooks data, they were certainly on notice at that point in time. And yet they did nothing to look for the data. They did nothing to produce it to the defense.

They obviously knew the importance of the Tabs data because they had Mr. Drum rely on the two exhibits, one from Mr. Barela and one from Mr. Johnson. They were on notice from Ms. Regnier of the importance of the Tabs data and the information.

Lastly, let me make this point, Your Honor -- and we have another pending filing relating to this issue.

There is no question that when the Prosecution Team believed a document existed on those servers or within the information seized that was in the possession of the Privilege Review Team -- there is no question that they had the ability to and in fact did reach out to the Privilege Review Team and inquire as to where A, B, C, D, or E was.

That's how Mr. Andre -- we put this in our reply. That's how Mr. Andre was able to represent to the Court that a

09:46

09:46

09:47

09:47

09:47

09:47

09:47

09:47

09:47

09:47

09:47

09:47

09:47

09:47

09:47

09:47

09:47

09:47

09:48

09:48

09:48

09:48

09:48

09:48

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

QuickBooks file was on its way to be produced. He would not have known that but for communication with the Privilege
Review Team as to that fact because clearly the government wanted the QuickBooks files produced.

About a month or two before the trial, Your Honor, we had not heard from Mr. Fitzgerald in some time, and the defense received notification from Mr. Fitzgerald that all of a sudden the Privilege Review Team was getting ready to produce to the Prosecution Team about 20 or 25 documents that had not been previously produced.

We were puzzled by this because we had not heard from the Privilege Review Team in some time, and it seemed odd to us that just out of the blue the Privilege Review Team would have located these 20 to 25 documents to produce to the Prosecution Team.

Upon review of the documents, Your Honor, we discovered that they were relevant, and it told us that the prosecution wanted to use the documents in the trial.

That's why all of a sudden they were being produced to the Prosecution Team. Now, I don't know if that's the case or not, but there's strong evidence to suggest that it was.

And the one thing that you haven't heard here today, Your Honor, and the one thing that you've never heard, the representation that's never been made by either Mr. Sagel, Mr. Wyman, Mr. Andre prior, or by

09:48

09:48

09:48

09:48

09:48

09:48

09:48

09:48

09:48

09:48

09:49

09:49

09:49

09:49

09:49

09:49

09:49

09:49

09:49

09:49

09:49

09:49

09:49

09:49

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Fitzgerald -- the one thing the Court has never heard is that the Prosecution Team was not in regular contact with the Privilege Review Team for the purpose of gaining documents and information that they thought would help their case. That representation has never been made, and I would submit, Your Honor, it never will be made, because the fact of the matter is that the Privilege Review Team has worked closely with the government when it benefited the government as it related to preparation for trial.

The prejudice associated with this information not being produced is extraordinary. Your Honor touched on that through some of your questioning. I should have had the benefit of this information. I should have been able to use it in cross-examination and otherwise. And details matter.

I should have been able to use the lack of taking into account various costs and even post-settlement payments, Your Honor. The \$37,000 payment I think was made within a few months of the settlement. Who's to say that wasn't associated with the settlement? It's not some minor \$1,900 payment. It's a significant payment. I should have been able to cross-examine Mr. Drum with the benefit of that. I should have had the data. I wasn't provided the data.

Even when I complained about it, I was repeatedly told that I had everything when they knew I did not have

everything. They knew I didn't have the Tabs data. 09:49 1 09:50 2 For each of those reasons, Your Honor, I would ask that the Court grant the defense motion at 706. I'm happy 3 09:50 09:50 4 to answer any other questions. THE COURT: No. 09:50 5 Thank you. 09:50 6 MR. FITZGERALD: Your Honor, may I address the 09:50 7 Court? THE COURT: Sir, he gets the last word. 09:50 8 MR. FITZGERALD: But he made representations about 09:50 9 the 25 documents. 09:50 10 11 THE COURT: The moving party gets the last word. 09:50 09:50 12 I want to review the facts in the case as I see them before setting out my ruling. 13 09:50 14 First of all, financial data is critical to this 09:50 15 case. I don't believe the government disputes that, 09:50 16 although the government suggests that there may be other 09:50 17 critical data. But if one looks to Mr. Drum's charts, 09:50 particularly 430 through 457 that sum up the case, those 09:50 18 19 charts are based almost exclusively on financial data. 09:50 20 Second, the government was on notice, as 09:51 Mr. Avenatti pointed out, for some time of the existence of 21 09:51 09:51 22 Tabs data. In a July 25, 2019, interview with Ms. Regnier, the government learned of the Tabs data. The notes of 09:51 23 24 Special Agent Karlous indicate that it relates to billing 09:51 25 and accounting. That particular handwritten memo is 09:51

attached to Defense 1084. 09:51 1 09:51 2 Of more significance I think is the interview with Ms. Regnier on November 19, 2019. The report of that 3 09:52 09:52 4 interview was Defendant's Exhibit 1085. At paragraph 14, 5 Ms. Regnier indicated -- I will quote the paragraph -- not 09:52 09:52 6 her quote but a quote from the report: "EA used two systems 09:52 7 to track case expenses. The first is QuickBooks, and the 09:52 8 accounting entries came from expense reports, et cetera. 9 09:52 The second system used by EA to track case expenses is Tabs." 09:52 10 11 I believe that between those two interviews the 09:52 09:52 12 government was fully on notice of the significance of the 13 Tabs data. The questioning at trial I believe established 09:52 14 that no effort was made to secure the Tabs data. On 09:53 09:53 15 August 18, the morning session at page 40 provides the 16 following. The question was being put to Agent Karlous: 09:53 17 09:53 "O As you sit here today two years after being told in a conference call with other members of 09:53 18 19 the investigative team about the Tabs data -- as 09:53 20 you sit here today, do you recall a single thing 09:53 that you or anyone else did to confirm or deny the 09:53 21 existence of the Tabs data on the servers? 09:53 22 I don't know if we have Tabs data." 09:54 23 ''A 24 Then it continues over on page 41: 09:54 25 "O Okay. Well, in light of your answer, have 09:54

```
09:54
         1
                   you personally -- let me ask you this. Are you
09:54
         2
                   aware of anyone on the investigative team in the
                   last two years making inquiry as to whether the
         3
09:54
09:54
         4
                   Tabs data was included within the forensic images
                   on the servers?
09:54
         5
                          It may have occurred, but I don't know."
09:54
         6
                   ''A
         7
                        Similar questioning was conducted the next day of
09:54
09:54
         8
             Agent Tashchyan. It was apparent from their testimony that
         9
             no one had gone back to the forensic copies of the servers
09:54
09:54
        10
             to conduct a search.
                        I think the significance of the Tabs data was
        11
09:54
09:54
        12
             pointed out early in the trial when Ms. Regnier testified on
             the morning session on July 28 at page 93:
        13
09:55
                          When you had to figure out costs for a
09:55
        14
        15
                   case, you would look at Tabs; would you not?
09:55
        16
                          No. I would look at both Tabs and
09:55
                   ''A
09:55
        17
                   QuickBooks.
                          Why would you look at both QuickBooks and
09:55
        18
                   "Q
        19
                   Tabs?
09:55
09:55
        20
                   ''A
                          To make sure we had encompassed all the
        21
09:55
                   costs.
09:55
        22
                   "O
                          You couldn't rely on just one? You had to
                   look at both?
        23
09:55
        24
                   ''A
09:55
                          Yes.
        25
                          Otherwise the calculation could be wrong?
                   "O
09:55
```

"A Correct."

09:55

09:55

09:55

09:55

09:55

09:55

09:56

09:56

09:56

09:56

09:56

09:57

09:57

09:57

09:57

09:57

09:57

09:57

09:57

09:57

09:57

09:58

09:58

09:58

09:58

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think that testimony in and of itself is sufficient to establish the materiality of the Tabs data, particularly where at least in the case of three of the victim clients the costs were an element in determining what net payment Mr. Avenatti was entitled to out of the settlement.

The Prosecution Team conducted a search of its files and provided the Court an extensive filing at Docket 737 of various pieces of Tabs data. Two of the reports were identical to the trial exhibits, Exhibit 48, the Johnson draft, and Exhibit 174, the Barela Tabs data.

All the other Tabs documents related to other clients and really aren't material to this case. It shows that the government did a diligent search for Tabs data in the materials that the Prosecution Team had, but it by no means convinced the Court that that was the only Tabs data that was out there to be had in the materials which were seized.

Tabs data wasn't used at trial, as I indicated,
Tabs data offered for proving up the Johnson loss, and
similarly for Barela. No Tabs data was had for Gardner. I
think that it's significant that the prosecution didn't have
the benefit of other Tabs data relating to those two clients
and relating to Gardner for whom no Tabs data was offered.

60

I also think Tabs data would have been of assistance to the government even with respect to the two other victims, Tran and Phan.

09:58

09:58

09:58

09:58

09:58

09:58

09:58

09:58

09:58

09:58

09:58

09:58

09:58

09:59

09:59

09:59

09:59

09:59

09:59

09:59

09:59

09:59

09:59

09:59

09:59

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, you said "government" the last two times. Was that purposeful, or did you mean to say the "defense"?

THE COURT: I meant to say the defense.

MR. AVENATTI: I'm sorry to interrupt.

THE COURT: Thank you for the correction.

The documents would have been of assistance to the defense in questioning the appropriate amount that

Mr. Avenatti drew down for himself, even though with respect to Tran and Phan, no costs were relevant because their fee contracts simply provided for a straight net percentage out of the amounts recovered.

I believe that the data would have been useful in an overall showing that the government's accounting records, the methods of Mr. Drum in particular, weren't accurate. He wasn't accurate in part of his analysis. I think the jury could question the accuracy of his methods and results with respect to other clients.

It is no answer that the government is not required to prove the exact amount that Mr. Avenatti misappropriated. The government put forth a number, and I believe the defendant was entitled to challenge that number

09:59

10:00

10:00

10:00

10:00

10:00

10:00

10:00

10:00

10:00

10:00

10:00

10:00

10:00

10:00

10:00

10:00

10:01

10:01

10:01

10:01

10:01

10:01

10:01

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and to show that it was not accurate. It may have shown that the amount was appropriated was lesser or greater, or it would have put a question mark there, and I think the defendant was entitled to have the ability to put that question mark there.

I think the testimony -- the factual presentations this morning indicate to me that out of the identified Tabs material it would likely have been relevant material to assist the defense in its cross-examination.

Mr. Fitzgerald indicated to me that if the additional materials for Tabs and QuickBooks were identified as part of the initial process of screening the search warrant materials, he would have reviewed these materials, and if anything were relevant, he would have passed them on to the Prosecution Team. We'll never know the answer to that question because it didn't occur. It seems to me given the volume of Tabs and the representations I have received from the defense this morning that there would have been at least some data passed on.

The question then is is there a violation of Brady? I focus on Brady because I think the analysis of Brady is sufficient, even though there may be other grounds which would call for the government's production of materials from the Tabs data at least be identified.

Brady versus Maryland, 373 U.S. 83-87 (1963),

requires production of materials that are advantageous to the defendant or that tend to call into doubt -- call the government's case into doubt.

10:01

10:01

10:01

10:01

10:01

10:02

10:02

10:02

10:02

10:02

10:02

10:02

10:02

10:02

10:02

10:02

10:02

10:02

10:02

10:03

10:03

10:03

10:03

10:03

10:03

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Brady establishes three requirements: One, the evidence at issue must be favorable to the accused. I find that the Tabs and other accounting data that was not produced would have been favorable to the defendant.

Two, the evidence must have been suppressed by the government willfully or inadvertently. I find that it was, quote, "suppressed," although I don't think that's the appropriate word in the context. But it wasn't produced through inadvertence and a failure to appreciate what was there.

I find no willful conduct on the part of the Prosecution Team. I find no willful conduct on the part of the Privilege Review Team. I think the Taint Team has fairly acknowledged that there may have been some shortcomings in the review process.

Finally, there must be a finding that prejudice must have ensued. I find that prejudice occurred here in a number of ways. I think the defendant was denied an opportunity to craft his overall theory of the case and presentation, including the opening statement, by not having this additional material. I believe that the defense was prejudiced in its ability to cross-examine certain

witnesses, in particular, Mr. Drum.

10:03

10:03

10:03

10:04

10:04

10:04

10:04

10:04

10:04

10:04

10:04

10:04

10:04

10:04

10:04

10:04

10:04

10:04

10:05

10:05

10:05

10:05

10:05

10:05

10:05

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

At page 5 of his most recent report at Docket 775, Mr. Avenatti outlines a number of things that he could have done had he had the Tabs information, including cross-examination of certain witnesses, ability to question the government's preparation techniques generally, and so on. I won't repeat those.

The question is what remedy should I adopt? I do not believe that an adjournment is an appropriate remedy. First of all, an adjournment would not solve the problem that Mr. Avenatti didn't have this material at the front end to craft his theory of the case, his opening statement, and examining of the witnesses. He might have done something different, or he might not have done something different if he had this data. The point is he didn't have the opportunity to make that choice.

I believe that any adjournment wouldn't be a short-lived affair but would require a significant amount of time (a) to complete the production to the defendant of the newly described materials and then allow the defendant adequate time to assimilate and craft a strategy based on the newly produced material. I am not prepared to say that that would be a short-lived effort. Given the volume of new material, it seems unlikely that effort would be short-lived.

10:05

10:05

10:05

10:05

10:05

10:05

10:06

10:06

10:06

10:06

10:06

10:06

10:06

10:06

10:06

10:06

10:06

10:07

10:07

10:07

10:07

10:07

10:07

10:07

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The representation to the jury was our best estimate the case would be concluded by August 20.

Obviously, we are past that date, and the defense is still on the defense's case-in-chief. I do not believe it would be appropriate to hold the jury for an extended period of time to allow the defense adequate time to assimilate and prepare in light of the newly produced material.

I want to go back and emphasize two points. I repeat my findings that I find no misconduct on the part of the Prosecution Team and no misconduct on the part of the Taint Team. Shortcomings there may have been, but I find no misconduct, intentional or otherwise, on the part of the Taint Team in carrying out its activity.

For all those reasons, I grant a new trial. The matter will proceed to trial on October 12, 2021, at 8:30 a.m. That's the current date that we ought to have in place our severed portion of the case. I set a status conference for September 27, 2021, at 9:00 a.m. I set a further interim status conference for September 2 at 8:30 a.m. to discuss the overall timing of the case.

In terms of a retrial, you should be aware that I will be away from October 17th to October 24th. If we proceed on October 12th on the victim counts, we could impanel a jury I believe the week before I leave and then start the trial probably the week I come back. I want to

give the parties an opportunity to assimilate the schedule that I have put out there and come back to me with their thoughts. That's what we will do on September 2.

All pending motions are denied or moot at this time.

Anything further?

10:07

10:07

10:07

10:07

10:07

10:07

10:08

10:08

10:08

10:08

10:08

10:08

10:08

10:08

10:08

10:08

10:08

10:08

10:08

10:08

10:08

10:08

10:08

10:08

10:09

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, from the defense, I believe that the Motion for Mistrial should be with prejudice. I have heard Your Honor's directives this morning, but I would like to have an opportunity to at least submit briefing for consideration by the Court on that point once I have had a chance to look at some of the data. So what I would like to do is collect my thoughts and then propose a briefing schedule to the government and to the Court.

THE COURT: That's fine. I think we would like to resolve that issue. The strong presumption is that when a mistrial is granted at the request of the defendant, the grant of a new trial is proper. But I will afford you an opportunity to move for whatever relief you want. I don't think we should do it on an expedited basis, but we shouldn't drag it out either.

MR. AVENATTI: I agree, Your Honor. I'm going to need some time to look at the data, but I agree that it's going to have to be dealt with on a measured approach.

66

```
Anything further from the government?
10:09
         1
                       THE COURT:
10:09
         2
                       MR. SAGEL: No, Your Honor.
                       THE COURT: Okay, we will be adjourned.
         3
10:09
10:09
         4
             you.
                       MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, are you bringing the
10:09
         5
             jurors in? Are they here?
10:09
         6
         7
10:09
                        THE COURT: No, they're not here. I plan to send
10:09
         8
             them the usual certificate for service, and I plan to send
         9
             each one a personal letter indicating that I concluded the
10:09
             trial and thanking them for their service.
10:09
        10
        11
                       MR. AVENATTI: Understood.
10:09
10:09
        12
                        THE COURT: I'm not going to get into the merits
             of anything. They put in a significant amount of time, and
        13
10:09
        14
             I watched these folks. By and large, they were on time
10:09
        15
             every day. They were diligent. They were watching what was
10:09
        16
             going on. I think that the parties' efforts to help the
10:09
10:09
        17
             Court in seating a fair and impartial jury were achieved.
             They were diligent, and they should be told that.
10:09
        18
        19
                        Thank you.
10:10
                        (Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded.)
        20
10:10
10:10
        21
10:10
        22
10:10
        23
        24
10:10
        25
10:10
```

67

```
10:10
         1
10:10
         2
         3
10:10
10:10
         4
                                       CERTIFICATE
         5
10:10
                        I hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753,
10:10
         6
         7
10:10
             Title 28, United States Code, the foregoing is a true and
         8
             correct transcript of the stenographically reported
10:10
         9
             proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the
10:10
        10
             transcript page format is in conformance with the
10:10
        11
             regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.
10:10
10:10
        12
        13
             Date: August 25, 2021
10:10
        14
10:10
        15
10:10
                                           Sharon A. Seffens 8/25/21
                                     /s/
10:10
        16
10:10
                                    SHARON A. SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER
10:10
        17
10:10
        18
        19
        20
        21
        22
        23
        24
        25
```

	200 77 44/0 47/40 05/7 05/40 07/00	
Case 8:109 mr 10106 ftr 10037	2020 [5] 14/8 17/18 35/7 35/13 37/20 2024 [6] 47/18 35/7 35/13 37/20	1FGC/2 168 F06 G8 2 520 O6 2 1924: 17595
MR. AVENATTI: [25] 3/11 6/19 7/1	67/13	a.m [6] 3/1 48/5 48/6 64/16 64/18 64/19
9/15 10/13 11/19 13/1 13/20 15/25 1	6/10 21 [1] 67/15	abandon [1] 18/7
18/15 19/4 20/1 20/14 41/14 48/23 4	8/25 60/4 213 [1] 2/8	ability [4] 53/22 61/4 62/25 63/5
60/8 65/7 65/23 66/5 66/11 MR. FITZGERALD: [11] 3/18 3/25 4	24 [2] 1/17 3/1	able [16] 4/9 4/13 8/19 17/11 18/17 22/2 26/21 36/14 38/13 38/17 43/24 52/20 53/25
42/4 44/14 46/12 46/17 46/25 56/6 5	6/0 [24(11[4] 10/0 10/14 11/3 04/22	55/13 55/15 55/21
MR. SAGEL: [35] 3/5 21/5 21/13 21	125 22/14 25 [7] 49/23 50/14 54/9 54/14 56/10	about [35] 4/15 4/15 9/24 14/24 15/11 15/20
22/19 23/5 23/17 23/25 24/2 24/18 2	4/25 67/13 25th [5] 6/2 9/21 11/16 12/6 14/18	20/18 24/2 24/3 24/7 26/6 28/23 31/2 32/3
25/15 26/7 26/12 27/5 27/17 27/22 2	8/2 28/8 26 [1] 1/12	35/2 38/3 38/12 41/18 42/6 43/7 43/14 44/1
28/23 28/25 30/5 30/9 30/13 32/16 3	3/17 30/3 27 [1] 64/18	45/25 47/6 47/16 47/22 48/3 51/5 51/22 53/2
37/7 37/11 39/2 40/13 40/19 40/25 6	28 [2] 58/13 67/7	54/5 54/9 55/24 56/9 57/19
THE CLERK: [1] 3/3 THE COURT: [67] 3/10 3/13 3/24 6/	17 6/24 3	above [1] 67/9 above-entitled [1] 67/9
8/17 9/2 9/14 10/12 11/18 12/24 13/1	17 15/24	absent [1] 5/17
16/6 18/13 19/2 19/25 20/8 21/2 21/7	7 21/22 312 [1] 2/7	absolute [1] 27/11
22/9 22/16 23/2 23/8 23/21 24/1 24/1	1330 131 49/21 50/8 50/12	Absolutely [1] 16/10
25/11 25/16 26/9 27/1 27/10 27/19 2	1/24 Z0// 338-3598 [41 2/12	access [19] 5/22 6/7 8/23 23/19 25/5 25/6
28/16 28/24 30/2 30/7 30/10 32/14 3 40/12 40/14 40/23 41/1 41/21 42/3 4	3/10 3//3 4/10 46/5 3598 [1] 2/12	26/21 27/1 27/3 33/19 34/15 34/20 34/21 37/17 37/25 44/7 51/14 51/15 51/16
46/15 46/18 48/3 48/24 56/5 56/8 56/	/11 60/7 36 [1] 41/25	accessed [1] 50/23
60/9 65/16 66/1 66/3 66/7 66/12	373 [1] 61/25	accessible [1] 8/2
•	3rd [1] 30/21	accessing [2] 5/19 6/1
\$	4	according [2] 9/22 11/24
\$1,810,000 [1] 34/5	4-1 [1] 49/6	account [3] 22/10 45/20 55/16
\$1,900 [2] 29/13 55/20 \$1,910,000 [41, 34/5]	40 [1] 57/15	accounting [7] 12/5 49/24 50/2 56/25 57/8
\$1,910,000 [1] 34/5 \$111,113.22 [2] 31/12 31/13	400,000 [1] 34/18	accuracy [2] 22/12 60/20
\$27,000 [1] 29/16	408 [1] 14/19	accurate [11] 19/11 22/23 27/15 28/12 28/18
\$37,000 [2] 29/16 55/17	41 [1] 57/24	40/17 40/18 52/14 60/18 60/19 61/1
\$4 [2] 21/19 50/16	411 [2] 1/20 2/11 430 [2] 21/8 56/18	accurately [1] 37/19
\$4 million [2] 21/19 50/16	457 [3] 21/9 21/9 56/18	accused [1] 62/5
\$900 [1] 33/9	48 [5] 15/21 15/22 16/18 28/8 59/11	achieved [1] 66/17
\$944 [1] 33/7	481-4900 [1] 2/17	acknowledged [2] 12/13 62/17 acknowledging [1] 26/10
'	4900 [1] 2/17	across [1] 22/12
'10 [1] 30/25	4:00 last [1] 8/20	Act [1] 14/14
'11 [1] 30/25	4th [4] 1/20 25/17 30/21 31/1	acted [2] 19/20 40/10
1	5	acting [2] 27/11 44/18
/- [4] 67/15	543-0870 [1] 1/21	activity [1] 64/13
/s [1] 67/15	5th [1] 29/2	actual [3] 8/24 19/14 40/6 actually [7] 8/2 8/4 17/7 23/3 32/9 35/21
0	6	35/22
00418 [1] 49/6	6,000 [2] 38/20 38/23	addition [1] 37/4
0870 [1] 1/21	6683 [1] 2/8	additional [2] 61/11 62/24
1	6:00 p.m [2] 4/16 7/16	address [3] 22/5 22/21 56/6
1-1053 [1] 1/20		adequate [2] 63/21 64/6 adjourned [1] 66/3
10 [1] 50/12	7	adjournment [31, 63/9, 63/10, 63/17
1019 [1] 17/17	706 [6] 9/6 9/9 19/17 19/21 20/25 56/	admit [2] 16/2 43/14
1053 [1] 1/20	714 [2] 1/21 2/12 72 [1] 25/11	admitted [1] 15/21
107 [1] 2/16	737 [2] 24/15 59/10	adopt [1] 63/8
1084 [3] 11/18 11/19 57/1	74 [1] 5/6	advantage [2] 23/18 38/1 advantageous [1] 62/1
1085 [3] 12/25 13/1 57/4 1100 [1] 2/7	745 [2] 10/6 10/10	advintageous [1] 62/1
12 [3] 4/14 7/15 64/15	753 [1] 67/6	ADVISORY [1] 2/15
12/19/2017 [1] 31/9	775 [2] 3/16 63/2	advocating [1] 41/20
12th [3] 17/1 24/8 64/23	8	affair [1] 63/18
14 [4] 4/14 7/15 13/2 57/4	8/25/21 [1] 67/15	affidavit [1] 49/10
16 [3] 10/3 17/11 18/8	8000 [1] 2/11	affirmative [1] 51/17 afford [1] 65/19
17 [2] 5/24 6/1 174 [6] 15/21 15/22 16/18 31/8 31/8	59/12 83-87 [1] 61/25	afforded [1] 36/8
174 [1] 64/22	8/ [1] 01/25	after [20] 4/2 6/4 6/11 10/2 10/20 12/8 12/9
18 [1] 57/15	894-6683 [1] 2/8	14/13 25/23 29/2 29/5 29/11 29/19 29/22
19 [2] 12/21 57/3	8:05 [1] 3/1 8:19-MJ-00418 [1] 49/6	30/20 31/25 33/5 33/8 45/16 57/17
193 [3] 31/8 31/10 31/13	8:30 [1] 64/15	again [13] 13/25 14/2 16/25 18/25 34/15
1963 [1] 61/25 1st [1] 50/14	8:30 a.m [1] 64/19	34/24 36/23 38/4 43/13 45/3 46/13 47/15 47/18
	9	agent [12] 6/6 9/23 11/21 11/22 12/21 12/22
2		16/22 38/11 49/11 56/24 57/16 58/8
20 [3] 54/9 54/14 64/2	90012 [1] 2/8	agents [5] 42/19 43/6 43/10 47/10 47/21
2011 [2] 49/23 50/14	92672 [1] 2/16 92701 [2] 1/20 2/11	ago [5] 11/4 19/8 24/20 46/1 51/8
2012 [1] 30/25	93 [1] 58/13	agree [8] 13/24 19/25 21/3 30/5 36/24 41/21
2015 [2] 30/21 31/1 2017 [1] 31/9	949 [1] 2/17	65/23 65/24 agreed [5] 5/2 5/19 7/1 8/6 23/24
2017 [1] 51/9 2019 [24] 5/7 6/2 9/21 10/2 10/2 10/8	968 [1] 17/17	agreements [2] 3/22 4/20
11/3 11/16 12/6 12/21 25/18 34/12 3	7/20 44/8 9:00 [1] 64/18	agrees [1] 6/20
44/20 44/20 47/7 49/11 49/23 50/14	19:25 111 48/5	ahead [1] 24/1
56/22 57/3	9.30 [1] 40/0	ALEXANDER [2] 2/5 3/6
00/22 01/0		7.2.2.0 0.1.2 2.1. [2] =/0 0/0

assessment [11,4/16 assimilate [3] 11/2/16/20/20/20/2010 11/2/20/20 began [1] 7/23 Beginning [2] 56 06 26 2#:17596 Se 8: Co str. 0006 tr 003 7 20 000 0 11/14 12/3 4/11 5/1 5/8 7/6 7/25 10/3 11/14 12/3 behalf [4] 3/6 5/20 44/2 44/10 assist [1] 61/9 12/14 14/1 14/3 14/6 14/16 14/20 15/2 15/8 behind [1] 51/1 assistance [2] 60/2 60/10 16/23 18/18 20/24 22/10 24/14 27/17 29/3 Assistant [8] 2/4 2/6 2/10 10/7 11/22 12/18 beholden [1] 24/10 29/10 30/14 30/22 32/18 33/7 34/25 35/3 being [11] 10/18 25/21 33/11 40/20 40/21 12/22 49/9 35/10 36/18 38/14 38/16 38/18 40/9 41/10 associated [2] 55/10 55/19 42/13 45/19 54/19 55/11 57/16 57/17 45/11 46/5 46/13 51/2 51/6 51/11 52/17 54/7 believe [33] 3/20 4/16 5/23 9/2 15/14 19/4 **assuming [1]** 34/19 54/19 56/14 58/20 59/13 63/10 64/14 65/4 19/16 22/2 24/16 26/22 27/6 27/23 27/24 assurances [1] 12/10 allegedly [1] 20/10 attached [2] 16/19 57/1 35/15 36/3 37/5 38/12 40/7 40/8 40/17 44/10 allow [2] 63/20 64/6 44/11 56/15 57/11 57/13 60/16 60/25 62/24 attachment [1] 24/15 allowed [4] 4/21 6/13 23/10 42/19 attempt [1] 5/21 63/9 63/17 64/4 64/24 65/8 allowing [1] 33/19 attempted [1] 7/23 believed [2] 6/12 53/18 almost [2] 21/11 56/19 believes [5] 28/9 39/23 40/4 41/8 41/9 attention [2] 9/19 49/20 along [2] 33/13 35/6 belong [1] 37/23 benefit [4] 10/10 55/13 55/21 59/24 attic [5] 42/21 47/21 51/2 51/2 51/4 already [2] 14/11 32/10 Attorney [6] 2/3 2/4 2/6 2/10 10/7 12/22 also [9] 24/18 26/17 26/20 32/13 35/23 42/11 **Attorney's [2]** 6/6 7/19 benefited [1] 55/8 51/19 52/6 60/1 attorneys [4] 11/22 12/18 47/17 49/10 best [2] 38/7 64/1 alternative [1] 26/20 between [6] 17/19 34/6 42/23 43/2 43/15 attorneys' [1] 50/10 alternatively [1] 28/21 audit [1] 8/24 although [3] 51/24 56/16 62/10 beyond [3] 19/1 26/10 36/2 August [8] 1/17 3/1 17/1 24/8 44/8 57/15 64/2 always [1] 39/15 billing [3] 12/5 50/10 56/24 67/13 am [5] 27/8 33/18 36/25 50/3 63/22 August 12th [2] 17/1 24/8 **bills [1]** 31/9 **AMERICA [2]** 1/9 3/4 August 18 [1] 57/15 bit [1] 32/15 among [1] 52/25 August 20 [1] 64/2 blue [1] 54/13 amount [11] 7/13 9/16 9/17 13/9 13/10 28/14 August 2019 [1] 44/8 board [1] 22/12 60/11 60/23 61/2 63/18 66/13 AUSA [1] 9/24 both [11] 11/22 22/3 26/7 36/19 37/20 40/21 amounts [2] 33/25 60/15 authority [2] 24/9 44/18 44/23 46/1 58/16 58/18 58/23 Ana [4] 1/16 1/20 2/11 3/1 automatically [1] 35/25 box [1] 51/1 analogy [2] 27/18 47/20 avail [1] 11/15 boxes [2] 51/2 51/4 analysis [13] 21/17 22/17 23/3 28/21 29/1 Brady [32] 10/3 11/15 12/11 12/15 14/1 14/6 availability [1] 37/24 31/21 36/11 36/12 36/22 38/8 43/19 60/19 available [9] 8/23 21/10 22/22 44/9 45/20 14/9 18/8 18/10 19/19 23/22 24/3 27/2 27/2 51/5 51/7 51/11 51/12 27/3 27/3 27/7 27/16 34/7 36/11 36/12 36/12 analyzed [1] 21/9 AVENATTI [21] 1/11 2/14 3/4 3/12 5/13 6/18 36/23 37/22 41/8 42/25 44/4 61/21 61/21 analyzes [1] 21/15 9/10 12/1 26/19 27/25 37/5 49/8 49/24 50/6 61/22 61/25 62/4 Andre [8] 10/7 11/2 11/13 11/23 26/9 53/24 50/15 56/21 59/6 60/12 60/23 63/3 63/11 Brady/Bundy [1] 44/4 53/25 54/25 **BRANDON** [1] 2/4 Avenatti's [2] 3/14 9/6 Andre's [1] 25/17 Avenida [1] 2/16 break [1] 48/4 **Angeles [1]** 2/8 aware [6] 8/12 12/18 46/7 53/4 58/2 64/21 BRETT [2] 2/9 3/5 another [4] 12/23 33/8 45/16 53/17 away [1] 64/22 brief [1] 4/2 answer [5] 27/22 56/4 57/25 60/22 61/15 briefing [3] 9/16 65/11 65/14 any [33] 3/17 5/16 5/22 8/19 10/24 13/6 14/13 bring [3] 9/19 16/7 41/22 15/1 17/24 19/12 21/1 23/11 24/4 26/2 26/13 back [9] 23/23 39/20 42/6 47/20 49/11 58/9 bringing [1] 66/5 26/21 30/3 33/12 33/22 33/23 38/14 38/17 64/8 64/25 65/2 broad [1] 32/3 43/25 47/9 49/24 50/1 50/3 50/4 50/5 52/5 backlog [1] 44/15 broadbrush [1] 22/22 52/13 56/4 63/17 backwards [1] 23/17 **Building [1]** 2/10 anybody [1] 40/10 bad [3] 19/20 40/10 40/12 bunch [1] 8/25 anybody's [1] 40/10 bank [2] 35/17 35/25 Bundy [10] 17/15 17/20 17/21 18/3 20/6 24/3 anyone [3] 5/20 57/21 58/2 34/23 34/24 41/10 44/4 bankruptcy [1] 5/16 anything [14] 21/20 31/19 32/9 35/14 35/25 Barela [16] 15/23 16/22 29/24 31/5 31/9 37/4 37/21 39/15 40/2 46/8 61/14 65/6 66/1 31/11 31/12 32/22 33/4 33/9 36/6 38/14 38/22 66/13 CA [4] 1/20 2/8 2/11 2/16 53/13 59/12 59/22 apparent [2] 7/24 58/8 Barela's [1] 33/5 Cafe [1] 33/3 appear [1] 13/5 based [11] 5/5 13/22 21/16 29/1 29/3 31/21 calculate [2] 39/10 39/13 APPEARANCES [1] 2/1 43/19 44/25 45/2 56/19 63/21 calculated [1] 23/4 appellate [1] 17/22 baseless [1] 33/16 calculation [1] 58/25 applicable [2] 5/5 6/9 basic [3] 20/5 20/6 46/2 calculations [1] 53/2 application [4] 49/6 49/7 49/9 49/18 basically [2] 24/19 29/17 CALIFORNIA [3] 1/5 1/16 3/1 applications [3] 49/22 50/9 50/13 basis [4] 13/19 13/21 22/11 65/21 call [12] 9/20 11/24 12/2 14/7 36/20 39/20 appointed [2] 10/16 25/19 appreciate [2] 33/11 62/12 be [76] 47/4 47/5 57/18 61/23 62/2 62/2 came [8] 15/19 29/3 31/15 33/5 35/4 44/21 bear [1] 42/1 approach [4] 18/21 33/19 48/23 65/25 became [1] 7/24 51/13 57/8 appropriate [6] 46/11 46/22 60/11 62/11 63/9 because [34] 7/5 7/9 8/5 13/11 13/16 18/17 can [23] 9/12 16/15 21/25 23/6 23/13 24/6 19/11 19/23 22/10 22/22 27/6 30/9 31/22 32/6 27/9 29/7 29/23 29/24 29/25 30/19 31/6 32/5 appropriated [1] 61/2 33/20 36/10 39/24 43/1 45/13 47/3 47/4 47/25 32/24 33/22 34/19 35/20 35/25 37/18 38/5 approximately [1] 50/16 41/12 41/15 43/24 45/3 46/18 51/12 53/12 48/23 April [2] 10/1 50/14 54/3 54/11 55/6 60/13 61/16 61/21 can't [2] 36/18 42/25 **April 1st [1]** 50/14 been [61] 6/16 9/16 11/7 12/10 13/6 13/17 cannot [2] 18/19 24/6 Arden [1] 16/23 14/2 14/3 14/6 14/11 15/7 15/10 16/11 16/11 capture [1] 25/13 Arden's [1] 32/22 17/11 18/10 18/17 19/1 19/7 19/10 21/16 26/6 captured [1] 4/18 are [71] 27/13 27/19 31/25 32/10 34/20 34/22 35/10 carrying [1] 64/13 aren't [2] 35/25 59/14 36/24 37/11 38/6 38/7 39/17 39/17 40/2 40/16 case [70] argue [1] 41/22 43/21 43/24 46/20 46/23 47/1 47/8 47/10 51/3 cases [7] 18/4 24/4 32/20 33/23 34/4 40/20 argument [3] 43/20 44/4 44/4 51/21 54/10 54/24 55/5 55/13 55/15 55/21 40/22 as [69] 60/1 60/10 60/16 61/8 61/18 62/7 62/8 62/17 casting [1] 19/22 aside [2] 42/18 51/15 64/11 casts [1] 52/21 ask [6] 11/25 20/25 34/14 46/5 56/2 58/1 before [17] 14/14 16/14 19/10 20/19 21/20 categories [1] 49/16 asked [5] 14/15 19/14 19/14 33/21 49/17 24/16 24/19 30/21 37/11 39/18 41/4 42/1 42/6 cause [1] 18/5 asking [2] 37/1 40/8 52/12 54/5 56/13 64/24 cautious [1] 33/19 aspersions [1] 19/22

concentrate [1]. 20/12 Edit CAPAN GRACES DE 8/28/21101/708/2 cross [14] 15/17 16/8 28/19 29/4 29/6 31/24 Cefali [1] 3/12 concerning [1] 32/4 63/5 center [1] 29/18 concerns [3] 15/15 33/12 33/15 cross-examination [9] 15/17 16/8 28/19 29/6 **CENTRAL [1]** 1/5 conclude [1] 38/10 36/16 39/21 55/14 61/9 63/5 certain [10] 3/22 4/22 5/7 16/24 41/5 44/25 concluded [3] 64/2 66/9 66/20 cross-examine [2] 55/21 62/25 47/18 51/10 62/25 63/5 conclusion [1] 4/3 cross-examined [3] 29/4 31/24 36/18 certainly [8] 13/18 18/1 18/2 44/17 45/14 47/8 conduct [3] 58/10 62/14 62/15 crystal [1] 15/4 47/12 53/7 conducted [4] 11/16 42/15 58/7 59/8 cue [1] 36/5 certificate [2] 66/8 67/4 conference [6] 6/5 14/7 57/18 64/17 64/19 **Cummings** [1] 3/12 CERTIFIED [1] 1/9 current [2] 29/8 64/16 certify [1] 67/6 conferring [2] 36/4 39/1 cetera [3] 19/23 23/23 57/8 confirm [2] 7/20 57/21 challenge [2] 23/11 60/25 conformance [1] 67/10 D.C [3] 7/18 11/8 51/13 challenges [3] 23/15 23/15 23/16 connection [2] 10/15 25/18 data [121] chance [1] 65/12 consented [2] 10/16 25/19 database [1] 43/5 change [4] 18/6 32/9 35/24 38/8 consequence [1] 52/11 databases [1] 25/5 changes [3] 5/7 18/21 36/14 consequences [3] 14/25 42/8 43/25 date [10] 8/8 8/24 9/24 12/16 12/17 29/5 31/1 charge [1] 32/23 consideration [2] 19/21 65/11 64/3 64/16 67/13 **chart [2]** 39/9 53/2 **charts [3]** 21/15 56/17 56/19 **considered** [1] 16/16 Daubert [1] 28/21 constitutional [1] 20/5 day [4] 1/12 35/1 58/7 66/15 check [1] 31/12 days [2] 17/1 46/19 consuming [1] 38/19 checks [2] 28/13 29/14 contact [1] 55/2 dealing [1] 20/17 chief [4] 2/5 16/8 39/19 64/4 contain [1] 52/17 dealt [1] 65/25 choice [1] 63/16 contained [1] 49/4 **DEAN [2]** 2/15 2/15 chose [1] 38/1 contempt [1] 15/6 December [1] 5/7 CI [2] 10/16 25/19 context [3] 20/16 41/17 62/11 December 31st [1] 5/7 Cl's [1] 26/25 contingency [1] 32/20 decided [1] 16/8 Circuit [4] 17/16 17/17 17/20 18/4 **continue [2]** 39/21 39/21 **continued [2]** 11/14 15/12 decides [1] 19/21 circumstance [1] 27/5 decrease [1] 13/10 circumstances [1] 41/20 continues [3] 17/12 51/24 57/24 deemed [1] 5/9 cite [1] 18/3 contracts [2] 32/14 60/14 defendant [33] 1/12 2/13 5/12 14/20 14/23 cited [2] 17/15 40/21 controlled [1] 41/9 22/7 22/16 23/8 23/10 23/11 23/18 24/16 citing [1] 27/25 civil [1] 5/16 conviction [1] 17/22 24/19 25/9 28/9 29/9 31/18 34/2 35/12 36/13 convince [1] 23/21 36/15 40/22 44/21 45/6 45/21 60/25 61/4 62/2 claim [1] 20/11 convinced [1] 59/17 62/7 62/21 63/19 63/20 65/18 claimed [4] 15/7 15/9 49/2 49/18 **copies [5]** 11/14 50/22 51/13 51/14 58/9 defendant's [3] 14/22 20/25 57/4 claiming [1] 19/13 copy [7] 10/10 10/23 12/9 26/1 26/5 26/11 defense [56] 3/21 3/23 4/3 4/6 4/15 4/21 5/19 claims [3] 9/23 21/23 22/3 5/20 5/21 6/4 6/16 6/20 11/11 11/14 13/5 15/6 clarification [2] 3/21 4/14 correct [13] 7/9 11/19 13/1 15/25 23/9 23/13 15/7 16/2 16/2 17/4 17/8 18/6 18/22 26/23 clarifications [1] 4/5 39/11 39/19 42/9 44/7 44/9 44/21 45/1 45/5 26/7 27/23 28/25 32/1 32/16 59/1 67/8 classic [1] 41/8 45/12 45/17 45/20 46/1 47/7 47/17 50/24 correction [2] 46/13 60/9 clawback [1] 42/6 51/19 51/19 52/16 53/5 53/10 54/7 56/3 57/1 correctly [1] 42/16 clear [7] 13/7 15/4 15/4 17/6 17/21 18/3 51/21 cost [5] 15/22 15/23 16/14 19/15 53/6 60/6 60/7 60/11 61/9 61/18 62/24 64/3 64/6 clearly [2] 20/20 54/3 costs [31] 13/23 16/4 21/21 22/5 23/3 24/21 65/7 Clemente [1] 2/16 defense's [1] 64/4 defined [2] 5/10 49/25 28/13 29/1 29/12 29/15 29/21 30/12 31/11 clicked [1] 6/10 31/15 31/22 31/25 32/25 33/7 33/22 35/5 client [17] 7/6 7/11 12/1 12/4 12/5 13/10 35/22 35/22 39/5 39/14 50/10 52/18 55/16 definitely [2] 47/4 47/11 13/13 15/18 21/11 26/23 33/1 38/17 38/23 58/14 58/21 59/5 60/13 delay [1] 32/14 50/10 50/15 50/18 50/19 could [28] 10/5 13/7 13/8 13/8 13/10 13/17 delta [1] 34/18 client's [1] 26/22 13/18 13/20 13/21 13/21 13/24 17/4 18/14 demand [1] 11/14 clients [20] 4/10 4/11 5/22 5/25 6/8 6/9 6/10 19/3 31/25 35/23 36/16 37/7 38/3 38/6 43/6 demanded [1] 16/25 7/4 7/8 7/10 8/13 13/11 15/9 22/25 38/24 43/23 50/23 51/21 58/25 60/20 63/3 64/23 demonstrably [2] 19/9 28/10 52/17 59/5 59/14 59/24 60/21 couldn't [1] 58/22 demonstrate [1] 20/21 Clifford [1] 5/25 counsel [11] 2/1 2/15 3/7 3/19 5/12 10/3 36/4 denied [3] 12/8 62/21 65/4 close [2] 47/3 47/5 39/1 44/21 45/5 45/21 deny [1] 57/21 closely [1] 55/8 counted [1] 35/20 denying [2] 37/17 44/7 Code [1] 67/7 counts [1] 64/23 Department [1] 11/7 cold [1] 9/1 County [2] 10/19 25/22 depending [1] 8/7 colleague [1] 45/8 couple [3] 6/19 37/7 37/14 described [1] 63/20 collect [1] 65/13 course [2] 46/25 47/3 despite [2] 12/19 19/13 collection [1] 9/4 court [51] 1/4 3/19 5/17 7/16 10/10 10/16 detail [1] 49/12 collectively [1] 5/24 14/5 14/15 14/15 14/18 17/5 17/10 17/15 details [2] 51/24 55/14 come [4] 44/12 46/6 64/25 65/2 17/17 19/21 20/20 20/25 21/1 24/16 24/19 **determination [2]** 44/5 46/8 comes [4] 22/5 24/10 31/22 32/8 25/19 33/11 35/13 36/5 36/8 36/9 37/1 37/2 determine [4] 4/10 6/9 25/12 46/21 comment [3] 8/14 39/2 39/4 determined [1] 45/8 41/3 41/8 41/9 41/10 41/13 41/22 42/2 44/17 comments [2] 37/15 38/3 44/18 45/14 45/18 46/13 49/5 53/25 55/1 56/3 determining [3] 21/20 42/8 59/5 communication [1] 54/2 devices [3] 10/17 25/20 49/7 56/7 59/9 59/17 65/11 65/15 66/17 67/16 company [2] 10/19 25/22 did [28] 9/1 12/9 13/12 16/2 16/2 16/6 22/12 Court's [5] 6/12 9/19 43/7 44/6 49/20 compare [1] 34/23 Court-appointed [2] 10/16 25/19 27/17 32/5 33/1 34/24 35/7 36/15 36/17 36/19 comparison [1] 8/6 Courthouse [2] 1/19 2/7 36/20 37/23 38/16 44/8 45/16 53/6 53/8 53/9 compel [2] 12/9 25/4 53/22 55/25 57/21 59/15 60/5 cover [1] 37/7 complain [1] 15/12 craft [3] 62/22 63/12 63/21 didn't [21] 15/10 20/14 30/3 30/5 32/1 33/6 complained [2] 14/1 55/24 created [3] 12/1 39/9 43/12 34/15 35/1 35/14 35/21 35/22 37/23 47/21 complete [2] 52/16 63/19 creating [4] 10/17 10/20 25/20 25/23 51/1 51/14 52/5 56/1 59/23 61/16 63/11 63/15 completes [3] 10/25 26/3 26/14 credibly [1] 18/13 difference [6] 31/2 31/5 31/7 31/14 43/15 comply [2] 12/11 20/5 credit [2] 30/16 30/17 46/3 comprise [2] 10/18 25/21 **criminal [2]** 2/5 5/16 differences [1] 52/5 computer [6] 8/19 8/21 10/20 11/8 25/23 critical [2] 56/14 56/17 different [7] 8/22 18/6 24/6 31/19 44/4 63/14 44/22

effort [6] 13/6 13/15 17/2 57/14 63/23 63/24 640-00 14/26/2 expenses [25] 13/4 13/13 15/9 16/4 21/21 22/0 24/0 29/2 20/0 20/13 29/14 29/15 Ð Se 8:**03.ca: 0006tr.003730066** different...[1] 63/14 egregious [1] 30/7 29/21 30/15 30/16 30/23 31/4 32/25 33/22 difficult [1] 42/12 eight [3] 5/11 12/23 17/1 35/6 39/5 39/10 39/13 57/7 57/9 digital [3] 10/17 25/20 42/17 either [9] 14/21 16/7 34/18 36/7 36/25 37/25 **experience** [1] 38/14 diligent [3] 59/15 66/15 66/18 41/20 54/24 65/22 expert [5] 18/24 19/12 19/13 19/13 35/7 diligently [1] 43/6 electronic [3] 7/9 34/14 50/2 explained [1] 17/10 direct [1] 49/20 element [1] 59/5 **exploring** [1] 6/11 directed [1] 14/19 else [1] 57/21 exported [1] 6/14 directing [1] 14/15 emphasize [1] 64/8 **extended** [1] 64/5 direction [1] 44/16 encompassed [1] 58/20 extensive [2] 29/6 59/9 directive [2] 17/6 17/6 end [3] 8/21 34/5 63/11 extensively [1] 31/24 directives [1] 65/9 extent [6] 18/17 40/16 40/18 41/7 41/8 53/5 ended [2] 42/12 49/1 directly [2] 42/1 52/24 enormous [1] 7/12 extra [1] 35/23 disclosure [1] 35/7 enough [1] 9/8 extract [1] 34/11 discovered [1] 54/17 ensued [1] 62/20 extraordinary [1] 55/11 discovery [3] 4/15 7/16 14/11 ensure [1] 26/20 extremely [1] 35/11 discuss [2] 26/20 64/20 entire [4] 9/17 11/24 14/10 18/21 discussed [1] 25/2 entirety [2] 6/22 38/21 discusses [1] 26/18 entities [2] 49/25 50/6 F.3d [1] 17/17 discussion [4] 24/1 41/18 51/5 51/22 entitled [15] 16/7 16/11 16/12 21/17 22/8 23/8 facie [1] 23/13 disputes [1] 56/15 23/12 23/14 23/16 23/23 34/5 59/6 60/25 61/4 facility [1] 29/20 distinction [3] 42/23 42/25 43/2 fact [13] 12/12 14/7 19/19 23/9 28/11 44/5 67/9 **DISTRICT [2]** 1/4 1/5 entries [1] 57/8 47/16 47/18 49/5 51/11 53/22 54/3 55/6 **DIVISION [2]** 1/6 2/5 entry [1] 8/25 facts [8] 27/15 41/5 41/11 41/12 41/13 41/22 do [33] 4/13 7/24 8/5 9/19 16/11 17/11 19/4 enumerates [1] 49/15 41/24 56/12 23/12 24/8 25/16 29/24 29/25 29/25 33/10 equation [1] 36/24 factual [3] 41/18 43/19 61/6 36/3 36/22 38/2 39/19 39/20 39/21 39/22 40/4 especially [3] 21/9 31/19 38/8 failure [4] 20/2 20/5 20/16 62/12 40/4 41/1 41/3 43/24 52/16 57/20 63/8 64/4 establish [2] 21/10 59/3 failures [1] 20/22 65/3 65/13 65/21 fair [4] 9/16 9/16 47/24 66/17 established [5] 15/16 27/19 32/13 36/24 Docket [6] 3/15 10/6 14/19 24/15 59/9 63/2 fairly [1] 62/17 document [5] 5/6 6/25 10/13 49/6 53/19 establishes [1] 62/4 fairness [1] 46/2 documents [19] 9/4 12/14 20/10 25/14 35/9 estimate [1] 64/2 faith [6] 19/20 19/20 24/13 27/12 40/11 40/12 38/18 39/17 42/7 49/22 50/9 50/13 54/9 54/14 et [3] 19/23 23/23 57/8 fall [2] 27/15 47/2 54/16 54/18 55/4 56/10 59/13 60/10 even [26] 17/22 18/5 18/7 22/21 23/1 23/9 false [4] 19/9 19/10 28/10 34/2 does [11] 13/5 21/15 21/19 22/19 26/15 27/2 23/12 25/2 29/16 29/17 30/7 30/15 30/23 familiar [1] 20/20 27/20 28/5 40/8 41/17 51/16 31/21 32/21 34/10 40/4 50/8 51/25 52/5 52/19 far [1] 4/5 doesn't [5] 22/16 23/21 32/9 51/19 51/23 55/16 55/24 60/2 60/12 61/22 fashion [4] 19/3 19/6 23/2 23/13 doing [3] 22/7 24/20 43/1 event [3] 14/13 15/1 17/24 fast [1] 50/7 dollar [1] 33/25 events [2] 4/2 25/11 fast-forward [1] 50/7 dollars [1] 21/18 favorable [6] 14/23 18/1 18/5 51/18 62/5 62/7 ever [1] 21/20 don't [29] 8/9 8/15 9/7 17/24 18/16 19/23 22/2 every [8] 14/4 23/7 30/20 33/13 34/4 34/8 February [3] 29/2 30/21 31/1 25/11 26/12 27/7 27/14 27/23 33/22 33/23 38/16 66/15 February 3rd [1] 30/21 33/25 34/16 34/20 37/3 37/19 38/8 41/16 everything [9] 7/21 15/10 22/11 25/8 29/5 February 4th [1] 31/1 42/23 44/11 54/20 56/15 57/23 58/6 62/10 34/8 34/21 55/25 56/1 February 5th [1] 29/2 65/20 Federal [1] 2/10 evidence [15] 10/24 10/24 14/21 23/9 26/2 done [9] 8/7 8/8 9/2 12/20 29/9 33/3 63/4 26/2 26/13 28/1 32/12 39/25 40/1 52/12 54/21 FedEx [1] 29/14 63/13 63/14 fee [1] 60/13 62/5 62/8 double [1] 35/20 exact [2] 11/12 60/23 feel [2] 37/14 45/13 doubt [3] 52/21 62/2 62/3 exactly [6] 7/17 9/8 22/25 31/13 31/16 32/6 fees [1] 50/10 doubting [1] 33/12 examination [10] 15/17 16/8 22/17 28/19 few [3] 9/20 46/19 55/18 down [5] 16/13 39/6 44/13 45/7 60/12 29/6 36/16 39/21 55/14 61/9 63/5 figure [1] 58/14 **DPPA [1]** 14/17 file [6] 7/9 7/9 7/10 25/3 37/4 54/1 examine [2] 55/21 62/25 draft [4] 15/24 15/25 31/9 59/12 examined [3] 29/4 31/24 36/18 filed [3] 5/6 6/2 6/25 drafts [1] 29/9 **examining [1]** 63/13 files [22] 4/8 4/8 4/10 7/3 7/4 7/5 7/6 7/22 drag [1] 65/22 example [5] 21/24 32/21 34/10 34/23 42/6 7/25 8/2 8/9 12/1 34/14 34/17 38/20 38/23 drew [1] 60/12 examples [2] 28/4 37/2 45/1 45/15 45/25 50/2 54/4 59/9 drive [1] 6/13 except [1] 18/16 filing [3] 16/20 53/17 59/9 dropped [1] 29/16 **exclusively [1]** 56/19 Filippo [2] 30/14 30/17 **Drum [13]** 21/8 22/12 23/1 28/23 28/25 31/20 35/8 36/17 39/20 53/12 55/21 60/18 63/1 exculpatory [12] 13/8 13/16 13/18 13/19 13/20 13/21 13/22 13/24 14/9 38/4 38/5 51/18 filtering [1] 43/10 filters [1] 43/4 Drum's [1] 56/17 **Excuse [1]** 3/25 final [2] 15/14 39/2 due [4] 8/18 8/20 14/13 41/10 finally [4] 5/18 6/3 17/8 62/19 executed [1] 9/22 during [2] 11/6 12/2 finances [4] 21/16 22/1 22/5 22/21 exhaustive [1] 9/7 Dynamics [1] 50/1 exhibit [11] 10/9 11/18 12/25 15/22 16/19 financial [11] 3/15 15/8 21/3 21/9 21/11 22/13 20/21 28/8 31/10 57/4 59/11 59/12 22/17 50/4 50/5 56/14 56/19 Exhibit 1084 [1] 11/18 find [13] 17/2 22/2 38/13 38/16 40/15 44/1 **e-mail [2]** 31/10 51/10 62/5 62/9 62/14 62/15 62/20 64/9 64/11 Exhibit 1085 [2] 12/25 57/4 e-mailed [1] 28/15 Exhibit 174 [1] 59/12 finding [2] 30/6 62/19 e-mails [1] 30/14 Exhibit 193 [1] 31/10 findings [2] 3/17 64/9 EA [20] 10/14 10/16 10/18 10/20 10/21 10/21 Exhibit 48 [2] 28/8 59/11 fine [4] 28/18 37/4 38/7 65/16 10/23 10/25 25/19 25/21 25/23 25/24 25/24 exhibits [10] 9/17 10/5 15/21 16/21 19/8 21/8 finished [2] 4/6 6/4 26/1 26/3 26/21 26/24 50/15 57/6 57/9 31/7 39/16 53/12 59/11 firm [2] 38/21 50/1 each [13] 7/3 7/9 13/2 13/11 14/4 19/16 21/11 first [13] 4/5 4/21 5/8 10/13 11/10 16/4 16/13 exist [2] 27/17 27/20 23/6 30/20 34/4 38/16 56/2 66/9 existed [4] 17/3 32/1 32/2 53/19 23/17 43/14 45/13 56/14 57/7 63/10 Eagan [3] 11/25 26/19 49/8 existence [5] 12/19 47/6 52/7 56/21 57/22 Fitzgerald [12] 3/8 6/21 41/1 41/16 41/19 earlier [3] 33/7 43/20 47/20 exists [2] 34/19 44/23 41/21 49/1 49/2 54/6 54/7 55/1 61/10 earliest [1] 24/12 expedited [1] 65/21 five [4] 16/20 16/23 18/23 47/22 early [2] 11/3 58/12 expense [4] 16/14 29/17 29/18 57/8 focus [3] 20/8 24/6 61/21 easily [1] 17/23

1'm [32] 4/6 8/12 8/14 9/17 14/3 15/11 16/23 **1**4/**16Hg/2**54**5/200 4826**#7724**/2**027/9 F SSC 8:**C3xcgr 00096**tr **3003 730 9036** focuses [1] 45/18 granted [2] 19/18 65/18 greater 12 19/18 65/28 / 28/2110 11/26/22 27/22 28/3 30/6 33/12 33/17 34/19 34/19 grounds [1] 61/22 folks [1] 66/14 guess [3] 17/11 22/14 40/19 37/13 38/10 40/14 41/14 43/13 51/23 53/3 follow [2] 6/24 27/18 guidance [1] 47/25 56/3 60/8 65/23 66/12 following [4] 11/13 15/5 26/18 57/16 I've [2] 10/10 37/11 guideposts [1] 9/20 footnote [1] 29/17 guilt [2] 14/22 14/23 identical [2] 31/2 59/11 forego [1] 41/24 identified [8] 10/4 29/17 46/9 46/18 46/19 foregoing [1] 67/7 61/7 61/11 61/24 forensic [20] 4/18 10/17 10/20 10/23 11/5 image [5] 10/17 10/20 25/20 25/23 26/24 had [51] 12/9 12/22 13/22 14/1 14/3 14/6 14/8 11/6 11/9 11/12 25/20 25/23 26/1 26/5 26/11 images [6] 11/5 11/6 11/9 11/12 49/4 58/4 14/11 15/4 15/7 15/10 19/11 23/19 24/15 26/24 49/4 50/22 51/13 51/14 58/4 58/9 24/17 25/14 26/10 27/21 34/6 34/11 34/14 immediately [2] 15/14 50/17 formal [1] 6/24 impanel [1] 64/24 34/15 34/21 38/2 42/5 43/21 45/21 46/6 47/6 format [1] 67/10 47/10 47/10 47/19 53/12 53/21 54/6 54/10 impartial [1] 66/17 former [1] 47/24 importance [4] 12/19 53/6 53/11 53/14 54/11 55/12 55/22 55/25 58/9 58/14 58/20 forth [9] 11/1 21/17 21/21 26/4 26/14 32/4 58/22 59/16 59/18 59/22 63/4 63/4 63/15 important [5] 9/20 12/7 15/19 41/5 42/11 32/25 39/14 60/24 importantly [1] 12/4 65/12 forward [1] 50/7 handed [1] 49/5 impossible [1] 8/4 found [7] 6/11 10/5 14/10 20/11 40/6 42/7 handled [1] 12/5 inability [1] 44/1 inaccurate [3] 28/16 28/20 40/15 handwritten [1] 56/25 four [3] 11/20 12/3 29/10 inadvertence [1] 62/12 **HANNA** [1] 2/3 Fourth [1] 2/11 happen [2] 36/15 44/19 inadvertently [1] 62/9 FOX [1] 2/4 happened [9] 4/2 6/3 7/17 17/1 34/4 37/20 inception [1] 40/2 frankly [2] 19/15 20/1 41/18 41/25 44/14 include [3] 7/7 32/1 49/25 fraud [1] 34/1 included [7] 7/21 8/1 29/5 29/5 47/9 49/8 58/4 happens [2] 8/7 21/20 Frauds [1] 2/6 including [12] 15/13 16/22 22/20 24/7 24/22 happy [2] 51/23 56/3 free [2] 27/1 27/3 hard [4] 6/13 9/1 33/5 43/6 40/9 50/1 50/4 50/5 50/16 62/23 63/4 Friday [1] 17/5 has [39] 6/16 9/15 9/18 10/22 12/13 17/16 income [1] 13/12 front [1] 63/11 19/1 20/22 21/1 21/9 25/25 27/25 30/1 32/13 incorrect [2] 22/9 23/4 fulfill [1] 43/7 increase [1] 13/9 32/17 33/13 33/18 34/6 34/11 34/20 34/22 full [6] 8/5 18/17 20/12 30/1 37/17 44/7 35/10 39/7 39/11 39/15 39/18 39/25 40/1 40/9 inculpatory [1] 13/8 fully [4] 27/23 33/11 36/19 57/12 40/10 40/16 41/15 41/25 42/10 45/24 55/1 indeed [1] 18/4 further [9] 3/17 3/19 5/17 18/16 21/1 33/22 55/5 55/7 62/16 indicate [2] 56/24 61/7 64/18 65/6 66/1 hasn't [2] 27/19 34/20 indicated [3] 57/5 59/20 61/10 have [127] indicating [1] 66/9 haven't [2] 18/17 54/22 Indictment [3] 10/1 42/14 52/18 gain [1] 33/19 having [7] 11/7 25/5 25/6 43/15 43/16 47/23 individuals [1] 11/20 gaining [1] 55/3 information [47] 3/19 4/17 5/1 5/10 5/12 5/14 Gardner [11] 29/25 30/3 30/12 36/7 38/15 5/22 6/10 6/21 7/8 8/22 8/25 9/8 10/3 10/5 he [97] 38/22 52/7 52/13 52/13 59/22 59/25 he's [5] 22/22 29/22 30/6 35/8 39/19 11/15 14/1 14/6 14/9 14/16 14/20 15/13 17/13 gave [3] 30/16 30/17 37/23 hear [2] 32/3 37/5 17/13 17/14 17/23 17/25 18/5 18/14 19/7 generalized [1] 23/2 heard [9] 9/11 39/25 40/1 54/6 54/11 54/22 19/15 25/10 26/21 34/21 49/16 51/18 51/18 generally [1] 63/6 52/3 52/19 52/21 52/23 53/15 53/20 55/4 54/24 55/1 65/9 gentlemen [1] 13/2 hearing [1] 25/2 55/10 55/13 63/4 Geoffrey [1] 32/8 heart [1] 21/4 informed [2] 12/3 12/4 get [11] 4/23 17/7 17/7 18/25 28/3 33/6 40/7 heat [2] 20/3 20/4 informs [2] 9/5 41/12 45/16 47/25 51/23 66/12 initial [3] 27/25 43/10 61/12 held [4] 9/4 44/2 51/13 67/9 gets [3] 6/25 56/8 56/11 input [1] 28/16 help [2] 55/4 66/16 getting [2] 44/3 54/8 inquire [1] 53/23 helps [1] 53/3 gigabytes [2] 7/13 7/18 her [5] 9/22 21/19 30/13 36/18 57/6 inquiry [3] 6/12 36/11 58/3 Giglio [8] 12/15 13/21 14/1 14/6 18/8 18/10 instruction [1] 33/25 here [19] 10/8 10/14 23/6 26/6 34/16 34/24 23/22 37/22 35/2 41/16 41/21 44/14 45/14 47/4 48/4 54/22 integral [1] 15/17 give [2] 37/8 65/1 57/17 57/20 62/20 66/6 66/7 intelligently [1] 9/9 given [3] 6/7 61/16 63/23 hereby [1] 67/6 intent [1] 52/25 glad [1] 26/20 hiding [1] 35/14 intention [1] 37/5 go [20] 4/5 7/14 14/3 17/13 17/13 17/14 18/15 him [18] 21/10 22/23 26/16 29/14 29/14 29/22 **intentional** [1] 64/12 21/8 21/19 21/25 23/17 24/1 24/9 26/10 36/8 30/16 30/17 33/19 34/11 35/5 35/5 37/23 interest [1] 8/5 37/1 50/12 50/17 50/19 64/8 interim [3] 15/23 28/14 64/19 37/24 39/3 39/18 39/24 40/3 goes [3] 21/4 21/18 52/24 interjected [2] 16/4 39/5 himself [1] 60/12 going [20] 7/13 8/14 9/17 12/11 14/3 18/25 his [32] 5/12 25/17 29/1 29/11 29/13 29/13 interpose [1] 41/14 19/22 22/7 37/13 38/10 39/16 41/4 41/14 interrupt [1] 60/8 29/14 29/18 29/20 30/22 30/23 31/21 32/19 47/20 48/3 50/3 65/23 65/25 66/12 66/16 33/6 33/9 34/7 37/24 38/2 39/4 39/9 39/11 interview [9] 9/24 11/16 11/21 12/8 12/23 gone [3] 9/18 20/22 58/9 39/12 39/19 39/21 45/21 51/25 60/19 60/20 20/19 56/22 57/2 57/4 good [7] 3/5 3/10 3/11 3/13 19/20 24/13 27/12 62/22 63/2 63/12 63/12 interviews [1] 57/11 good-faith [1] 24/13 introduced [3] 31/8 31/17 32/10 hold [1] 64/5 got [2] 7/12 44/16 investigate [1] 26/11 home [1] 9/22 government [66] 5/19 8/12 10/22 10/25 12/10 investigation [3] 10/15 25/18 42/14 Honor [96] 12/13 13/15 14/16 14/19 14/21 15/9 15/21 Honor's [3] 12/12 52/5 65/9 investigative [2] 57/19 58/2 16/3 16/13 16/16 17/2 19/11 19/20 19/23 20/7 HONORABLE [1] 1/8 invite [1] 4/6 20/12 20/23 22/18 23/22 25/25 26/3 26/14 hourly [2] 32/23 32/24 iPhone [1] 4/22 27/14 31/8 35/10 36/4 39/1 39/4 39/6 41/15 hours [5] 12/23 17/12 25/11 38/12 41/25 irrelevant [1] 32/20 43/12 44/2 44/11 44/12 49/13 49/16 49/17 IRS [6] 8/20 10/16 25/19 26/25 44/13 44/21 house [2] 42/19 47/21 49/18 51/3 51/17 51/20 52/15 52/22 53/3 53/4 IRS-CI [2] 10/16 25/19 housing [1] 29/20 54/3 55/8 55/8 56/15 56/16 56/20 56/23 57/12 how [18] 12/1 13/24 14/11 15/19 17/3 18/25 IRS-Cl's [1] 26/25 59/15 60/2 60/4 60/22 60/24 62/9 65/14 66/1 20/21 22/7 32/4 32/18 32/23 33/6 35/21 38/3 is [167] government's [13] 10/15 15/2 18/23 22/13 isn't [4] 28/17 30/7 39/15 40/12 39/10 53/3 53/24 53/25 25/18 34/25 37/19 43/16 43/17 60/17 61/23 isolated [1] 20/2 issue [16] 4/11 9/16 14/15 14/23 16/4 36/3 grab [1] 45/6 45/18 45/22 47/3 47/5 47/15 51/15 52/11 I'II [1] 4/6 grant [6] 20/25 21/22 39/24 56/3 64/14 65/19

least [8] 15/23 18/23 33/1 38/7 59/4 61/19 GNACO HI (18/2) 33/1 38/7 59/4 61/19 material [22] 5/8 5/20 6/11 6/15 16/16 27/2 Se 8: C3 ctr 0006 tr 003 7 20 000 issue... [3] 53/17 62/5 65/17 59/14 61/8 61/8 62/24 63/11 63/22 63/24 64/7 leave [3] 8/15 51/1 64/24 issued [4] 10/1 14/18 17/5 49/12 legal [5] 41/24 42/1 43/11 43/18 43/20 materiality [2] 17/21 59/3 issues [5] 3/20 20/17 22/4 42/1 43/18 materials [15] 27/21 46/8 46/9 46/18 49/22 less [2] 30/16 38/12 it [181] lesser [1] 61/2 50/9 50/13 59/16 59/18 61/11 61/13 61/13 it's [32] 7/13 8/1 8/22 10/9 15/18 17/11 17/17 let [9] 23/17 28/11 34/9 35/5 41/12 45/5 46/5 61/24 62/1 63/20 19/23 22/6 23/21 23/25 27/6 28/10 28/17 53/16 58/1 math [1] 24/20 29/19 30/8 30/10 32/24 33/5 35/1 37/5 39/6 matter [5] 31/11 55/7 55/14 64/15 67/9 let's [1] 6/24 40/10 40/13 41/15 47/17 52/10 52/10 55/19 letter [4] 25/17 50/7 50/12 66/9 matters [1] 5/13 55/20 59/23 65/24 may [18] 4/12 5/12 10/2 10/8 10/14 11/3 level [1] 35/23 Item [1] 3/3 license [1] 4/12 16/23 25/17 26/22 28/6 43/18 56/6 56/16 58/6 itemized [1] 30/23 lied [1] 29/22 61/1 61/22 62/17 64/11 items [4] 15/7 38/14 38/15 38/15 light [4] 14/11 46/6 57/25 64/7 May 24th [3] 10/8 10/14 11/3 its [18] 12/13 12/15 13/11 13/16 17/6 17/6 like [17] 3/18 5/3 9/10 22/4 22/21 26/5 28/3 May 4th [1] 25/17 25/13 40/16 40/18 44/7 47/16 51/17 51/20 29/25 36/7 41/18 47/8 47/17 47/19 48/25 maybe [3] 16/20 35/24 38/12 54/1 59/8 61/9 62/25 64/13 mayor [1] 47/24 65/10 65/13 65/16 itself [1] 59/2 likely [2] 52/24 61/8 me [16] 3/25 9/3 9/5 23/17 23/21 28/11 37/1 limited [1] 17/10 37/8 41/12 46/5 53/16 58/1 61/7 61/10 61/16 lines [4] 4/7 4/14 7/2 7/15 JAMES [1] 1/8 mean [4] 13/23 20/14 42/23 60/5 list [2] 6/8 45/13 January [4] 14/18 49/23 51/21 52/2 listed [2] 5/23 7/3 meaning [2] 24/23 27/14 January 2011 [1] 49/23 listing [2] 15/22 15/23 means [1] 59/17 January 2021 [1] 51/21 literally [1] 17/2 meant [1] 60/7 January 25th [1] 14/18 litigation [1] 5/25 Meanwhile [1] 13/25 Jencks [1] 20/18 little [3] 16/15 18/19 32/15 measured [1] 65/25 JOHN [6] 1/11 2/14 3/4 32/22 35/8 39/20 lived [3] 63/18 63/23 63/25 mechanism [3] 25/9 25/12 38/2 Johnson [16] 15/22 16/23 29/10 29/22 29/24 living [6] 29/13 29/17 29/18 29/20 30/23 31/4 medical [1] 29/18 30/19 31/4 31/20 32/8 35/20 36/6 38/15 38/22 LLP [16] 10/14 10/16 10/18 10/21 10/21 10/23 meet [1] 17/24 53/13 59/11 59/21 10/25 12/1 25/19 25/21 25/24 25/24 26/1 26/3 meeting [1] 4/3 Johnson's [1] 30/22 26/21 26/24 members [2] 12/3 57/18 **JUDGE [1]** 1/8 **LLP's [1]** 50/15 memo [1] 56/25 judged [1] 47/1 loan [2] 29/10 31/3 memorandum [1] 11/25 judgment [2] 47/4 47/5 located [1] 54/14 memorialize [2] 3/21 5/3 Judicial [1] 67/11 location [1] 10/4 mention [1] 38/20 Julian [1] 10/7 lodge [2] 36/9 37/2 mentioned [3] 11/5 37/24 40/9 **July [4]** 11/16 12/6 56/22 58/13 long [7] 20/21 32/12 37/12 38/16 39/18 39/25 merits [2] 44/3 66/12 July 25 [1] 56/22 methods [2] 60/18 60/20 July 25th [2] 11/16 12/6 long-run [1] 42/11 MICHAEL [4] 1/11 2/14 3/4 3/11 July 28 [1] 58/13 look [21] 5/21 8/19 12/20 19/12 21/7 29/7 Michelle [1] 32/11 June [1] 35/12 29/19 31/7 32/21 36/25 38/7 40/20 48/1 49/14 Microsoft [1] 50/1 jurors [1] 66/6 middle [1] 15/16 might [3] 53/1 63/13 63/14 53/9 58/15 58/16 58/18 58/23 65/12 65/24 jury [12] 3/2 16/14 19/10 22/6 33/25 39/25 looked [1] 6/8 52/12 60/19 64/1 64/5 64/24 66/17 million [3] 21/19 34/17 50/16 looking [1] 47/1 just [13] 5/24 7/22 27/12 34/23 35/12 37/7 looks [1] 56/17 mind [2] 15/2 28/17 39/7 41/15 41/25 45/6 47/17 54/13 58/22 minimum [3] 16/21 28/17 28/19 Los [1] 2/8 Justice [1] 11/8 loss [1] 59/21 minor [1] 55/19 **JVS [2]** 1/11 3/3 minute [2] 39/11 48/4 losses [1] 21/10 lot [6] 8/22 8/22 17/12 17/13 17/14 35/20 Miramar [1] 2/16 misappropriated [1] 60/24 Karlous [7] 9/24 11/21 12/22 16/22 49/11 misconduct [3] 64/9 64/10 64/12 56/24 57/16 machine [4] 7/21 7/25 8/3 8/10 misfunction [1] 8/21 kept [2] 32/19 35/21 made [33] 4/15 4/17 4/19 11/2 12/16 12/17 misses [1] 27/12 Kim [1] 11/22 missing [6] 15/12 20/10 25/1 25/9 34/9 34/14 13/6 13/15 14/5 14/8 15/3 17/2 17/20 18/14 knew [6] 42/24 42/24 45/18 53/11 55/25 56/1 20/9 20/12 22/3 22/18 24/13 27/25 38/4 45/7 mistaken [1] 30/11 know [19] 15/10 18/16 20/20 26/12 27/22 mistrial [3] 20/9 65/8 65/18 46/8 51/5 51/7 51/10 51/12 54/24 55/5 55/6 34/9 34/16 34/18 35/5 36/18 37/12 43/14 44/1 MixinIT [2] 10/19 25/22 55/17 56/9 57/14 51/11 52/14 54/20 57/23 58/6 61/15 mail [2] 31/10 51/10 **MJ [1]** 49/6 knowing [1] 37/13 modifications [1] 6/20 mailed [1] 28/15 knowledge [2] 40/17 40/18 mails [1] 30/14 modified [1] 5/3 known [4] 14/21 39/11 39/15 54/2 moment [1] 4/9 maintained [1] 12/2 money [11] 13/9 13/10 21/18 21/19 22/8 major [2] 2/6 42/13 make [14] 16/12 23/10 23/14 23/16 25/17 28/15 29/3 33/5 33/6 33/9 34/2 L.A [1] 7/19 month [3] 12/7 12/8 54/5 36/10 37/8 37/14 42/23 44/9 46/2 53/16 58/20 lab [1] 11/8 months [6] 29/11 29/19 33/4 33/7 33/8 55/18 63/16 lack [2] 38/15 55/15 makes [2] 18/3 23/3 moot [1] 65/4 large [1] 66/14 more [11] 23/1 23/19 30/7 34/17 36/20 42/11 making [5] 36/12 42/15 44/5 44/18 58/3 largely [1] 5/5 malfunction [1] 8/19 42/11 47/23 50/8 51/21 57/2 last [22] 3/14 6/4 8/13 8/20 9/18 16/20 17/5 managed [2] 10/19 25/22 morning [10] 3/5 3/10 3/11 3/13 8/7 57/15 17/11 18/11 22/3 22/25 25/11 26/19 38/11 manner [1] 14/20 58/13 61/7 61/18 65/10 41/25 44/24 52/16 52/21 56/8 56/11 58/3 60/5 many [4] 17/19 19/6 19/6 23/19 most [6] 11/20 12/4 17/25 18/2 29/8 63/2 lasting [1] 12/23 March [5] 6/2 9/21 35/7 49/23 50/14 motion [10] 9/6 10/6 12/8 19/17 19/17 20/25 **Lastly [1]** 53/16 March 25 [2] 49/23 50/14 25/3 41/16 56/3 65/8 late [1] 8/12 March 25th [2] 6/2 9/21 motions [3] 19/21 20/9 65/4 later [4] 8/8 8/14 12/7 29/11 Marchino [2] 30/14 30/17 motive [1] 52/25 latest [1] 17/1 mark [2] 61/3 61/5 move [1] 65/20 law [7] 2/15 38/21 41/11 41/13 41/22 46/2 Maryland [1] 61/25 moved [2] 14/14 15/6 matched [1] 32/6 moves [1] 29/20 lawyers [1] 32/19 matches [1] 31/12 moving [1] 56/11 learned [1] 56/23

WC 8:**C3xstr 0/106£r JVC** 7**30 5c Mr [6]** 6/21 41/16 41/19 53/25 60/18 63/11 Mr. [73] notes [2] 20/19 56/23 out [27] 6/1 17/3 23/9 26/17 30/8 31/6 31/11 Mr. Andre [6] 11/2 11/13 11/23 26/9 53/24 nothing [11] 12/20 17/1 17/2 30/19 30/20 38/17 42/10 42/19 42/20 47/6 51/2 51/17 54/25 31/17 31/18 32/2 36/14 53/8 53/9 53/22 54/13 56/13 56/21 58/12 58/14 59/6 Mr. Andre's [1] 25/17 notice [4] 53/8 53/14 56/20 57/12 59/18 60/14 61/7 64/13 65/2 65/22 Mr. Arden [1] 16/23 outdated [2] 28/20 30/10 notification [1] 54/7 Mr. Avenatti [10] 5/13 6/18 9/10 27/25 37/5 November [2] 12/21 57/3 outlined [3] 17/8 18/11 18/14 56/21 59/6 60/12 60/23 63/3 November 19 [2] 12/21 57/3 outlines [1] 63/3 Mr. Avenatti's [2] 3/14 9/6 now [24] 5/4 8/23 9/1 16/18 17/22 19/8 19/19 outside [1] 45/4 Mr. Barela [7] 16/22 29/24 31/5 32/22 33/4 over [17] 5/15 7/17 7/22 8/1 9/18 11/3 12/23 28/23 33/2 33/21 36/8 37/2 39/7 43/13 43/14 33/9 53/13 43/23 43/24 44/23 45/13 45/22 52/7 52/11 20/7 24/19 24/20 27/13 35/1 36/8 37/1 37/22 Mr. Drum [9] 21/8 22/12 23/1 28/23 28/25 52/13 54/20 45/12 57/24 31/20 53/12 55/21 63/1 number [14] 4/8 7/3 7/15 9/21 16/19 20/9 overall [4] 6/8 60/17 62/22 64/20 Mr. Drum's [1] 56/17 20/10 34/6 34/17 49/15 60/24 60/25 62/21 oversight [1] 20/3 Mr. Fitzgerald [8] 41/1 41/21 49/1 49/2 54/6 owed [3] 13/9 13/11 15/18 63/3 54/7 55/1 61/10 numbers [4] 7/9 20/21 33/10 38/20 own [1] 38/2 Mr. Johnson [8] 16/23 29/10 29/22 29/24 31/4 31/20 35/20 53/13 Mr. Johnson's [1] 30/22 objection [1] 41/15 **p.m [2]** 4/16 7/16 Mr. Sagel [5] 11/22 14/8 21/3 51/22 54/25 obligation [8] 12/13 23/22 26/10 27/2 27/3 packet [1] 10/11 Mr. Steward [2] 3/12 10/2 27/8 27/16 51/17 page [11] 4/7 9/1 26/18 49/21 50/7 50/12 Mr. Tashchyan [2] 7/20 7/24 obligations [2] 12/15 51/20 57/15 57/24 58/13 63/2 67/10 Mr. Varani [7] 4/19 7/17 8/1 11/6 11/9 50/23 obtained [3] 10/22 25/25 34/2 page 10 [1] 50/12 obviously [9] 9/15 36/6 37/11 37/21 42/24 page 40 [1] 57/15 Mr. Varani's [2] 7/22 8/10 43/23 45/5 53/11 64/3 page 41 [1] 57/24 Mr. Wyman [1] 54/25 occasion [1] 51/10 page 5 [1] 63/2 Ms [11] 9/22 11/17 12/23 16/22 31/10 31/13 occasions [4] 23/18 23/19 37/16 37/24 page 7 [1] 49/21 36/17 53/14 57/3 57/5 58/12 occur [1] 61/16 page 9 [1] 50/7 Ms. [10] 3/12 12/3 21/19 21/24 29/25 30/3 occurred [3] 20/3 58/6 62/20 page 93 [1] 58/13 30/12 35/16 52/7 56/22 October [8] 42/6 44/20 44/25 51/8 64/15 paid [3] 21/16 30/14 35/17 Ms. Cummings-Cefali [1] 3/12 64/22 64/22 64/23 papers [1] 14/4 Ms. Gardner [4] 29/25 30/3 30/12 52/7 October 12 [1] 64/15 paragraph [11] 5/8 5/10 5/11 5/24 6/1 13/2 Ms. Phan [1] 21/24 October 12th [1] 64/23 26/19 49/21 50/8 57/4 57/5 Ms. Phan's [1] 21/19 October 17th [1] 64/22 paragraphs [1] 49/21 Ms. Regnier [3] 12/3 35/16 56/22 October 2019 [1] 44/20 parallels [1] 17/19 much [2] 14/11 32/23 October 24th [1] 64/22 parameters [1] 45/3 multiple [2] 28/10 37/24 paraphrasing [1] 15/11 odd [1] 54/13 must [4] 62/5 62/8 62/19 62/20 odyssey [1] 9/18 part [17] 15/18 21/10 21/17 24/2 27/14 41/24 my [18] 4/9 6/7 6/14 15/19 24/20 27/15 33/15 offer [2] 30/3 44/12 42/10 42/13 42/22 43/22 60/19 61/12 62/14 37/5 39/2 42/12 45/8 47/20 53/1 53/4 53/5 offered [2] 59/21 59/25 62/15 64/9 64/10 64/12 56/13 64/9 65/13 office [6] 4/4 6/6 7/19 8/20 26/25 44/23 partially [2] 21/5 21/13 myriad [1] 13/23 particular [5] 10/9 49/15 56/25 60/18 63/1 offices [3] 2/15 7/19 44/21 **Oh [1]** 46/15 particularly [2] 56/18 59/4 Ν Okay [4] 8/17 10/12 57/25 66/3 parties [5] 4/20 5/2 9/2 44/23 65/1 namely [2] 19/11 42/14 Olson [3] 18/3 20/6 24/4 parties' [1] 66/16 nature [4] 7/7 13/11 13/16 20/18 parts [1] 36/23 omissions [1] 34/3 NAV [1] 50/1 once [8] 4/5 10/25 12/3 26/3 26/13 42/21 45/7 party [1] 56/11 necessary [7] 37/3 39/12 39/13 39/23 40/5 65/12 passage [1] 14/13 40/12 40/13 passed [6] 27/13 46/10 46/23 47/13 61/14 one [38] 3/20 9/21 14/7 14/21 15/6 16/9 20/2 need [10] 4/12 9/7 18/20 25/10 37/14 39/10 20/3 23/7 27/14 30/20 33/1 34/4 34/23 36/3 61/19 40/6 41/4 48/1 65/24 37/8 37/25 38/16 38/25 39/6 39/9 39/11 42/5 past [3] 24/4 46/19 64/3 needed [5] 15/3 36/11 40/3 44/16 49/18 45/13 47/22 51/10 52/1 52/4 53/12 53/13 Patrick [1] 3/7 needless [1] 8/21 54/22 54/23 55/1 56/17 58/9 58/22 62/4 66/9 Pause [1] 37/10 needs [6] 8/8 39/20 39/22 40/4 44/6 45/20 one-time [1] 20/2 pay [2] 35/21 35/22 net [2] 59/6 60/14 paying [2] 29/22 31/3 only [14] 5/15 12/9 13/7 13/10 13/12 16/12 never [17] 13/8 13/9 13/15 19/11 19/14 19/14 31/2 31/5 31/7 32/16 32/25 37/18 38/3 59/17 payment [5] 50/16 55/17 55/20 55/20 59/6 28/12 28/17 33/21 34/18 52/13 54/23 54/24 payments [7] 29/10 29/10 29/13 29/13 30/22 open [1] 45/14 55/1 55/5 55/6 61/15 opening [6] 39/9 39/12 53/1 53/4 62/23 63/12 31/3 55/17 new [11] 5/18 29/18 29/20 31/3 32/8 39/15 pending [3] 10/6 53/17 65/4 opinions [1] 19/9 46/5 46/6 63/23 64/14 65/19 opportunity [9] 26/24 36/9 39/19 40/3 62/22 penny [1] 31/13 newly [4] 46/9 63/20 63/22 64/7 63/16 65/1 65/10 65/20 people [1] 22/8 next [1] 58/7 opposed [2] 41/16 41/25 percentage [1] 60/14 NICOLA [1] 2/3 Orange [2] 10/19 25/22 Perche [1] 33/4 night [12] 3/14 6/4 8/13 8/20 16/20 18/12 22/3 order [15] 5/3 5/6 6/21 12/12 14/14 14/15 perfect [1] 43/13 35/1 38/11 44/24 52/16 52/21 14/18 14/19 14/24 15/3 15/5 15/8 44/8 44/8 perhaps [1] 30/10 nine [2] 33/4 33/6 51/21 period [4] 20/7 22/2 52/20 64/5 Ninth [4] 17/16 17/17 17/20 18/4 ordered [2] 44/11 52/2 permission [2] 5/11 5/17 no [53] 3/16 5/6 5/21 8/9 11/15 14/9 14/9 15/4 other [37] 4/11 5/13 5/16 13/17 18/4 20/17 perplexed [1] 53/3 17/2 18/9 19/4 21/2 21/25 24/15 29/12 29/15 22/4 23/12 23/14 32/16 32/18 33/1 33/3 33/3 personal [1] 66/9 29/23 30/2 30/9 30/11 30/11 32/11 33/17 33/7 36/23 37/8 38/1 40/2 41/24 42/10 44/5 personally [1] 58/1 35/14 37/25 38/13 39/24 43/14 45/11 49/3 47/25 50/2 52/19 52/19 52/25 56/4 56/16 perspective [4] 18/22 22/6 22/9 42/12 50/21 50/24 51/25 52/10 52/12 53/18 53/21 57/18 59/13 59/13 59/24 60/3 60/21 61/22 pertinent [1] 25/10 56/5 57/14 58/9 58/16 59/16 59/22 59/25 Phan [5] 21/24 32/12 38/22 60/3 60/13 60/13 60/22 62/14 62/15 64/9 64/10 64/11 others [3] 20/7 21/23 45/11 Phan's [1] 21/19 66/2 66/7 otherwise [4] 20/15 55/14 58/25 64/12 photographs [1] 4/22 non [1] 23/14 ought [1] 64/16 pieces [2] 28/1 59/10 non-winning [1] 23/14 our [25] 4/3 10/5 10/9 12/8 15/14 15/15 16/19 place [1] 64/16 noncompliance [1] 14/25

Se 8:**09:57:00061:73037309060** placed [1] 20/19 proposed [1] 50/4 reference [1] 4/8 Plaintiff [2] 1/10 2/2 prosecution [34] 3/7 3/22 6/6 6/16 11/20 24/8 referenced [3] 15/15 32/17 53/1 plan [2] 66/7 66/8 24/14 24/17 24/24 24/25 25/16 27/13 27/16 references [4] 28/9 32/18 33/1 40/22 platter [1] 23/24 40/16 42/9 42/24 45/24 45/25 46/11 46/23 referencing [1] 38/5 please [4] 28/7 34/9 42/3 48/23 47/13 49/19 53/18 54/9 54/15 54/18 54/20 refers [2] 4/16 29/9 **plus [1]** 9/19 55/2 59/8 59/16 59/23 61/15 62/15 64/10 reflects [2] 13/2 37/20 podium [1] 9/13 prosecutors [1] 47/16 regard [4] 4/20 15/15 24/21 40/20 point [14] 3/20 16/12 16/15 18/15 22/15 23/15 **Protection [1]** 14/14 regarding [1] 11/25 26/17 35/14 37/25 45/15 53/8 53/16 63/15 regards [9] 22/1 28/8 31/5 35/19 36/6 37/16 **Protective [3]** 5/3 5/5 6/20 protocols [5] 11/1 26/4 26/14 26/15 45/5 38/9 38/25 40/1 pointed [2] 56/21 58/12 **prove [3]** 34/1 34/1 60/23 Regnier [12] 11/17 12/3 12/23 16/22 31/13 points [3] 6/19 38/10 64/8 provide [13] 3/19 4/1 4/24 5/12 13/15 22/11 35/16 36/17 53/14 56/22 57/3 57/5 58/12 portion [1] 64/17 27/9 29/23 32/5 34/15 36/7 40/3 51/19 Regnier's [2] 9/22 31/10 position [6] 25/7 28/1 33/15 39/3 39/11 40/23 provided [20] 5/14 9/3 10/10 12/10 25/7 33/9 regular [1] 55/2 possessing [1] 27/9 34/8 34/10 34/22 35/4 35/9 35/12 36/15 39/18 regulations [1] 67/11 possession [20] 11/7 23/25 24/5 24/11 24/21 50/23 52/15 52/23 55/22 59/9 60/14 rehash [1] 9/17 24/23 24/25 27/7 27/8 34/8 34/22 34/25 37/22 provides [3] 26/16 49/12 57/15 relate [2] 4/10 4/11 39/17 40/10 43/12 43/16 43/17 51/3 53/20 providing [1] 26/23 proving [2] 15/18 59/21 related [4] 7/2 38/18 55/9 59/13 relates [9] 7/4 8/14 30/21 31/20 31/20 33/6 possible [1] 19/5 post [3] 17/22 42/14 55/16 **provision [1]** 5/18 35/15 50/19 56/24 post-conviction [1] 17/22 relating [15] 5/13 5/22 6/21 7/8 8/13 15/8 punishment [2] 14/22 14/24 post-Indictment [1] 42/14 pure [2] 21/11 44/4 49/23 50/3 50/10 50/14 50/18 52/7 53/17 post-settlement [1] 55/16 59/24 59/25 purpose [2] 11/24 55/3 potential [1] 50/4 purposeful [1] 60/5 relativity [1] 43/5 potentially [2] 22/11 27/20 relevance [2] 7/10 47/16 purposes [2] 42/25 43/11 prefer [1] 20/12 pursuant [5] 5/2 18/8 18/10 44/6 67/6 relevant [24] 6/12 10/23 10/24 14/22 16/16 prejudice [9] 18/11 18/17 31/18 36/13 36/22 put [18] 6/13 6/22 14/4 16/9 19/10 22/6 24/16 17/25 19/24 26/1 26/2 26/13 26/22 27/12 55/10 62/19 62/20 65/9 24/19 28/9 33/24 52/12 53/24 57/16 60/24 27/20 36/10 38/24 47/2 47/13 51/25 52/3 52/6 prejudiced [1] 62/25 61/3 61/4 65/2 66/13 54/17 60/13 61/8 61/14 prejudicial [1] 18/25 putting [3] 16/14 42/17 51/15 reliable [1] 28/22 preparation [2] 55/9 63/6 relied [1] 28/18 relief [3] 19/17 21/22 65/20 puzzled [1] 54/11 prepare [1] 64/7 prepared [3] 40/14 49/10 63/22 relieve [2] 51/16 51/20 preparing [1] 20/4 qualifies [1] 17/23 rely [4] 42/25 43/1 53/12 58/22 present [4] 3/2 3/12 11/21 24/8 question [27] 8/9 15/1 16/15 17/25 18/1 18/9 relying [2] 35/8 35/9 presentation [3] 9/7 22/13 62/23 18/19 22/11 22/12 22/14 22/17 25/1 30/11 remarkable [1] 14/11 presentations [1] 61/6 remedies [2] 40/7 40/21 49/3 50/21 50/24 51/25 53/18 53/21 57/16 presented [2] 32/7 43/5 60/20 61/3 61/5 61/16 61/20 63/5 63/8 remedy [2] 63/8 63/9 **PRESIDING** [1] 1/8 questioning [4] 55/12 57/13 58/7 60/11 rep [1] 44/13 presumption [1] 65/17 questions [4] 11/25 21/1 52/5 56/4 repeat [2] 63/7 64/9 previously [1] 54/10 repeated [4] 12/10 20/4 20/15 20/22 quibbling [1] 47/15 Price [3] 18/4 20/6 24/3 quick [1] 22/24 repeatedly [3] 14/5 32/17 55/24 prima [1] 23/13 QuickBooks [27] 4/8 13/4 15/3 17/14 20/13 reply [3] 10/6 10/9 53/24 print [1] 38/17 20/17 27/21 30/13 30/17 34/11 34/11 35/6 report [10] 3/15 3/21 7/3 15/14 15/15 17/9 printed [1] 31/6 35/16 35/17 45/15 46/6 47/8 50/2 51/7 52/24 18/11 57/3 57/6 63/2 printout [1] 35/3 reported [1] 67/8 53/7 54/1 54/4 57/7 58/17 58/18 61/11 printouts [3] 36/6 52/15 52/22 quickly [1] 37/8 reporter [2] 17/17 67/16 prior [1] 54/25 quote [7] 12/6 29/8 43/21 57/5 57/6 57/6 **REPORTER'S [1]** 1/15 privilege [30] 3/8 3/24 4/1 4/21 4/24 5/14 9/4 reports [11] 8/13 8/15 8/23 8/24 8/25 22/25 11/11 25/13 27/11 27/21 41/5 41/19 42/4 42/8 quote/unquote [2] 29/8 43/21 29/7 33/20 52/19 57/8 59/10 42/13 43/25 44/8 46/19 46/21 47/11 53/21 represent [1] 53/25 53/22 54/2 54/8 54/12 54/13 55/3 55/7 62/16 representation [9] 11/2 11/13 14/8 37/18 privileged [1] 47/12 37/19 50/15 54/24 55/5 64/1 rather [2] 8/4 14/10 PRO [1] 2/14 representations [6] 12/17 14/5 27/24 34/3 Re [1] 3/15 probably [4] 4/17 9/23 21/15 64/25 reach [1] 53/22 56/9 61/17 problem [2] 20/22 63/10 reached [1] 3/23 represented [1] 37/21 procedures [1] 26/20 request [7] 34/7 37/17 43/7 45/14 45/17 47/7 read [2] 17/16 26/12 proceed [6] 18/20 19/3 19/5 28/6 64/15 64/23 ready [2] 43/8 54/8 65/18 proceeded [1] 16/18 requested [4] 9/3 10/3 19/17 45/1 **Reagan [1]** 2/10 proceeding [1] 5/17 really [6] 19/20 36/22 42/5 42/10 46/2 59/14 requests [2] 10/8 45/7 proceedings [5] 1/15 37/10 48/6 66/20 67/9 reason [8] 8/3 27/6 27/10 31/14 32/5 33/24 require [2] 38/23 63/18 process [10] 7/12 7/23 8/18 14/14 22/20 required [4] 14/16 18/7 53/3 60/23 36/21 39/25 24/11 35/4 38/19 61/12 62/18 requirements [3] 20/5 20/6 62/4 reasonable [2] 43/7 47/16 process of [1] 8/18 reasons [8] 13/18 13/23 19/6 19/16 20/24 requires [2] 50/8 62/1 processes [1] 43/9 32/12 56/2 64/14 reserve [1] 8/14 produce [15] 10/24 12/13 13/6 13/15 14/16 rebuttal [1] 37/5 resolve [1] 65/17 14/20 23/22 26/2 26/13 27/2 27/3 46/23 53/9 recall [3] 18/22 20/9 57/20 respect [6] 20/10 21/23 41/10 60/2 60/12 54/9 54/14 received [3] 3/14 54/7 61/17 60/21 produced [41] 5/1 5/2 5/9 6/16 7/5 7/6 7/7 Receiver [4] 10/16 10/22 25/19 25/25 respectfully [2] 43/19 44/3 . 11/10 12/20 13/5 14/2 14/3 14/6 14/12 15/3 respond [5] 4/6 26/7 39/7 39/8 40/19 recent [1] 63/2 15/4 15/5 15/7 15/10 17/4 17/7 17/8 18/8 recess [4] 39/23 40/3 40/5 48/5 responded [2] 10/7 34/7 18/10 19/7 24/14 34/16 45/15 45/16 46/1 47/9 recognize [1] 15/19 response [1] 15/8 52/2 54/1 54/4 54/10 54/19 55/11 62/7 62/11 recommended [1] 33/25 responsible [4] 42/13 42/17 42/18 45/19 record [9] 6/23 12/24 30/3 32/6 37/14 40/8 production [5] 8/12 16/25 61/23 62/1 63/19 results [1] 60/20 40/14 41/4 44/6 resumed [1] 48/6 programs [2] 49/22 50/13 records [13] 29/3 33/10 33/20 35/17 35/24 retained [1] 10/2 prong [1] 36/23 36/1 49/22 49/24 50/3 50/9 50/11 50/13 60/17 retrial [1] 64/21 proper [2] 36/10 65/19

some [23] 7/10 19/3 21/23 22/1 23/12 28/3 28/03/03/03/32/04/04/44 45/95/1/24 seizure 11 43/10 SEUNA 44 NOFTI C36 98/28 62 11 0 1 17 32 62 Ŗ Se 8: Colore 0006 tr DOS 7 D 006 retrospect [2] 42/20 47/18 returned [2] 10/21 25/24 send [4] 29/14 42/19 66/7 66/8 54/6 54/12 55/12 55/19 56/21 61/19 62/17 sending [2] 42/8 42/9 65/12 65/24 revenue [1] 13/12 something [15] 14/10 25/1 25/3 25/9 34/9 senior [1] 11/20 review [52] 3/8 3/22 3/24 4/1 4/21 4/22 4/24 sense [2] 21/6 21/14 34/13 41/2 43/16 43/17 43/21 43/22 45/4 47/1 5/14 5/21 9/5 10/25 11/11 17/10 17/12 18/18 sensitive [1] 5/9 63/13 63/14 18/21 22/24 25/13 26/3 26/14 26/15 26/24 sent [4] 6/15 7/17 7/22 8/1 sometime [1] 14/8 27/11 27/21 27/25 33/18 33/20 38/23 41/5 September [7] 44/20 44/25 47/7 51/8 64/18 sometimes [1] 47/3 41/19 42/4 42/13 44/1 44/8 46/20 46/21 47/11 64/19 65/3 sorry [1] 60/8 51/11 51/24 52/20 53/21 53/23 54/3 54/8 September 2 [2] 64/19 65/3 sought [1] 40/21 54/12 54/13 54/16 55/3 55/7 56/12 62/16 September 2019 [1] 44/20 sound [1] 41/18 **September 27 [1]** 64/18 sounds [1] 26/5 reviewed [7] 44/23 45/2 46/7 46/10 46/20 **SOUTHERN [1]** 1/6 September of [1] 47/7 47/10 61/13 September/October of [1] 51/8 speaking [2] 20/15 20/16 reviewing [1] 6/4 serious [1] 52/21 speaks [1] 19/19 right [6] 22/17 23/6 24/20 28/24 36/19 46/16 serve [1] 23/24 special [9] 9/23 11/21 11/21 12/21 12/22 rightfully [3] 51/16 52/4 52/6 server [21] 7/7 10/15 10/18 10/21 10/21 10/23 16/22 38/11 49/11 56/24 road [2] 16/13 39/6 10/25 12/1 12/2 24/9 25/21 25/24 25/24 26/1 specialist [1] 44/22 Roberson [1] 12/21 26/3 26/22 26/25 37/17 38/11 51/6 51/8 specific [10] 7/11 25/2 25/3 28/1 28/2 28/3 Ronald [1] 2/10 38/17 38/24 45/16 50/8 servers [17] 10/4 10/20 11/14 12/9 23/20 25/6 room [1] 6/5 25/23 26/19 37/23 38/13 44/7 49/4 49/8 53/19 specifically [5] 10/4 12/12 28/25 38/9 52/1 RPR [1] 1/19 specifics [2] 28/4 28/5 57/22 58/5 58/9 rule [6] 9/5 9/9 10/3 18/8 37/6 41/4 spend [1] 19/22 spring [2] 2/7 49/11 service [3] 3/15 66/8 66/10 ruling [1] 56/13 session [2] 57/15 58/13 run [1] 42/11 set [9] 6/1 8/11 11/1 26/4 26/14 44/22 44/24 staff [1] 44/15 64/17 64/18 standard [4] 17/21 17/22 17/23 17/24 S stands [1] 18/20 sets [1] 8/6 SACR [2] 1/11 3/3 setting [1] 56/13 start [6] 21/14 28/11 31/1 41/12 48/25 64/25 SACR-19-00061-JVS [2] 1/11 3/3 settled [1] 31/25 started [1] 17/6 **SAGEL [9]** 2/9 3/5 9/24 11/22 12/22 14/8 21/3 settlement [11] 21/18 29/3 29/11 29/19 31/22 starts [1] 24/7 51/22 54/25 33/5 50/16 55/16 55/18 55/19 59/7 stated [1] 6/21 said [15] 4/24 7/19 9/10 10/8 10/14 25/3 seven [2] 18/23 29/19 statement [10] 12/17 25/17 26/8 38/4 39/12 28/25 30/14 31/21 32/1 34/20 40/15 46/13 several [5] 22/15 22/20 28/11 31/15 36/20 40/17 53/1 53/5 62/23 63/12 47/11 60/4 severed [1] 64/17 statements [7] 15/23 15/24 15/25 32/3 34/3 same [11] 11/8 11/12 26/5 26/18 28/14 33/24 **SHARON [3]** 1/19 67/15 67/16 39/9 41/17 44/22 50/17 50/19 52/9 52/10 she [4] 9/23 9/23 12/4 31/10 states [15] 1/4 1/9 1/19 2/3 2/4 2/6 2/7 2/10 sampling [1] 9/3 sheets [4] 32/19 32/20 32/21 35/4 3/4 3/6 3/9 6/5 12/12 67/7 67/11 San [1] 2/16 **shocking** [1] 19/15 status [8] 3/14 3/21 7/2 15/14 15/15 17/9 Santa [4] 1/16 1/20 2/11 3/1 short [8] 22/1 39/23 40/3 40/5 52/20 63/18 64/17 64/19 sat [1] 45/7 63/23 63/25 stenographically [1] 67/8 say [17] 5/24 8/21 18/16 20/1 21/5 21/13 short-lived [3] 63/18 63/23 63/25 steps [1] 22/20 25/10 33/13 37/13 38/6 41/1 45/14 47/4 55/18 STEWARD [4] 2/15 2/15 3/12 10/2 **shortcomings [2]** 62/18 64/11 60/6 60/7 63/22 still [11] 7/12 8/8 13/4 18/16 29/8 38/2 39/18 shortcut [1] 50/3 saying [9] 22/22 28/12 28/17 30/6 33/12 should [24] 5/25 10/11 10/13 18/9 19/7 19/18 39/19 43/15 52/6 64/3 33/17 33/18 34/19 38/1 21/16 27/13 33/8 41/19 43/24 43/25 44/2 46/2 stored [4] 10/18 10/19 25/21 25/22 says [5] 24/3 24/3 26/19 31/11 39/3 straight [1] 60/14 55/12 55/13 55/15 55/20 55/22 63/8 64/21 scenario [1] 38/7 65/8 65/21 66/18 strategy [4] 18/6 18/7 18/7 63/21 schedule [2] 65/1 65/14 shouldn't [1] 65/22 Street [3] 1/20 2/7 2/11 scope [1] 12/14 stricken [1] 5/13 **show [16]** 8/15 8/15 22/21 23/13 29/7 29/8 screening [1] 61/12 31/6 32/2 32/5 36/11 38/18 38/18 40/8 51/23 strictly [1] 20/16 screens [1] 4/22 strong [2] 54/21 65/17 52/5 61/1 screenshots [1] 4/23 showed [1] 39/10 struck [1] 18/24 scrutinize [1] 35/24 showing [4] 20/12 21/15 24/14 60/17 stuff [1] 32/8 SE [1] 2/14 **shown [2]** 28/20 61/1 subject [4] 28/19 46/9 49/24 50/5 search [33] 3/15 5/23 6/1 9/21 10/23 11/1 shows [4] 31/18 31/19 51/23 59/14 submit [5] 13/14 43/19 52/10 55/6 65/11 12/14 24/9 26/1 26/4 26/15 42/15 42/20 43/4 side [4] 41/5 41/20 42/13 47/25 subpoena [2] 46/10 46/13 43/13 45/3 45/4 45/9 46/14 46/15 46/22 47/2 significance [3] 57/2 57/12 58/11 subpoenaed [1] 25/13 47/21 47/23 49/5 49/7 49/16 49/17 50/9 58/10 significant [4] 55/20 59/23 63/18 66/13 subsequently [1] 15/6 59/8 59/15 61/12 similar [3] 44/24 47/23 58/7 substantial [3] 18/11 19/1 27/12 searched [2] 11/9 49/13 similarly [2] 32/11 59/22 substantiated [1] 20/11 searches [2] 4/18 44/25 simply [1] 60/14 substantiates [1] 22/3 searching [2] 47/9 47/21 since [3] 10/22 25/25 34/11 such [4] 10/4 19/21 26/23 30/23 seating [1] 66/17 single [1] 57/20 sudden [2] 54/8 54/19 second [8] 4/14 5/1 5/11 36/3 37/8 38/25 sins [1] 27/14 sufficient [2] 59/3 61/22 56/20 57/9 Sir [2] 23/21 56/8 sufficiently [1] 9/5 section [3] 2/6 26/18 67/6 sit [5] 23/23 34/16 44/13 57/17 57/20 suggest [3] 20/15 25/12 54/21 secure [1] 57/14 suggesting [2] 19/2 21/22 **sitting [1]** 37/13 see [8] 32/3 33/2 33/6 33/21 36/10 36/14 six [6] 7/13 7/17 10/17 16/20 25/20 45/10 suggestion [2] 44/15 44/17 38/23 56/12 suggests [1] 56/16 skipping [1] 22/20 seek [2] 16/3 51/17 skips [1] 24/4 Suite [3] 1/20 2/11 2/16 seemed [1] 54/12 sum [1] 56/18 small [3] 3/20 46/12 47/23 seems [3] 26/9 61/16 63/24 summary [1] 41/7 summed [1] 21/8 so [35] 6/22 7/8 12/9 16/14 17/3 17/12 20/12 seen [5] 25/8 35/19 45/21 45/22 45/24 21/17 21/21 23/1 23/17 26/7 29/25 32/4 32/20 SEFFENS [3] 1/19 67/15 67/16 32/24 32/25 33/5 33/7 33/15 35/23 36/9 36/11 Sunrise [1] 29/20 seize [2] 42/19 44/2 37/12 39/14 39/15 39/18 42/17 44/20 45/7 supervision [1] 6/7 seized [22] 10/24 26/2 26/13 42/21 42/22 45/10 45/18 50/21 63/6 65/12 supplement [3] 3/17 4/2 6/3 43/2 43/3 43/3 43/21 43/23 43/25 47/10 47/19 support [8] 22/25 23/2 23/3 30/4 30/15 33/10 software [3] 4/13 6/11 8/24 47/19 49/3 49/3 49/13 50/21 50/22 50/25 solve [1] 63/10 33/22 33/23 53/20 59/19

35/10 35/24 36/25 39/16 39/20 41/17 41/20 Q**DEOXIMA GANG GEO 8/28 621**0 **1/7 20/2** S **7⁴7 Pohqis 2 64 poh 282**#: 17604 U.S [8] 7/19 10/7 11/22 12/18 12/22 49/9 they [70] supported [1] 49/10 61/25 67/16 they're [2] 21/17 66/7 supports [2] 31/16 32/9 ultimately [1] 49/12 they've [2] 45/22 51/3 suppose [1] 27/10 unallocated [1] 52/18 thing [6] 50/18 50/19 54/22 54/23 55/1 57/20 suppressed [2] 62/8 62/10 unclear [1] 8/1 things [20] 20/18 22/15 33/21 35/10 35/20 under [21] 6/7 10/14 14/16 17/22 23/11 27/2 sure [7] 9/14 17/16 27/22 28/3 37/9 42/15 36/19 37/8 42/18 42/19 42/21 43/2 43/2 43/3 58/20 27/5 28/21 30/17 36/11 36/22 41/20 42/20 43/4 43/11 45/6 47/18 52/1 52/25 63/3 system [9] 4/18 4/23 6/5 6/14 44/22 44/24 43/3 44/18 45/9 46/2 46/22 47/2 51/20 51/25 think [45] 9/5 9/7 9/8 14/7 16/20 16/23 17/23 44/24 45/22 57/9 undermine [2] 28/1 28/5 18/13 19/23 20/24 22/4 22/19 23/8 23/11 systems [2] 13/3 57/6 underneath [1] 50/17 23/14 24/13 26/6 28/11 34/16 36/2 37/3 37/18 understand [4] 7/17 41/17 46/17 53/6 37/19 39/4 40/23 42/1 42/11 46/1 47/24 55/17 understanding [2] 4/9 6/15 57/2 58/11 59/2 59/23 60/1 60/19 61/3 61/6 table [2] 3/7 40/2 Understood [1] 66/11 61/21 62/10 62/16 62/21 65/16 65/21 66/16 UNITED [14] 1/4 1/9 1/19 2/3 2/4 2/6 2/7 2/10 Tabs [95] third [2] 3/23 4/1 3/3 3/6 3/8 6/5 67/7 67/11 taint [6] 27/11 27/15 47/10 62/16 64/11 64/13 this [130] take [7] 4/21 7/13 31/11 36/5 38/16 45/20 unless [2] 21/1 36/19 those [29] 4/24 6/10 7/3 8/2 8/15 10/8 11/11 48/3 unlikely [1] 63/24 13/2 16/2 20/11 20/24 31/15 33/20 42/4 43/9 taken [3] 22/10 33/18 48/5 unquote [2] 29/8 43/21 43/10 44/25 45/10 46/8 46/9 46/18 51/24 taking [2] 42/7 55/15 until [2] 8/20 17/5 53/19 56/2 56/18 57/11 59/24 63/7 64/14 talk [1] 14/24 up [13] 6/24 15/13 16/7 21/8 23/24 29/2 29/19 though [2] 60/12 61/22 talked [3] 20/18 42/5 53/2 31/21 42/12 44/22 44/24 56/18 59/21 thought [6] 34/13 39/2 44/12 44/17 45/9 55/4 talking [6] 15/11 24/7 26/6 28/23 35/2 47/22 updated [1] 31/12 thoughts [2] 65/3 65/13 talks [3] 24/2 24/3 31/2 upon [5] 27/15 28/18 35/8 35/10 54/16 three [6] 3/20 5/8 5/10 21/14 59/4 62/4 Tashchyan [3] 7/20 7/24 58/8 us [12] 4/19 7/13 7/20 7/24 14/5 24/10 25/5 through [30] 4/7 4/14 7/2 7/14 7/15 11/11 34/9 34/14 51/11 54/13 54/17 tasks [1] 42/5 12/5 14/3 15/13 15/17 17/13 17/14 17/14 team [64] 3/7 3/8 3/22 3/24 4/1 4/21 4/25 5/14 use [12] 5/15 9/12 16/3 16/12 16/18 18/6 21/25 23/6 28/10 30/20 31/15 31/21 35/4 6/6 6/16 9/5 11/11 11/20 24/8 24/14 24/17 18/14 34/23 36/17 54/18 55/13 55/15 38/12 43/4 43/9 45/2 46/14 47/7 49/23 55/12 used [14] 12/6 13/3 16/17 16/21 28/16 30/13 24/24 25/13 25/16 27/11 27/11 27/13 27/15 56/18 62/12 27/16 27/21 40/16 41/19 42/5 42/9 42/24 43/5 30/14 32/25 36/16 38/6 38/7 57/6 57/9 59/20 tied [2] 35/17 35/25 44/8 45/24 45/25 46/11 46/20 46/21 46/24 useful [2] 36/13 60/16 time [36] 8/5 8/5 11/10 13/25 13/25 14/2 14/2 47/11 47/14 53/18 53/21 53/23 54/3 54/8 54/9 using [1] 35/11 14/4 18/20 19/22 20/2 22/2 24/12 29/4 32/19 54/12 54/14 54/15 54/20 55/2 55/3 55/7 57/19 usual [1] 66/8 32/20 32/22 33/13 34/8 37/12 38/1 38/19 utilize [1] 39/20 58/2 59/8 59/16 61/15 62/15 62/16 62/16 46/20 52/20 53/8 54/6 54/12 56/21 63/19 utilized [2] 28/13 28/15 64/10 64/11 64/13 63/21 64/6 64/6 65/5 65/24 66/13 66/14 Team's [2] 24/25 44/1 time-consuming [1] 38/19 technical [1] 8/3 timeline [1] 9/18 valid [2] 45/9 45/10 techniques [1] 63/6 timely [1] 14/20 ten [5] 33/4 33/7 33/8 48/4 49/7 value [1] 39/3 times [3] 24/7 35/1 60/5 ten-minute [1] 48/4 Varani [7] 4/19 7/17 8/1 11/6 11/9 50/23 timing [2] 12/7 64/20 tend [1] 62/2 51/12 Title [1] 67/7 terabytes [1] 47/22 Varani's [2] 7/22 8/10 today [6] 8/7 22/4 34/24 54/23 57/17 57/20 various [4] 8/13 24/6 55/16 59/10 term [1] 49/25 told [10] 9/23 14/2 33/11 45/10 45/11 45/25 terms [3] 27/16 47/2 64/21 vendor [1] 4/13 54/17 55/25 57/18 66/18 versus [3] 3/4 35/16 61/25 tertiary [1] 36/2 too [3] 22/1 22/4 31/6 test [1] 36/12 very [15] 7/7 11/3 13/11 13/16 17/10 18/13 took [3] 23/18 37/25 51/2 testified [7] 11/6 23/1 29/1 31/14 35/16 38/9 top [1] 49/21 22/24 32/12 33/19 36/21 37/8 38/16 41/5 41/23 43/6 total [2] 31/11 39/13 testimony [4] 9/23 58/8 59/2 61/6 victim [2] 59/5 64/23 totality [1] 24/17 than [6] 8/4 30/16 30/18 34/17 40/3 44/4 victims [4] 21/11 21/14 21/23 60/3 totally [1] 45/4 Thank [13] 3/25 6/17 10/12 21/2 40/23 40/25 videotapes [1] 34/25 touched [1] 55/11 46/4 48/2 48/3 56/5 60/9 66/3 66/19 view [1] 15/19 town [2] 47/23 47/24 thanking [1] 66/10 violation [2] 40/7 61/20 track [5] 13/4 13/12 35/21 57/7 57/9 virtual [15] 4/17 4/23 6/4 6/14 7/21 7/25 8/2 that [395] tracked [1] 13/12 that's [31] 10/5 12/24 16/16 21/11 21/13 24/2 8/10 23/20 38/11 38/13 44/24 45/22 51/6 51/8 traction [1] 17/7 volume [3] 27/12 61/17 63/23 27/23 28/2 31/22 32/1 34/21 36/2 36/25 37/3 Tran [4] 32/12 38/22 60/3 60/13 37/4 38/4 38/21 38/21 40/17 46/15 49/25 voluminous [1] 38/19 transactions [4] 31/3 32/18 50/4 50/5 52/14 53/24 53/24 54/19 54/20 54/24 62/10 voluntary [1] 44/10 transcript [4] 1/9 1/15 67/8 67/10 vs [1] 1/10 64/16 65/3 65/16 transparent [2] 35/11 40/16 their [12] 4/22 6/22 7/7 8/21 21/18 32/14 45/7 treading [1] 43/18 55/4 58/8 60/13 65/2 66/10 trial [31] 1/12 9/22 11/7 15/14 15/16 18/6 them [29] 6/22 12/4 16/3 20/20 20/21 21/16 wait [3] 23/23 30/2 30/2 18/20 19/2 19/5 20/3 20/4 31/8 31/17 31/19 21/18 23/7 30/20 31/6 32/6 35/4 36/7 37/1 walk [2] 23/6 30/19 32/7 32/9 32/10 32/13 43/8 54/5 54/18 55/9 37/25 38/17 44/9 44/12 45/2 45/8 45/9 45/10 want [12] 7/16 9/19 20/8 37/1 37/4 37/14 38/6 57/13 58/12 59/11 59/20 64/14 64/15 64/25 45/11 45/12 46/10 56/13 61/14 66/8 66/10 41/1 56/12 64/8 64/25 65/20 65/19 66/10 themselves [1] 25/6 wanted [4] 6/22 23/19 54/4 54/18 true [2] 53/1 67/7 then [24] 4/20 5/15 5/18 6/3 6/10 6/15 7/23 wants [1] 36/20 trying [1] 4/23 warrant [21] 5/23 6/2 9/21 10/22 11/1 25/25 15/16 16/18 18/15 32/22 32/24 39/2 42/18 **TUESDAY [1]** 3/1 42/22 43/10 44/20 45/8 47/10 57/24 61/20 26/4 26/15 42/20 43/4 45/3 45/4 45/9 46/15 turn [3] 26/17 35/1 37/22 63/20 64/24 65/13 46/22 47/3 47/23 49/6 49/7 49/12 61/13 turned [3] 42/10 42/20 45/11 theory [4] 23/11 52/1 62/22 63/12 warrants [4] 12/14 24/10 42/15 46/14 twice [2] 12/4 45/23 there [86] was [196] two [33] 3/21 7/15 8/6 9/19 11/3 13/3 14/22 there's [12] 8/9 8/22 8/24 8/25 8/25 13/23 Washington [3] 7/18 11/8 51/13 16/21 18/4 19/7 23/18 31/7 33/21 34/14 37/16 16/20 22/4 30/11 35/23 52/19 54/21 wasn't [12] 20/11 22/22 28/21 32/24 44/14 38/10 38/12 42/5 43/9 46/1 51/8 53/12 54/5 therefore [1] 43/22 50/22 51/12 55/19 55/22 59/20 60/19 62/11 57/6 57/11 57/17 58/3 59/10 59/24 60/2 60/5 thereof [1] 38/15 watched [1] 66/14 62/8 64/8 these [33] 4/10 7/8 8/13 11/5 11/5 11/8 16/21 watching [1] 66/15 two-plus [1] 9/19 19/16 22/8 22/25 29/21 29/21 31/3 32/18 way [12] 5/21 7/20 21/7 26/12 26/15 33/14 twofold [1] 39/8 32/19 33/7 33/20 33/21 33/23 34/4 34/24 35/8 39/8 51/6 51/7 52/23 53/4 54/1

years 1721, 9/19.11/4, 19/8 20/7, 30/25 33/21 SMACHANGO PARESCIO IPAG/2 78 PAGE 2 FA 96 2 P2 yes [12] 3/18 22/14 23/25 24/18 27/6 41/3 we [137] 43/24 46/12 46/15 46/25 48/24 58/24 We'll [1] 61/15 yesterday [12] 3/17 3/23 4/2 4/19 5/2 5/9 5/15 we're [3] 4/23 35/2 35/11 9/3 11/9 11/10 17/8 50/23 we've [4] 26/6 34/7 34/8 35/12 yet [2] 46/20 53/8 week [2] 64/24 64/25 you [90] weekend [1] 5/15 you're [3] 19/2 28/17 28/23 weeks [1] 31/15 well [23] 6/24 8/4 11/3 12/15 12/18 13/17 16/6 you've [5] 9/3 20/9 21/7 24/13 54/23 your [113] 18/4 20/8 21/7 21/18 22/16 23/15 24/13 27/10 27/24 28/2 28/16 30/7 39/18 41/23 43/23 zero [2] 21/18 45/24 went [7] 14/24 16/13 39/6 43/4 43/5 45/2 47/21 were [78] weren't [8] 8/19 16/7 32/19 42/21 42/22 45/5 46/19 60/18 West [2] 1/20 2/11 what [101] what's [7] 9/4 9/8 24/4 24/11 30/18 32/10 whatever [8] 27/10 30/18 31/1 34/17 38/20 39/22 40/4 65/20 whatsoever [1] 13/6 when [38] 6/24 7/5 11/5 17/5 19/21 20/11 20/15 22/5 24/2 24/7 29/20 31/2 31/7 31/21 31/22 32/8 32/21 35/7 35/17 36/12 36/22 36/25 38/20 39/9 40/15 40/20 43/7 44/14 45/18 47/22 47/24 53/18 55/8 55/24 55/25 58/12 58/14 65/17 where [14] 10/4 17/3 25/2 25/7 26/16 29/9 39/10 40/19 47/15 47/20 47/25 49/1 53/23 59/4 Whereupon [1] 66/20 whether [22] 15/2 16/6 19/19 25/12 28/14 28/14 28/17 29/4 31/24 34/4 36/16 36/17 40/9 43/20 44/15 44/16 46/8 46/21 47/1 52/9 52/10 which [37] 4/10 4/11 5/3 5/23 5/24 5/25 6/1 6/5 6/9 7/23 10/18 19/15 22/2 25/21 26/18 29/8 30/21 30/23 31/10 33/8 34/7 34/15 34/24 35/15 35/24 36/17 44/23 45/15 45/22 45/25 49/6 49/8 49/10 49/12 51/21 59/18 61/23 while [4] 7/14 7/18 21/15 52/25 who [13] 13/22 13/22 30/14 33/1 39/5 39/6 39/25 41/15 43/6 44/22 45/18 45/19 45/21 Who's [1] 55/18 whom [2] 25/15 59/25 why [16] 13/14 13/18 13/23 16/16 21/13 27/6 28/2 33/6 33/21 35/23 36/25 39/25 41/13 45/11 54/19 58/18 will [27] 4/12 5/9 5/24 7/10 8/15 10/24 18/3 18/15 20/1 21/13 26/2 26/13 32/22 33/13 34/18 36/5 38/22 39/8 41/23 49/20 55/6 57/5 64/15 64/22 65/3 65/19 66/3 willful [2] 62/14 62/15 willfully [1] 62/9 winning [2] 23/14 23/15 wire [1] 34/1 withdraw [2] 28/13 28/15 within [8] 7/22 8/10 12/14 49/4 52/18 53/19 55/18 58/4 without [5] 25/5 25/6 37/13 38/4 44/3 witnesses [10] 16/19 16/21 18/23 28/10 28/12 36/20 38/9 63/1 63/5 63/13 **won't [1]** 63/7 word [3] 56/8 56/11 62/11 words [1] 23/14 work [2] 33/2 33/2 worked [2] 43/6 55/7 working [2] 5/20 13/22 would [84] wouldn't [3] 21/3 22/10 63/17 wrong [5] 41/10 41/11 41/11 41/13 58/25 **WYMAN [3]** 2/5 3/6 54/25

year [1] 24/20

Exhibit 4

```
1
 2
 3
 4
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 5
                      CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 6
                               SOUTHERN DIVISION
 7
 8
            THE HONORABLE JAMES V. SELNA, JUDGE PRESIDING
 9
              UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT Plaintiff, )
10
                VS.
11
                                             ) SACR-19-00061-JVS
              MICHAEL JOHN AVENATTI,
12
                         Defendant. )
13
14
15
                REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
16
                        Santa Ana, California
17
                          October 15, 2021
18
19
                             SHARON A. SEFFENS, RPR
                             United States Courthouse
20
                             411 West 4th Street, Suite 1-1053
                             Santa Ana, CA 92701
21
                              (714) 543-0870
22
23
24
25
```

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
 2
     For the Plaintiff:
 3
     NICOLA T. HANNA
     United States Attorney
 4
     BRANDON D. FOX
     Assistant United States Attorney
 5
     Chief, Criminal Division
     ALEXANDER WYMAN
 6
     Assistant United States Attorney
     Major Frauds Section
 7
     1100 United States Courthouse
     312 North Spring Street
 8
     Los Angeles, CA 90012
     (213) 894-6683
 9
     BRETT A. SAGEL
10
     Assistant United States Attorney
     Ronald Reagan Federal Building
     411 West Fourth Street, Suite 8000
11
     Santa Ana, CA 92701
12
     (714) 338-3598
13
     For the Defendant:
14
     MICHAEL JOHN AVENATTI, PRO SE
15
     H. DEAN STEWARD, ADVISORY COUNSEL
     H. DEAN STEWARD LAW OFFICES
16
     107 Avenida Miramar, Suite C
     San Clemente, CA 92672
     (949) 481-4900
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2021; 9:01 A.M.
 1
 2
               THE CLERK: Calling Item No. 3, SACR-19-00061-JVS,
     United States of America versus Michael John Avenatti.
 3
 4
               Appearances on behalf of the government, please.
 5
               MR. SAGEL: Good morning, Your Honor. Brett Sagel
 6
     on behalf of the United States, and on the phone is AUSA
 7
     Alex Wyman.
               THE COURT: Good morning.
 8
 9
               THE CLERK: Appearance on behalf of the defendant,
10
     please.
               MR. AVENATTI: Good morning, Your Honor.
11
12
     defendant Michael Avenatti present by telephone, along with
13
     Ms. Cummings-Cefali, and my understanding is that Advisory
14
     Counsel, Mr. Dean Steward, is there in the courtroom.
15
               THE COURT: Good morning.
16
               MR. STEWARD: I'm here, Your Honor. Dean Steward,
17
     Advisory Counsel, for Mr. Avenatti.
               THE COURT: Good morning.
18
19
               I received the parties' briefs with respect to the
20
     issue of the Court's continuing jurisdiction in light of the
21
     Notice of Appeal that Mr. Avenatti has filed.
22
               I also note that the government has brought in the
23
     Ninth Circuit a Motion for Dismissal of Summary Affirmance.
24
     It appears there is going to be a fairly quick briefing
25
     schedule on that motion.
```

As far as my role as district judge, I find that I have been diversed of jurisdiction by virtue of the Notice of Appeal. Double jeopardy is a unique issue that makes it appropriate for an interlocutory appeal, and it's fairly clear in the case law and not really contested. The only exception to that is if the District Court determines that the appeal is frivolous.

I do not make such a finding as part of entering my ruling on the double jeopardy motion, and I'm unable to make such a finding now. I found a Brady violation with respect to a very important range of documents relating to the financial affairs of Mr. Avenatti's firm. I think that conclusion alone would indicate that the appeal is not frivolous.

Mr. Avenatti raises a number of other issues besides the financial data relative to his argument that the Court should not exercise its discretion to conduct a new trial.

I think there is also a substantial question as to with what the term "goading" means. I found that the government did not take any action to provoke the defendant into seeking a mistrial. Other courts have interpreted the term "goading" more extensively such that an intent to provoke a Motion for Mistrial is not required to establish "goading."

Accordingly, given the Brady violation that the Court found and the somewhat undeterminate definition of the term "goading," I find that the appeal is not frivolous. For that reason, the Court vacates the November 2 trial date. The Court also vacates all pending motions to be renoticed once jurisdiction is restored to this Court if jurisdiction is restored to this Court.

I believe that I still have jurisdiction to consider nonsubstantive matters that do not affect in any way the Notice of Appeal and the issues raised by Mr. Avenatti on appeal. Among those ministerial matters is continued document production.

I had asked for a report yesterday, Thursday, the 14th, by noon with respect to what TABS or QuickBooks material with respect to the four or five individual clients appeared in the production and subsequent to August 23. I received a day early -- maybe it was on time. I received a response from Mr. Avenatti filed in-camera. I also received an application from the government to continue the date for its response.

I grant the government a continuance of 30 days to prepare its response. I'm prepared to address the rest of the government's ex parte application with regard to its Rule 17 subpoena.

First, I will ask is there any objection on

jurisdictional grounds for me to consider that portion of the government's application?

MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, this is the defendant, Michael Avenatti.

Provided there would be no argument that somehow this is an acquiescence or acknowledgment that the Court still retains jurisdiction, I have no objection.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm prepared to quash the Rule 17 subpoena. If the government wants to brief it further, I would welcome that and hold in abeyance any ultimate decision, but it seems to me that the government is asking a third party to perform certain work for the government. Clearly, the subpoenas aren't -- a Rule 17 subpoena doesn't have that function.

Also, I think there is a substantial question as to whether -- Software Technology, LLC, isn't a consultant to the defendant such that there would be an invasion of work product and/or privileged materials to compel under any rubric that firm to comply.

So with those thoughts, I would be happy to hear you. It's the government's application.

MR. SAGEL: Well, I will start, Your Honor, with the application that we asked Rule 17 to be enforced. What we were asking for was at the request of the company. What they had said to us is they do not mind cooperating. They

1 do not want to be on the end of a litigation by the 2 defendant, so they need the Court's order to give them 3 coverage to provide the assistance they want. 4 THE COURT: Is that firm a consultant to the 5 defendant? 6 MR. SAGEL: No, not that they have ever said to 7 us. THE COURT: Is that accurate, Mr. Steward? 8 9 MR. STEWARD: I'm not sure, Your Honor. You will 10 have to ask Mr. Avenatti. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Avenatti. 12 MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, let me be clear about 13 the role of the software company. The law firm and me 14 contracted with the software company when I was managing the 15 law firm as it relates to compiling the data, purchasing 16 their software, technical support, and the like. They have 17 not served as a consultant since the Indictments were issued 18 in connection with the case, but they certainly served as a 19 consultant for many years when we had the software at the 20 firm. THE COURT: Okay. 21 22 Mr. Sagel. 23 MR. SAGEL: I would also point out, Your Honor, we 24 don't have the possession of it, but the firm in which we're 25 setting the software or the TABS data especially for the

clients we are talking about is Eagan Avenatti. It's not the defendant that we briefed extensively in various other things. It would be the bankruptcy trustee who would need to assert any claim for that. We have also said that to the company. They just obviously want coverage for themselves so that they are not -- even if it's a frivolous civil lawsuit later, they are not subjecting themselves to that by providing just the technical assistance.

The Rule 17 subpoena was their request to produce what they said they would need to produce. I'm okay with that being quashed, because they wanted that as initially what they wanted as a Court order. They have since changed what they claim they need in that Court order.

We're just trying to comply with what Your Honor wants. As we sit here right now, the thumb drives and the flash drives that were produced to the defendant with the TABS data that came from the forensic server in Washington, D.C. and then locally -- when it's extracted the way it is, you cannot look at it other than with the software itself.

To merge them -- and, again, I'm the third, fourth, fifth line of the messenger at this point. From what I have been told, the software we now hold is the 2019 or 2020, whatever their latest version of the software is. The TABS data was produced on -- I may be getting the years wrong but the 2011, 2014, and 2016 version. We're just

trying to make sure that (a) we can see the data on it so that we can show Your Honor when we produce it to the defense if we are going to use it; and (b) make sure we haven't changed any data by using it on newer software.

That's the only thing that we are asking of the company, is to be able to assist us in taking the raw data that we have, none of which is what we are asking them to produce, and to -- probably it's the wrong term -- merge it with the software that we have so it's in a readable, unchanged format so that it can be printed, produced, shown, what have you, both for Your Honor's direction and any discovery obligations we have. That's all that we are asking to do.

We obviously have what we produced to you and to the defense previously. The screenshots that we got from the stand-alone forensic computer on August 22nd or 23rd, those have been produced. The subsequent productions of the flash drives and the thumb drives that came off those computers that were produced, we have not seen those, and we are working with the company trying to do that.

THE COURT: So would you use their services only with respect to documents that have been disclosed to the Prosecution Team?

MR. SAGEL: To the defense.

THE COURT: No, I'm saying to the Prosecution

Team. I mean, Mr. Fitzgerald pointed out I think earlier in the week that not all of the documents that have come to the fore since August 23 are materials that have been passed to the Prosecution Team, and he pointed out that those would have to be reviewed to be screened for privilege and other materials that shouldn't come to the Prosecution Team.

So I guess my question is if you are only seeking to obtain their services with respect to the materials in the possession of the Prosecution Team, it seems to me that that assistance would be appropriate.

MR. SAGEL: That is correct, Your Honor. I could even limit it further in one second. It's only the stuff that has been produced to us, which is a mirror of what has been produced to the defense to which has been determined by the privilege review based on discussions in this court and other reasons, none of which I'm always privy to, that these do not contained privileged information and can be shared. So, yes, to the first part of the question.

The second part which I think Your Honor has more so directed and which is what our task will be is when we take the data -- or when we upload the data, we are looking for four or five names, and from what we can tell, we are only going to find three. So we're not looking at really anything other than Geoffrey Johnson, Gregory Barela, Alexis Gardner, and hypothetically if they exist Michelle Phan and

```
1
     Long Tran.
 2
               THE COURT: What about Mr. Johnson?
 3
               MR. SAGEL: I thought I named him.
 4
               THE COURT:
                           Oh.
 5
               MR. SAGEL: Yes. So that's all we are looking.
     Even though the TABS data itself that has been extracted
 6
 7
     from the forensic software may include 100 other names and
     clients, we're not looking in any of those files and have no
 8
 9
     intention of looking in any of those files.
10
               To the extent that the defendant believes there is
11
     something in another client's file that relates to these
12
     clients, he would probably either alert that through
13
     reciprocal discovery obligations, or it will come up some
14
     other way. But we don't plan on looking at anything other
15
     than those that appear to be identified by the client names,
16
     and from the initial review on, again, I think it was
17
     August 23, the ones that were identified by Johnson 001 or
18
     Barela 001. So they could be identified to the named
19
     clients with regard to the ten wire fraud counts.
20
     all we would be looking at.
               THE COURT: It seems that narrow focus addresses
21
22
     the concerns in Mr. Avenatti's opposition to the ex parte
23
     application at Docket 847.
24
               MR. AVENATTI: Can I respond, Your Honor?
25
               THE COURT:
                           Yes, please.
```

MR. AVENATTI: I have some comments, and then I have a suggestion. The comments are as follows. Your Honor, what the government is seeking to do is gain unfettered access to the entire TABS database of all the clients of which they were thousands at the law firm.

THE COURT: Sir, that's inaccurate.

MR. AVENATTI: Not -- no --

THE COURT: Just a minute. Are you contending that the government has privileged documents with respect to -- that is, the Prosecution Team -- with respect to other clients other than those in issue here?

MR. AVENATTI: Yes, and if I could explain why I'm asserting that. The TABS data that has now been provided by the Privilege Review Team to the Prosecution Team is not client specific. The Privilege Review Team we have now learned gave the entire TABS database of all of the client cost information for all of the clients of the law firm to the Prosecution Team, because the Privilege Review Team did not segregate out the specific client data for the five clients.

So now the Prosecution Team has this entire database of all of the confidential privileged financial information of all of the firm's clients since its inception in its possession. It wants the software company to load that entire database into the software so that the

government can then according to Mr. Sagel look for the data for the five clients.

I have no objection obviously to an examination of the data for the five clients, but I don't believe it is appropriate or legal for the government to have -- the Prosecution Team to have unfettered access to all of the client data for all of the other clients, especially when those clients have not received notice. And that's one of the issues that the software company is evidently concerned about based on my review of the correspondence. So those are my objections.

The solution I think is as follows, and this is what I would propose, Your Honor. The Privilege Review Team has the software and the entirety of the data on a computer in the U.S. Attorney's Office in Los Angeles. There is no reason why that the government investigators, prosecutors, and its expert cannot go to that office and review the data only relating to the five clients in the Indictment under the supervision of the Privilege Review Team to ensure that no other client's data is accessed.

Frankly, I'm surprised if hasn't already been done, but that's neither here nor there. That's the solution. They can simply go to the U.S. Attorney's Office in Downtown Los Angeles and review the data on that terminal, export it, print it, do whatever they need to do

with it.

THE COURT: So are you suggesting that neither the Prosecution Team nor the Privilege Review Team need the assistance of Software Technology, LLC.?

MR. AVENATTI: Yes, for the reason that I just stated, because the data is available on this terminal in the U.S. Attorney's Office in Downtown Los Angeles.

THE COURT: You also suggest in your Memorandum in Opposition that the Court appoint a special master to undertake this task.

MR. AVENATTI: Your Honor, that's my preference, but in the interest of time and efficiency, I have no objection to the Privilege Review Team supervising the access of the prosecutors and the expert to ensure that another client's data is accessed. I have no objection to them supervising the process at this point.

THE COURT: Do you have any objection to the Prosecution Team seeking the assistance of the Software Technology firm?

MR. AVENATTI: I do if the intent is as has been stated in the application, which is to get access to the entire database, as opposed to access to any information pertaining to the clients in the Indictment.

THE COURT: So does that mean you have no objection to the extent the assistance is limited and

focused to the clients involved in Counts 1 through 10? 1 2 MR. AVENATTI: I have no objection provided that 3 that is a transparent process and that we have visibility 4 into that process. 5 THE COURT: What does that mean? 6 MR. AVENATTI: Well, that means I think that if 7 the technology company is going to assist either party, it 8 should basically be a neutral third party that assists 9 either party, either the government or the defendant, to the 10 extent that access is needed to the software. 11 Frankly, I think if we are not going to have the 12 supervision of the Privilege Review Team, if that idea is 13 not going to be taken up, then I think perhaps the Court 14 should issue an order permitting both parties to use the 15 technology company so that the technology company can 16 extract the data relating to the five clients and provide it 17 to both the government and the defense. 18 THE COURT: Any objection to that? 19 MR. SAGEL: That's all we have ever wanted, so, 20 no, no objection from the government. THE COURT: All right. Why don't you tender me an 21 22 order that captures that limited function that the Court 23 will permit. 24 MR. SAGEL: Yes, Your Honor, I have no problem I 25 quess --

1 THE COURT: I want to have in writing what the 2 ground rules are so everybody understands them, including 3 the Court. 4 MR. SAGEL: Understood, Your Honor. 5 I guess my hesitation as I stand here being 6 someone who is in the dark -- presumably stuff has been 7 provided to the Court dealing with these clients from this 8 information. We have no idea if through this they're going 9 to be providing us the universe. We are just going to have 10 to trust the process. I set that out for Your Honor, that 11 if there is something that is missing, we need to know --12 since we aren't the ones actually doing it, we can't double check the work. 13 14 THE COURT: Well, I'll look for your order on it. 15 MR. SAGEL: Understood, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Let me say that as part of my 17 administrative and supervisorial duties, I direct the 18 government to continue its efforts with respect to reviewing 19 the remaining at this point 2.2 million files. I think 20 that's the number Mr. Fitzgerald used earlier in the week. I'm not sure that there was ever a 21 MR. SAGEL: 22 doubt about looking at them. It was just a matter of 23 whether the indexing of them was going to continue. 24 THE COURT: I direct that to go forward. 25 MR. SAGEL: Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Depending on the result of the motion in the Ninth Circuit and the Ninth Circuit appeal, I'm going to review the status of production. At that point, when the jurisdiction one way or another is returned to this Court, and in light of the facts with respect to production that exist at that time, we're going to move forward very promptly to a new trial. I can't tell you what that date is because I don't know the status of the production at that point in time. But once I have jurisdiction, we're going to So, therefore, the parties need to go keep going on document production and document analysis on both sides. MR. AVENATTI: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Anything else we ought to take up today? MR. SAGEL: I want to clarify -- and I'm assuming Your Honor is agreeing with this -- the Ninth Circuit case law that I think that you are referring to is Nascimento and other cases that basically say you are only divested of jurisdiction of the issue on appeal, which would basically be the double jeopardy and related to the ten counts. Anything that is administrative, ministerial, or separate from those ten counts, Your Honor is agreeing that you retain jurisdiction for those purposes?

I just wanted to clarify before we

THE COURT:

MR. SAGEL:

Yes.

```
1
     file anything related to this matter.
 2
               THE COURT: As to any substantive issues, I
 3
     believe I'm divested of jurisdiction with respect to.
 4
               MR. SAGEL: The issue before the Ninth Circuit?
 5
               THE COURT: At least those. And I believe with
 6
     respect to the remaining 26 counts, it seems to me that the
 7
     issue of document production relates to some or all of those
 8
     counts.
 9
               MR. SAGEL: I obviously disagree, but we can take
10
     that up if and when --
11
               THE COURT: Well, you know, present what you wish.
12
     If I make a determination that the issue you want to raise
13
     is a substantive issue that I think conflicts with the
14
     divesture of jurisdiction, I probably just won't consider
15
     it.
16
               MR. SAGEL: Understood.
17
               THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?
18
               Thank you.
19
               MR. AVENATTI:
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
20
               (Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded)
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
                              CERTIFICATE
 6
 7
               I hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753,
 8
     Title 28, United States Code, the foregoing is a true and
 9
     correct transcript of the stenographically reported
     proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the
10
11
     transcript page format is in conformance with the
     regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.
12
13
14
     Date: October 19, 2021
15
16
                                  Sharon A. Seffens 10/19/21
17
                           SHARON A. SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```